SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF KINGS: CRIMINAL TERM: PT 35

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

IND. #1453/05

- against -

ENRIQUE RIVERA,

Murder 2

Defendant. Trial

----x 360 Adams Street Brooklyn, New York

May 6, 2009

B E F O R E : HONORABLE ALAN MARRUS, presiding

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PEOPLE:

CHARLES HYNES, ESQ.

District Attorney - Kings County

210 Joralemon Street Brooklyn, New York BY: PHYLLIS CHU, ESQ.

Assistant District Attorney

FOR THE DEFT:

JOEL DRANOVE, ESQ. Brooklyn, New York

MICHELE J. WALKER, SANDRA WILKES, OFFICIAL SENIOR COURT REPORTERS

	Lopicollo - Direct	20
1	THE COURT: Miss Chu, are you ready to	
2	proceed with your case?	
3	MS. CHU: Yes, your Honor.	
4	THE COURT: You may call your first	
5	witness.	
6	MS. CHU: People call Police Officer	
7	Salvatore Lopicollo.	
8	P.O. SALVATORE LOPICOLLO,	
9	shield #1555, having been called as a witness,	
10	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:	
11	COURT CLERK: State your name, please?	
12	THE WITNESS: Officer Salvatore Lopicollo.	
13	Shield 1555, 72 Precinct.	
14	COURT CLERK: Shield number?	
15	THE WITNESS: 15555, four fives.	
16	THE COURT: You may examine the witness,	
17	Miss Chu.	
18	MS. CHU: Thank you.	
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
20	BY MS. CHU:	
21	Q Good morning, officer.	
22	A Good morning.	
23	Q How long have you been a member of the New	
24	York City Police Department?	
25	A July 1st will be seven years.	

	Lopicollo - Direct		
1	Q How long you have been assigned to the 72		
2	Precinct?		
3	A For the whole time that I've been out of		
4	the academy.		
5	Q What capacity do you work for the 72		
6	Precinct?		
7	A I am currently assigned to the Community		
8	Policing Unit in the 72 Precinct.		
9	Q I want to direct your attention to		
10	February 27, 2005. Were you working on that date?		
11	A Yes, I was.		
12	Q Can you tell the members of the jury what		
13	were the hours that you worked?		
14	A I started my tour about 11:15 in the		
15	morning, which was it's midnight tour. Little		
16	bit before midnight, finish 7:50 a.m.		
17	Q On the 27?		
18	A On the 27. Correct.		
19	Q Did you have a partner that day?		
20	A Yes, I did.		
21	Q Who was that?		
22	A Officer Woo.		
23	Q Were you assigned to a car, or were you on		
24	foot?		
25	A I was assigned to a car.		
	I		

22

Was the car marked or unmarked? 1 0 2 It was a marked car. 3 And how about uniform, did you have uniform --4 5 Yes, had a uniform, yes. 6 Now, I want to just ask you, were you 0 7 assigned to the Community Policing Unit at that time? 8 9 At that time, I was assigned to patrol. 10 0 Patrol. 11 What are your duties and responsibilities 12 as a patrol officer? 13 As patrol officer, we responded to various jobs that would come over on video from 14 15 communication section. A job would come over, we 16 respond to a scene and handle the job. Throughout 17 the course of the tour. 18 Now, I want to direct your attention during the course of your tour of February 27, 2005; 19 20 did there come a time when you became involved in 21 the investigation into the death of a person by the 22 name of Edgar Ojeda? 23 Yes, there was. 24 Can you tell us about what time you were notified? 25

	Lopicollo - Direct	23
1	A I would say approximately 3:45 in the	
2	morning.	
3	Q And where were you when you were actually	
4	notified?	
5	A At the time notification, I was in the 72	
6	Precinct stationhouse processing arrest.	
7	Q And did you have to go to a location?	
8	A I did.	
9	Q And where did you go?	
10	A 39 Street and Third Avenue.	
11	Q And what is the location that's there?	
12	A The El Borinquen Bar.	
13	Q El Borinquen?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q Was the establishment open or closed when	
16	you arrived?	
17	A The establishment was closed when I	
18	arrived.	
19	Q And was police personnel present when you	
20	arrived at the location?	
21	A There was police personnel when I arrived,	
22	yes.	
23	Q Do you recall who was there when you	
24	arrived?	
25	A I believe it was Officer Garda.	

24

Lopicollo - Direct Now, was a crime scene already 1 2 established? 3 Crime scenes were established, yes. Α What does it mean to have a crime scene 4 5 established? That means the area of the incident was 6 7 roped off with crime scene tape. There was set up so no outside people would be able to contaminate 8 the scene. It was blocked off. There were police 9 personnel there. Making sure nobody went in and out 10 the bar area or around the area that was under 11 investigation. 12 When you said you arrived there, about 13 what time? 14 A I would say approximately 3:50 in the 15 16 morning. 17 And when you were there, how long did you 18 stay there? I stayed there until approximately six 19 20 o'clock a.m. Now, during the course of your being at 21 the scene, did there come a time when crime scene 22 detective arrived? 2.3 A Crime scene were when I got there, yes. 24

Could you tell us what you observed them

25

	Lopicollo - Direct	25
1	doing?	
2	A They were conducting their investigation.	
3	They were observing the area. They were looking for	
4	evidence related to the incident. They were taking	
5	photographs. They were locating samples,	
6	fingerprints. Blood samples.	
7	Q And can you tell me what you said you	
8	left about six o'clock in the morning?	
9	A Correct.	
10	Q Did you was the crime scene detective	
11	still there when you arrived when you left?	
12	A I don't recall if they were still there at	
13	that time. I don't believe so.	
14	Q And who was it that relieved you?	
15	A Officer Bao.	
16	Q Could you just tell us, did you go back to	
17	the precinct?	
18	A I went to the precinct.	
19	Q Did there come a time when you received	
20	any evidence from officer by the name of Acosta?	
21	A Yes, at approximately six o'clock a.m.	
22	Officer Acosta handed me a bag of evidence.	
23	Q Where did he do that?	
24	A At the stationhouse.	
25	Q What did you do with it?	

26

1 I vouchered it. Α 2 Ask you explain to the members of the jury 3 what does it mean to voucher evidence? 4 Vouchering property is systematic way that 5 the police do to safeguard and mark property, 6 whether safekeeping, whether it's for something that 7 will hold and we give back to you at another time or, in this case, evidence that will be 8 9 systematically safeguarded and sent to the lab for 10 testing in the future whenever the evidence is needed again. 11 12 Now, is there an actual number that is 0 13 assigned to property when you voucher it? 14 Α Yes, there is. 15 Q Is that number unique to that property? 16 It is unique to that person's property. 17 Did you see Officer Acosta when you left at six o'clock? 18 19 Did I see Officer Acosta at the scene? did. Yes. 20 Was he -- he did also relieve you when you 21 22 were there? 23 He did. Α 24 Now, did it -- I am sorry. Just tell us 25 what voucher number you assigned to what you were

	Lopicollo - Direct	27
1	given by Officer Acosta?	
2	THE WITNESS: May I refer to my papers?	
3	THE COURT: You may.	
4	A M621112.	
5	Q And what were the items that were given to	
6	you?	
7	A I vouchered one yellow packet with blood	
8	specimen and additional yellow packet with blood	
9	specimen. Two blood specimens were vouchered.	
10	Q What did you do with those items?	
11	A I vouchered them, and they were sent to	
12	the laboratory for further testing.	
13	Q Now, did you ever you said while you	
14	were there, they established remained closed during	
15	the time	
16	A Yes. Closed to the public, correct.	
17	MS. CHU: At this time, if I could have	
18	this deemed marked People's number 1?	
19	THE COURT: Do you have any objection to	
20	this exhibit?	
21	MR. DRANOVE: When it's offered in	
22	evidence, I don't think I will. I don't know	
23	what it's been offered for.	
24	THE COURT: You may proceed.	
25	MR. DRANOVE: There was several pictures	

28

Lopicollo - Direct 1 on it. Officer Lopicollo, if you could just take 2 a look at what's been pre-marked in evidence. I am 3 sorry, been marked in evidence for identification --4 5 THE COURT: I ask my court officer to lower that because the jurors cannot see the 6 7 witness when you do that. Thank you. 8 9 Go ahead. Officer, on the left-hand side of the 10 exhibit, do you recoginize that diagram? 11 I do. 12 Α And what is that a diagram of? 13 That a diagram of the area where the 14 incident took place. The El Boringuen Bar. And 15 looks likes the street, 39 Street and Third Avenue. 16 Does that diagram fairly and accurately 17 depict the layout of that intersection of 39th and 18 Third where you responded to on February 27th, 19 20 2005? Yes, it does. 21 THE COURT: You can put the board down. 22 Officer, if you just look at the 23

photographs that are running along the right side of

the exhibit. Do you recognize what those are

24

29

Lopicollo - Direct 1 photographs of? 2 Α I do. 3 And what are they photographs of? That is the bar where the incident took 4 5 That is the corner -- that is the corner where I was in the car securing the crime scene. 6 7 The blood stain that was being secured at the crime scene, and the third picture is the bar facing Third 8 9 Avenue going towards Manhattan. From the Third 10 Avenue side. 11 On the bottom? 0 That is third picture here. 12 13 Now, do all those photographs that are in Q 14 People's number 1 fairly and accurately represent 15 how that area of El Boringuen appeared when you were 16 there on February 27? 17 Α That is how it appeared that night, yes. MS. CHU: At this time, I would offer into 18 19 evidence as People's 1. If we can have the 20 pictures A, B, C, D, E. THE COURT: Any objection? 21 22 MR. DRANOVE: May I just ask some 23 questions so I get oriented as to what is

north, what is south, east, west? That is all.

Unless it's on there. I didn't see it.

24

	Lopicollo - Direct	30
1	I can ask that later, no objection.	
2	THE COURT: Okay. People's 1 will be	
3	received in evidence.	
4	Please post it.	
5	There is a north arrow on the diagram.	
6	(Whereupon, the above-mentioned	
7	item was received and marked as	
8	People's 1, 1A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E,	
9	in evidence.)	
10	Q Officer	
11	MS. CHU: May he approach the exhibits?	
12	THE COURT: Certainly.	
13	Q Step down and just state to the right	
14	there. If you could just show us on the diagram	
15	where El Borinquen Bar is on the diagram?	
16	A On the diagram?	
17	Q Yes.	
18	A This would be (indicating)	
19	Q Is it marked El Borinquen Bar?	
20	A It is.	
21	Q If you can show us where what the cross	
22	streets are that are depicted in that diagram?	
23	A Third Avenue. Run north and south. And	
24	39 Street, running east and west (indicating).	
25	Q I am going to direct your attention to the	
	1	

1	top photograph. What is that a picture of?
2	A That is a picture of the bar if you're
3	standing on 39 Street. This is 39 Street going east
4	and west (indicating).
5	Q Going across the picture?
6	A Going across the picture.
7	Q What about the second picture, B?
8	A This is 39 Street. This is 39 Street as
9	well. (Indicating) This is you're talking about
10	this picture?
11	Q Yes.
12	A This is 39 Street. This is the front.
13	This is the front of the El Borinquen. If you're
14	parked in this car, you're facing eastward, going
15	east on 39 Street. (Indicating)
16	MS. CHU: Let the record reflect that when
17	he pointed to the bar, he was referring to the
18	left-hand portion of the B picture. In
19	People's number 1.
20	Q If you were taking that photograph in
21	People's B, would you be on 39 Street?
22	A If I was taking this photograph here
23	(indicating)?
24	Q Yes. Would you be standing on
25	A I would be standing on 39 Street.

	Lopicollo - Direct	32		
1				
1	Q That would be Third Avenue?			
2	A That would be Third Avenue in the			
3	background, yes.			
4	Q Taking a look at the next photograph,			
5	photograph C; what is that a picture of?			
6	A That is the corner of 39 Street and Third			
7	Avenue. This would be the intersection of 39 Street			
8	and Third Avenue where I had my vehicle on this			
9	corner. Facing Manhattan northbound. Going north.			
10	(Indicating)			
11	Q That structure that's in the picture, see			
12	that maroon is that El Borinquen?			
13	A That is El Borinquen Bar, yes.			
14	Q Taking a look at the next photograph.			
15	Photograph D, what is that a picture of?			
16	A That looks like blood samples on the			
17	concrete, on the cement.			
18	Q Is that a closeup of anything on any of			
19	the other photographs?			
20	A I'm sorry, excuse me?			
21	MS. CHU: Your Honor, may I approach the			
22	exhibit?			
23	A You're talking about this (indicating)			
24	Q Yes.			
25	THE COURT: You can approach.			

	Lopicollo - Direct	33
1	Q I just want to direct your attention to	
2	photograph C. On the bottom portion there.	
3	(Indicating)	
4	A Yes, it appears to be the same blood that	
5	you see.	
6	Q So that is just a closeup version of what	
7	is in C?	
8	A That is.	
9	Q Picture number E, if you could just let us	
10	know what is that a picture of?	
11	A Picture number E appears to be Third	
12	Avenue going northbound, and that is the side of the	
13	El Borinquen bar.	
14	Q So, if you could use your finger and point	
15	where the bar is?	
16	A The bar is here (indicating).	
17	MS. CHU: Let the record reflect he is	
18	pointing to the right-hand side of the	
19	photograph of E. In People's 1.	
20	MS. CHU: Thank you very much, Officer.	
21	You can have a seat.	
22	(pause)	
23	MS. CHU: I have nothing further.	
24	THE COURT: Cross-examination, Mr.	
25	Dranove?	

	Bao - Direct	34
1	MR. DRANOVE: No questions, your Honor.	
2	THE COURT: Thank you very much, Officer.	
3	You may step down.	
4	(WITNESS EXCUSED)	
5	MR. DRANOVE: May we approach sidebar for	
6	application?	
7	THE COURT: Okay.	
8	Call your next witness.	
9	MS. CHU: People call Police Officer Chi	
10	Shing Bao.	
11	THE COURT: Okay, you can approach.	
12	P.O. CHI SHING BAO, shield #14592,	
13	having been called as a witness, having been duly	
14	sworn, testified as follows:	
15	COURT CLERK: State your name, please?	
16	THE WITNESS: Officer Bao, for the 72.	
17	COURT CLERK: First name?	
18	THE WITNESS: Chi Shing.	
19	COURT CLERK: Shield number?	
20	THE WITNESS: 14592.	
21	COURT CLERK: What is your precinct?	
22	THE WITNESS: 72.	
23	THE COURT: You are may examine the	
24	witness, Miss Chu.	
25	MS. CHU: Thank you.	
	1	

	Bao - Direct	35
1	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
2	BY MS. CHU:	
3	Q Officer, by whom are you employed?	
4	A NYPD.	
5	Q Is that New York City Police Department?	
6	A Yes, ma'am.	
7	Q How long have you worked for them?	
8	A Seven years.	
9	Q And you said you're currently assigned to	
10	the 72 Precinct?	
11	A Yes, ma'am.	
12	Q Are you a patrol officer there?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q I want to direct your attention to	
15	February 27 th , 2005. Were you working as a police	
16	officer for the 72 Precinct on that date?	
17	A Yes, ma'am.	
18	Q And were you I am sorry. What were	
19	your hours that day?	
20	A 7:05 in the morning. And 3:40 in the	
21	afternoon.	
22	Q So seven in the morning to 3:40 in the	
23	afternoon?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q And were you assigned to a partner that	

		Bao - Direct	36
1	day?		
2	А	No.	
3	Q	Were you assigned to a car?	
4	А	No.	
5	Q	You were on foot?	
6	А	Yes, ma'am.	
7	Q	Did you have a uniform on?	
8	А	Yes.	
9	Q	I want to ask you, did there come a time	
10	at about 7	7:35 that morning on February 27, 2005 that	
11	you became	e involved in an investigation of the	
12	into the d	death of Edgar Ojeda at 39 and Third?	
13	A	Yes, ma'am.	
14	Q	And how is it that you became involved in	
15	the invest	tigation?	
16	A	Cause they sent me out to the crime scene	
17	to secure	the crime scene.	
18	Q	To secure the scene?	
19	A	Yes.	
20	Q	When you arrived at the scene, was there	
21	other poli	ice officers there?	
22	A	Yes, ma'am.	
23	Q	And who was that you relieved?	
24	A	Officer Acosta.	
25	Q	Officer Acosta?	

		Bao - Direct	37
1	A	Yes.	
2	Q	Now, was there crime scene tape up at the	
3	location?		
4	A	Yes, ma'am.	
5	Q	And can you tell me what was located right	
6	there at	that corner?	
7	A	39 Street and Third avenue.	
8	Q	Is there that's that was the	
9	establish	ment that's there. Is it a bar?	
10	A	A bar bar restaurant.	
11	Q	Bar restaurant.	
12		And was this bar restaurant open when you	
13	arrived there?		
14	A	Yes, ma'am.	
15	Q	You know whether or not crime scene	
16	detective	es were there when you arrived at 7:35 in	
17	the morni	ng?	
18	А	Yes, ma'am. They were there.	
19	Q	They were already there?	
20	А	Yes.	
21	Q	Were they inside the location?	
22	А	There were inside the location.	
23	Q	Did you ever go inside the location?	
24	А	No.	
25	Q	You remained outside?	

		Bao - Direct	38
1	А	I remained outside, yes.	
2	Q	Did there come a time when the detective	
3	from crime	e scene that were inside the location came	
4	out and ga	ave you anything?	
5	А	Yes.	
6	Q	And what did they give you?	
7	А	They give me two items.	
8	Q	What did they give you?	
9	А	Can I look at my memo book?	
10		THE COURT: You may.	
11	A	Blood samples and empty bottles.	
12	Q	An empty bottle?	
13		MR. DRANOVE: Bottle or bottles?	
14		THE COURT: He said "bottles", plural.	
15		One bottle or more?	
16		THE WITNESS: One.	
17	Q	How was that item package; do you	
18	remember?		
19	А	Inside a brown bag.	
20	Q	Brown bag?	
21	А	Yes.	
22	Q	Did you give I am sorry. What were you	
23	suppose to	o do with these items?	
24	А	To voucher it?	
25	Q	Did you voucher those items?	

Bao - Direct

39 Yes, ma'am. 1 Α Do you recall what voucher number that you 2 3 assigned to the blood samples that you received from the detective? 4 5 Α Yes, ma'am. What were the -- what was the voucher 6 7 number? M -- like Mary -- 621117. 8 Α 9 And what about the empty bottle that you 10 received? 11 It's M -- like Mary -- 621116. Α After you vouchered these items, what did 12 you do with them? 13 I send to the lab. For the examination. 14 Other than the duties and responsibilities 15 that you just described, did you have any further 16 17 involvment in this case? 18 Α No. MS. CHU: Thank you very much. I have 19 nothing further. 20 MR. DRANOVE: No questions. 21 THE COURT: Thank you, Officer Bao. 22 You're excused. You may step down from the 2.3 24 witness stand. THE WITNESS: Thank you. 25

Bao - Direct

(WITNESS EXCUSED)

THE COURT: We will take a short recess, and then we will resume with testimony.

Please take charge of the jurors.

Don't discuss the case during the break.

THE COURT: Mr. Dranove has an application that he wished to make. He originally asked to approach and make it at sidebar. I indicated that he could make it at the first available recess.

You may make your application.

MR. DRANOVE: If your Honor, factual basis, is that approximately 11:30 in the morning I turned around and noticed a court -- whatever the title is -- an attorney for a judge, in fact, the attorney for the judge at the first trial -- the lawyer being Mr. Quinn, I believe, Kevin Quinn, in the audience sitting with the victim's mother. I just think it's absolutely improper. It's the equivalent, in my opinion, of an advocate. I am talking as a human being. It's equivalent to the judge sitting there, and it just sends a message of support for a certain side in the case. I believe -- it's incumbent upon me to ask for a

mistrial under these circumstances.

I would note, the gentleman left at 11:42 in the morning at the 1 of Officer Bao's testimony, and I noticed him 11:30 in the morning. I do not know who was testifying at that time.

I have no idea what his intention was. He certainly could have spoken outside of this courtroom with the victim's mother. But he chose to sit next to her for a period of time unavoidable to your Honor that he was sitting with the victim's mother.

THE COURT: Well, I am going to deny the motion for a mistrial.

First of all, it's a public courtroom, anyone can come in. Anyone can sit next to anybody else that they want to. I have no control over the seating arrangements. Only rules we have is the first row of the courtroom is reserved for police officer and whatever. I don't know why I declare a mistrial for that. He's a court attorney. The jurors certainly wouldn't know who he was. I didn't even realize that he had any connection to this case when you talked about it. It certainly doesn't

have an impact on me. So I am denying the motion for a mistrial.

MS. CHU: I just wanted to put something else on the record.

During defense counsel's opening statement to the jury, he made reference, several times, to the fact that this is now 2009 and obviously this happened in 2005. I merely just stated the date. I didn't make a discrepancy or highlight at all the difference in time between when the incident occurred and when we are actually trying it now.

I believe that in light of statements that were made by defense counsel during his opening that it would be appropriate for your Honor to perhaps give an instruction to the jury that they are not speculate as to why there is a difference. Because, I mean, I certainly didn't highlight, he definitely did, in his opening, many times to the jury, and I think it kind of leaves a question in their mind as to why it is that we are now in 2009 when this incident occurred in 2005.

THE COURT: In any case, quite often in homicide cases, there's a lengthy period of

time between when the crime occurs or someone's arrested and when the trial occurs.

But in this case, I will consider giving such an instruction in my final instructions.

If you want me to make that application at this time, I don't think now is the time to give any instructions regarding that issue.

MS. CHU: I will be out --

MR. DRANOVE: Miss Chu's request raises, in my mind, a question to your Honor. How will the read back of testimony be introduced with respect to when the testimony is given?

It does happen at a certain period of time in a prior proceeding. Maybe just refer to a prior proceeding, answer Miss Chu's concern.

THE COURT: There will be no reference what happened at the first trial, as a prior proceeding. That is the way we are going to leave it.

MS. CHU: I will -- if The Court wishes, I won't refer to a date at all. I was going to refer to date.

THE COURT: I think you need to refer to a date when the statement is made. So the jury will know when these statements were made.

	Cunningham - Direct	44
1	MS. CHU: That is what I originally	
2	planned.	
3	THE COURT: At a prior proceeding on that	
4	date.	
5	Keep this as short as possible.	
6	(Whereupon, there was a short	
7	recess at this time.)	
8	THE COURT: Ready for the jury?	
9	COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.	
10	COURT CLERK: Both says waive role call?	
11	MS. CHU: So waived.	
12	MR. DRANOVE: So waived.	
13	THE COURT: Call your next witness.	
14	MS. CHU: People call Detective Michael	
15	Cunningham.	
16	DETECTIVE MICHAEL CUNNING	
17	H A M, shield #5035 having been called as a witness,	
18	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:	
19	COURT CLERK: State your name, please?	
20	THE WITNESS: Detective Michael	
21	Cunningham.	
22	COURT CLERK: Shield number?	
23	THE WITNESS: 5035.	
24	COURT CLERK: And your command?	
25	THE WITNESS: Crime Scene Unit.	

45

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. CHU: 3 Q Good afternoon, Detective. 4 How long have you been a member of the New York City Police Department? 5 6 About 23 years. Α 7 And where are you currently assigned? To the Crime Scene Unit. 8 Α 9 How long have you been assigned to the 10 Crime Scene Unit? 11 Α About nine years. 12 0 Can you tell us about your career with the 13 NYPD beginning when you were in the Academy? 14 Graduated from the Academy in 1986. I was 15 assigned to a foot patrol unit in midtown Manhattan. 16 Times square area. 17 About a year later, I was assigned to the 18 84 Precinct in Brooklyn. Which is this area. And 19 later on in the 84 Precinct, I was assigned to the 20 latent fingerprint office. And I was responsible 21 for responding to burglary and robbery scenes to 22 process latent prints. 23 And about 12 or 13 years ago, I was 24 reassigned to the Brooklyn North Evidence Collection 25 Team, Controlled Base Unit that responds to crime

1 | scenes to collect evidence.

And then about nine years ago I was assigned to the Crime Scene Unit.

- Q Did you receive any special training to become a member of the Crime Scene Unit or that Evidence Collection Team that you belonged to?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - O And what was that?
- A I attended training offered by the police department fingerprints recovery, photography crime scene documentation. Recognition and collection of evidence. Recognition and collection of DNA. Crime scene investigation course.
- Q Now, what are your duties and responsibilities as a member of the Crime Scene Unit?
- A Responsible to respond to scenes. Or incidents that occurred to process scene or evidence. Search for evidence. Document the scene. Typically use photographs, notes and sketches.

 Collect evidence. Forward the evidence to the proper laboratories for analysis.
- Q Now, I went to direct your attention to February 27th of 2005. Were you working as a detective at the Crime Scene Unit on that date?

	Cunningham - Direct	47	
1	A Yes, I was.		
2	Q And were you assigned to a partner that		
3	day?		
4	A Yes.		
5	Q And who was that?		
6	A Detective Joseph Bello. B-E-L-L-O.		
7	Q What were the hours that you worked that		
8	day?		
9	A I worked from 10:00 p.m. in the evening		
10	before until eight o'clock in the morning.		
11	Q And did there come a time during that time		
12	that you were working when you became involved in		
13	the investigation into the death of a person by the		
14	name Edgar Ojeda?		
15	A Yes.		
16	Q And can you tell us where you responded		
17	to?		
18	A I responded to a scene on Third Avenue in		
19	the confines of the 72 Precinct in Brooklyn.		
20	Q And can you tell me about what time did		
21	you arrive at that location?		
22	A I arrived at the scene, I believe it was a		
23	little before 5 a.m.		
24	Q And what time were you guys notified to go		
25	to the scene?		

A I received a notification from the Crime Scene Unit dispatcher, who takes some requests by telephone, probably somewhere around four o'clock in the morning.

Q Now, when you arrived at the location, was police -- was a crime scene established?

A Yes, there was.

Q And was police officer personnel present when you arrived?

A Yes.

Q Can you describe that area 39 and Third for us?

A Third Avenue is a major thoroughfare. The Gowanus Expressway elevated and runs north and south over Third Avenue. It's commercial area. It's storefronts and businesses. And on the corner where the crime scene was established was a sports bar.

Q Was the sports bar opened when you arrived there?

A No. It wasn't. It was locked up.

Q And what did you do, and what did you observe when you were there?

A It was one police officer there. He was in a marked car. And there was yellow crime scene tape right there on the outside of the sports bar.

So I spoke to the police officer and collected some information. Name. Some basic information about what had occurred there. And I did a walk through of the seen. Then I observed there some blood on the sidewalk that appeared to have originated near the front entranceway to the sports lounge. And travel across the sidewalk towards Third Avenue. I also saw some water -- very cold morning -- look like somebody tried to wash the sidewalk near the fronts entranceway, but the water froze on to the sidewalk.

Q Can you tell me where was the front entrance to this location, the sports bar?

A It was on the side street from Third Avenue.

- O 39th Street side?
- 17 A Yes.

- Q And can you tell me, you said you observed some blood trail coming from the front entrance, going towards the corner?
- A Yes.
- 22 | Q Did you photograph the scene?
- 23 A Yes, I did.
 - Q And can you also do a sketch of what you observed while you were there at that corner?

50

Yes, I did. 1 Α What did you do with the blood that you 2 seen at the corner of 39 and Third? 3 I collected sample of blood using a 4 5 sterile swob. I packaged it and sealed it, I gave it to the police officer that was there so he could 6 7 invoiced and forwarded to the lab for DNA testing. Do you remember which officer it was that 8 9 you gave it --I don't recall his name offhand, but I 10 11 have it in my notes. MS. CHU: Your Honor, may the witness 12 refer to his notes? 13 THE COURT: Certainly. 14 I gave the blood sample to Police Officer 15 Acosta from the 72 Precinct. 16 17 What was your purpose for giving it to 18 Police Officer Acosta? I gave it to him with some worksheets that 19 I prepared so he can invoice it at the precinct and 20 prepare laboratory requests to be forwarded to the 21 medical examiner's DNA lab for testing. 22 When you say invoices, that another word 23 24 for vouchering it? 25 Α Yes.

	Cunningham - Direct	51
1	Q How long were you at the location of 39	
2	and Third?	
3	A Probably less than two hours.	
4	Q Was the establishment still closed when	
5	you left that location?	
6	A Yes. We waited for a period of time to	
7	try to wait for somebody to come with a key to give	
8	us access. When that didn't happen, after a while	
9	while, we went back to the office and left	
10	instructions.	
11	Q I am sorry.	
12	A Left instructions to call the Crime Scene	
13	Unit when we could have access to the sports bar.	
14	Q When you left the location, was were	
15	police still safeguarding it?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q Now, can you tell me, were you aware	
18	whether anybody else in your unit was dispatched to	
19	process the inside of that location?	
20	A Yes.	
21	Q And who was it that was assigned?	
22	A Detective James O'Sullivan.	
23	Q And where is Detective James O'Sullivan	
24	now?	
25	A He's retired.	

	Cunningham - Direct	52
1	Q In the last few weeks, did you make	
2	attempts to reach out to Detective O'Sullivan?	
3	A Yes, I did.	
4	Q What were the results of your attempts?	
5	A I wasn't able to contact him. He was not	
6	residing at his residence anymore.	
7	MS. CHU: Now, at this time, your Honor,	
8	if I can have People's 1 posted for the	
9	witness?	
10	THE COURT: Certainly.	
11	Q Detective O'Sullivan Detective	
12	Cunningham, referring to the left side of People's	
13	1, the diagram there; who created that diagram?	
14	A I did.	
15	Q And when did you create it?	
16	A In the days following the incident at the	
17	crime scene office.	
18	Q Does that diagram fairly and accurately	
19	depict the scene as you processed it and as you	
20	prepared it on February 27 th , 2005?	
21	A Yes, it does.	
22	Q What about I am sorry. Just with	
23	regard to the diagram itself. Are you familiar with	
24	the term to be to scale?	
25	A Yes.	

53 Is this diagram to scale? 1 0 2 No. This diagram was prepared for 3 illustration, not to draw the scale up. What does it mean to be to scale? 4 5 If drawn to scale, everything depicted in 6 the diagram would be relative to it's true size. So 7 the measurements would all have to coincide, would be the true measurements at the scene. There would 8 9 be some scale, one inch may equal 25 feet in real 10 life. 11 And this diagram is not to scale? 12 No. Now, the photograph that run along the 13 Q right-hand side of People's number 1, that's labeled 14 15 A, B, C, D, E. Who took those photographs? I took those photographs. 16 17 When did you take them? 0 18 Α I took them when I was at the scene that 19 day. If you would, taking this red marker, 20 would you please indicate each -- what each of the 21 photographs, where you were standing when you took 22 23 those photographs and what your view was? 24 THE WITNESS: Approach the sketch, please? THE COURT: Certainly. 25

	Cunningham - Direct	54
1	A Photograph A, want me to draw on the	
2	sketch where I was standing?	
3	Q Yes, please.	
4	A Photograph A, I was standing at this	
5	location, facing this way. Photograph B, I was	
6	standing right here, facing this way. Photograph C,	
7	I was standing in this area, facing this way.	
8	Photograph D, I was facing the samples on the	
9	sidewalk. And photograph E, I was standing down	
10	here, sidewalk, facing that way. (Indicating)	
11	Q Now, on your diagram, you actually have a	
12	directional north, facing north going towards the	
13	top of the diagram?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q That would be going towards like downtown	
16	Brooklyn, into the Manhattan?	
17	A Yes.	
18	MS. CHU: Your Honor, if I can have one	
19	moment?	
20	You can have a seat, Detective.	
21	THE COURT: Yes.	
22	MS. CHU: Thank you very much. I have	
23	nothing further.	
24	THE COURT: Cross-examination?	
25	MR. DRANOVE: No, sir.	
	1	

Cunningham - Direct

	Cumingham Direct
1	THE COURT: Thank you.
2	Detective Cunningham, you're excused. You
3	may step down from the witness stand.
4	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5	(WITNESS EXCUSED)
6	THE COURT: I am going to recess the trial
7	for lunch. We are going to resume at 2:15.
8	Have a nice lunch break.
9	Don't discuss the case.
10	I want to see you back here at that time.
11	Take charge of the jury, please?
12	(Jury Excused)
13	THE COURT: I ask those here at the trial
14	to remain in the courtroom until the jurors are
15	in the elevator and out. That's the procedure
16	I'd like to follow for the rest of the trial so
17	you don't get mixed up with the jurors.
18	The court officer will tell you when it's
19	okay to leave. Thanks for your patience.
20	2:15. Have a nice lunch.
21	(Whereupon, there was a luncheon
22	recess at this time.)
23	(Whereupon, Michele Walker was relieved by
24	Sandy Wilkes as Official Senior Court Reporter
25	for the afternoon session.)

COLLOQUY

AFTERNOON SESSION

THE COURT: Before we bring the jury out, I just want to resolve a couple of things on the record.

application to read back some prior testimony that the defendant gave at the first trial, and I asked the defense if there was any objection to it and the defense furnished me with a letter outlining a request that some additional portion of the testimony be read back and also objecting to the last two lines of the read back. I took a look at the transcript and I'm going to grant the defense's application to read back the additional lines that were requested by the defense from the proposed testimony by the DA, but I'm denying the application to redact the last two lines of the defendant's account. So that's my ruling.

This can be read back by the district attorney at the point in time that the People wish to during the trial.

MR. DRANOVE: Well, may I elaborate on this record why I asked for the redaction of lines you're not ordering to be redacted?

THE COURT: You could put your reason on the record. I mean, you sent me a letter about it.

MR. DRANOVE: Right. Basically my client

COLLOQUY

testified after there was courtroom testimony from an expert witness that the victim's blood was on the cap.

My client is not an expert. My client had already testified at the trial he left and he didn't stab the fellow. So I think this is taking my client's adoption of the prosecution witness's conclusion and making it seem as if my client affirmatively knew it and was present when the fellow was stabbed which he's denying. I think it opens up a pandora's box and I'm going to designate that my client's testimony that he didn't stab him, in order to undo, you know, an inference, the jury would improperly draw from those records. My client said in response to Ms. Chu, in response to the words that were testified that the blood belonged to the victim.

THE COURT: I don't know what your client's thought process was when he answered that question.

You're apparently inferring that's the reason why he gave that answer.

MR. DRANOVE: Yeah, that's right.

THE COURT: There's going to be testimony at this trial regarding that DNA analysis, so it's going to come out at this trial the same thing as the last trial. The jury wasn't told at that trial that was the reason why he gave that answer, so his testimony would

COLLOQUY

1 be the same.

MR. DRANOVE: Judge, the jury at the other trial had already heard that the victim's blood was on the cap. Here they have not heard that yet. With this being read in at this time could be led to infer directly that my client was present when blood got onto that cap.

THE COURT: A simple answer. Then I'll direct the district attorney not to read back this exhibit until after the DNA evidence has been brought to the attention of the jury. That will eliminate the sequential issue you're concerned about.

MR. DRANOVE: And it also somehow formulates some notice at a prior proceeding this DNA testimony had already been presented in that case before my client uttered those words.

MS. CHU: I'm going to object to that, your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't know how I can tell the jury that, to explain that. That's something that I really can't explain.

MR. DRANOVE: Judge, I think the purpose of this testimony having been read in was for my client to identify the clothing. That was done before his saying that blood on there belonged to the victim. We're

COLLOQUY

taking it to a different evidentiary point on the sly, but very directly.

THE COURT: He's accepted the fact it's the blood of the victim. He's accepted.

MR. DRANOVE: He heard the testimony. This jury is going to think otherwise unless he testifies. You're putting an impermissible burden on us to explain why in that proceeding he would say the victim's blood is on that cap unless the jury here knows there has been testimony about that before hand.

THE COURT: I'm not putting any burden on anyone to do anything.

MR. DRANOVE: I disagree.

THE COURT: It wasn't objected to at the time he gave that answer. And in terms of the sequence, you're saying that's the reason why he gave it. I don't know what the reason is why he gave that answer. All I know, that was the question, that was the answer. And I believe the People should be allowed to read the transcript. When the summations are given, whatever argument you want to make about this, you're free to make. I'm not inferring any rationale as to why he gave that answer, and I'm eliminating the sequential issue that you're talking about which will be that this testimony would be read back after the DNA evidence has

COLLOQUY

1	hoon	read	haak
T 1	peen	read	Dack

MR. DRANOVE: But they would have no, no -in order to do that, this testimony that's read back
was given in another proceeding, the DNA testimony
reading --

THE COURT: I don't agree. He may have known before the trial, before the DNA report was given to him.

MR. DRANOVE: Let the jury know that in the prior proceeding the testimony in front of my client was the victim's blood on that cap, and that's the testimony in open court that took place before my client testified. Otherwise, the jury is going to speculate.

THE COURT: I'm not going to inject that into the trial as to that issue. You have your exception.

MR. DRANOVE: All right.

MS. CHU: Just to clarify, your Honor, the Court, you're saying what you're granting to him is page 524, lines --

THE COURT: Nine through 24.

MS. CHU: Just wanted to put it on.

THE COURT: You have an application regarding the medical examiner's testimony?

MS. CHU: Yes, your Honor. I intend to put

COLLOQUY

into evidence the autopsy report that was done for Mr. Ojeda. And contained within the autopsy report is a page, supplemental case information page that was prepared by MLI Jude Anglade. In that report there's reference in that the MLI says as per Dr. Prokura(ph) the decedent was brought to the emergency room and I seek to redact that as hearsay. It's double hearsay. The MLI testified he learned it from the doctor who learned it from friends.

In addition to that, there were questions that were proceeded by defense counsel I believe during the last trial having to do with information that was contained in there. I guess I could address that at the time, but I would like to redact that from the autopsy report before my next witness.

MR. DRANOVE: Judge, this doctor had a duty to report this. Dr. Prokura and another doctor signed off on the report. And what Ms. Chu is trying to do -- you didn't preside at the last trial so let me help. At the last trial I asked the medical examiner referencing this report some questions, and her answers were --

MS. CHU: What page are you on?

MR. DRANOVE: I'm on page 468.

"Do you agree that the result in your files

COLLOQUY

says the decedent was taken to the hospital after he had been on the ground for more than 20 minutes?

"ANSWER: It is correct, yes.

"QUESTION: Now, based upon your experience, if this report is true, would this gentleman have been lying on the ground long enough to have bled to death?

"ANSWER: He was bleeding profusely. I don't have any way of saying if they did bring him to the hospital earlier that they should have saved his life.

I don't know. But obviously for 20 minutes he was bleeding."

Now, it was noted a fatal wound that killed this man. So he was bleeding for a substantial period of time. The doctor concluded obviously he was bleeding, obviously for 20 minutes he was bleeding.

Based upon her professional experience and the records before her, the records of people that have a duty to report, I think this is permissible and I think to take out of this report a very significant medical examiner report upon which a medical examiner did in fact base an opinion, and upon which I wish to question the medical examiner is again taking away the chance to confront the witness and let the jury hear the evidence that they're entitled to hear from the medical examiner.

COLLOQUY

THE COURT: As far as I can tell, the cause of death is not an issue at this trial -
MR. DRANOVE: You know, judge --

THE COURT: -- even if medical treatment could have saved the life of the victim.

MR. DRANOVE: You're right.

THE COURT: It wouldn't matter.

MR. DRANOVE: What matters is how long he was bleeding. Since there will be clear testimony that my client was out of there moments after he struck, this gentleman, the victim, and then different words used by friends of the victim, basically a big fight broke out and went on and it was obviously was broken up.

THE COURT: Well, the medical examiner certainly can offer no testimony as to how long he was bleeding on the ground.

MR. DRANOVE: That's correct. But the medical examiner can offer testimony that he was bleeding, and for how long would be based upon medical information presented. When someone presents to the hospital injured, the description of what happened is admissible if it's for treatment purposes. This is the same, he was bleeding for 20 minutes, can you save him? They couldn't save him.

THE COURT: Well, you're free to ask the

COLLOQUY

medical examiner that. But the question as to what the doctor told some medical investigator for the preparation of the report, that would be hearsay. I don't see -- there's no treatment in this case by the medical examiner.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ DRANOVE: There was treatment by the medical staff.

THE COURT: Not by the medical examiner.

MR. DRANOVE: No, but there was treatment by the medical staff in the hospital based on what they learned.

THE COURT: My ruling is that part of it would be hearsay. It could not come in what any doctor told the medical investigator for the medical examiner's office. The medical examiner is free to be questioned about what her opinion is as to the cause of death, how long the victim may have bled before he died, and anything that she has either first hand knowledge of or an opinion based on the information that she obtained by doing the autopsy.

MR. DRANOVE: Could I just have that read back, sir. I just want to have that read back so I'm clear.

THE COURT: All right, let's bring the jury in okay.

	COLLOQUY 65
1	You can read that back.
2	(At this time, the record was read back.)
3	THE COURT: Okay, let's proceed with the
4	trial.
5	MS. CHU: So, your Honor the autopsy that I'm
6	going to be putting into evidence, I'm going to mention
7	it might be subject to redaction? So I didn't redact
8	it obviously until your Honor gave the ruling.
9	THE COURT: You'll offer that exhibit and
10	then you'll redact. You don't have to say it's subject
11	to redaction.
12	MS. CHU: Okay.
13	MR. DRANOVE: Thanks, judge.
14	COURT OFFICER: Ready?
15	THE COURT: Yes.
16	COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.
17	COURT CLERK: Both sides waive the roll call?
18	MS. CHU: So waived.
19	MR. DRANOVE: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Good afternoon ladies and
21	gentlemen of the jury. Hope you had a pleasant lunch
22	and recess. When we left off, the prosecution was
23	calling a witness. We'll continue with that now.
24	MS. CHU: The People call Dr. Frede Frederic.

(At this time, the witness entered the

	COLLOQUY 66
1	courtroom.)
2	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
3	DR. FREDERIC: Good afternoon.
4	COURT CLERK: Solemnly swear the testimony
5	you are about to give will be the truth, the whole
6	truth, and nothing but the truth?
7	DR. FREDERIC: Yes, I do.
8	COURT CLERK: Be seated, please. State your
9	name.
10	DR. FREDERIC: Frede, F-R-E-D-E, Frederic,
11	F-R-E-D-E-R-I-C.
12	COURT CLERK: What county do you live in?
13	DR. FREDERIC: Kings.
14	COURT CLERK: Thank you.
15	THE COURT: You may inquire of the witness.
16	MS. CHU: Thank you.
17	DR. FREDE FREDERIC, having been duly sworn,
18	testified as follows:
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MS. CHU:
21	Q Good afternoon, Doctor.
22	A Good afternoon.
23	Q Are you licensed to practice medicine in the state
24	of New York?
25	A Yes, I am.

- Q And what is your field or specialty?
- A Forensic pathology.

2.4

- Q What is the field of forensic pathology?
- A Pathology is the study of disease, and forensic pathology is a special field of death investigation. That involve anytime somebody kill someone, anytime somebody kill himself or anytime somebody is dead and they cannot explain why the person is dead.
- Q Now, Doctor, did you receive any specific training to become specialized in the area of forensic pathology?
 - A Yes, I did.
- Q And what did that entail? What is your educational background? I'm sorry.
- A I study medicine in Haiti and I came to the United States. I did four years of pathology at Maimonides Medical Center in Brooklyn, New York. Then I did two years of forensic pathology in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
- Q Now, are you currently employed as a forensic pathologist?
- A Yes. Since 1988 I am working in the office of the chief medical examiner for the City of New York.
 - Q And what is the position that you hold there?
 - A City medical examiner.
- Q Now, in your position in the M.E.s office, have you had occasions to perform autopsies?

A Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

- Q Can you explain to the members of the jury what is an autopsy?
- A An autopsy consist of an external and an internal examination to determine the cause of death.
- Q How many autopsies have you performed in your career?
 - A More than five thousand autopsy.
- Q In your position as a medical examiner, have you had occasion to testify in courts of law?
- A Yes, in all the five borough, in all the court, in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and in Israel.
 - Q And how many times have you done so?
 - A More than two hundred times.
 - Q Each time have you been qualified as an expert?
- 16 | A Yes.
- 17 Q In what field?
- 18 A Forensic pathology.
 - MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, I would offer Dr. Frederic as a expert in the field of forensic pathology.
 - THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. DRANOVE: No.
- 24 THE COURT: There's no dispute ladies and gentlemen Dr. Frederic is a expert in forensic

pathology, and therefore she will be permitted to testify before you as a expert in this field.

You may proceed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, pursuant to CPL 45.18, I am offering into evidence a certified copy of the autopsy report.

THE COURT: Any objection by the defense?

MR. DRANOVE: May I examine it first? I

don't anticipate any, but I'd like to examine it.

THE COURT: Please, show it to Mr. Dranove.

(Handing.)

MR. DRANOVE: No objection.

THE COURT: Okay, People's 2 will be received in evidence.

Show it to the witness.

COURT OFFICER: So marked, your Honor.

- Q Doctor, on February 28, 2005, did you perform an autopsy on a body that was identified to your office as Edgar Ojeda?
 - A It's February 27.
 - Q I'm sorry, February 27th?
 - A Yes, I did.
- Q And can you tell me what is the case number assigned to this case?
 - A KO51155.

DR. FREDERIC - DIRECT/CHU

Q What was the height and weight and approximate age of Mr. Ojeda?

A Mr. Ojeda weigh 118 pounds, his height was 65 inches, and he appears to be the set age of 29 years old.

Q Were there any recent injuries that were found on Mr. Ojeda's body during the autopsy?

A Yes. At the time of the external examination, Mr. Ojeda sustained three stab wound to the body.

Q Can you randomly select one of stab wounds and describe that wound for us?

A The stab wound, they are labeled one, two, and three. But I don't know which one is the first. The one that I label first is locate on the left side of the chest about eleven and a half inch below the top of the head and three and one quarter of an inch to the left of the anterior neck. This — the length of the stab wound is about one and one quarter of an inch. This stab wound goes inside, inside the left chest cavity, cut the second rib deep, that perforates the upper lobe of the left lung. The entire depth of the stab wound is about five inch. This stab wound was from the front of the body to the back from the left to the right and downward.

Q Now, you mentioned something about once the stab wound goes in, you said something about a rib?

A Yes. The stab wound cut the second rib.

DR. FREDERIC - DIRECT/CHU

- Q It cut through the second rib?
- A Yes, correct.

- Q And you said that it actually -- could you tell how far it went into the lung?
 - A About one, one inch.
 - Q About one inch?

A In the lung, but the entire depth of the stab wound is about five inch like from start to finish. It's about five inch.

Q Okay, and can you tell me, would you please describe the second wound for us, the one that you randomly labeled number two?

A The second stab wound is located on the left side of the back. It's about 16 and one quarter of an inch to the top of the head and six and one quarter of an inch to the left of the posterior midline. The stab wound, the length of the stab wound is about one and one quarter of an inch. This stab wound is only to the skin, to the subcutaneous tissue, and the muscle of the left side of the back and the entire depth of the stab wound is about two and a quarter inch. This stab wound is from the back to the front, left to right and downward.

Q Okay, and you said that that didn't hit any organs, that the stab wounds did not have any organs that were injured as result?

A It is correct.

Q Now, if you would please move on to the third stab wound.

A The third stab wound is locate in the posterior aspect of the left shoulder. It's about 12 and one quarter of an inch below the top of the head and eight and one quarter of a inch to the left of the posterior midline, and the length of the stab wound is about one inch. This stab wound goes only to the skin and the subcutaneous tissue of the left shoulder and the muscle of the left shoulder and the left side of the back. The entire depth of the stab wound about two inch. This stab wound goes from the back to the front, left to right and downward. So we have two stab wound in the back and one stab wound in the front.

- Q Okay. Now, can you tell me, you mentioned that each of those stab wounds was about one and a quarter inches in width?
 - A The length. The length.
 - Q The length, I'm sorry.
- Now, when you say "length," that means that the actual cut in the skin was about one and a quarter inch in length?
 - A That is correct.
- Q And did you notice anything about the wounds themselves?

- A Those stab wound, each of them like depending on the way the knife entered the body, the wound will be gapping, like you have a space between the two edges of the wound. So you have to put the two wound together. And when you put them together, it's like a particular shape wound and they have an acute angle.
- Q You're saying that when you have a stab wound that there is basically a gapping wound that occurs to the skin?
 - A Depending on how the person was stabbed.
- Q Okay, these stab wounds you said something about having to put the edges together?
 - A That is correct.
 - Q Did you do that with these three stab wounds?
 - A I did, yes.

2.0

- Q And when you did that, did you make a determination as to the edges of those stab wounds?
- A The edge of the stab wound, they exhibit the shape of a acute angle. And because they were stab wounds, the edge were very sharp because they were done by a sharp instrument.
- Q So what you're saying when you put the two edges together, that on either side of the edges there was an acute angle?
 - A That is correct.
 - Q And that gives you an indication that the weapon

that was used had to have been sharp on both sides?

A Not necessarily. That could be that you have weapon that is sharpen on both sides. But if you have a knife that has a very thin back, a thin back, that will give you also an acute angle.

Q It wouldn't have to necessarily be as sharp on the sides, but narrow enough?

MR. DRANOVE: Judge, I'd like the witness to answer, not be led.

THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection to the form of the question.

Q Can you explain to us what you just meant by that?

A I said both of them, they will give you an acute angle. That's all I could say, that either, if you have both, put each of the two knife, if they are sharp, you will get an acute angle. If you have a knife that have a thin back, that will also give you acute angle.

- Q A very thin edge is what you're talking about?
- A A thin edge, yes.
 - Q Okay, thank you very much.

Now, have you completed your remarks regarding all of those stab wounds?

- A Yes, I did.
- Q Based upon your autopsy that you performed, what was the cause of death to Mr. Ojeda to a reasonable degree

DR. FREDERIC - DIRECT/CHU

of medical certainty?

A That is stab wound to the chest and upper extremity with lung and muscular skeletal injuries.

Q Now, can you tell me with the injury that
Mr. Ojeda received to his, the chest, the left chest area,
what would happen to the body as a result of that stab
wound, one that went in five inches?

A This is in reality, this is the only stab wound that kill Mr. Ojeda because that went through the lung and the person bled to death. You had some blood inside the left chest cavity.

- Q Blood was inside the left chest cavity?
- A Blood.
- Q Okay, and can you tell me, I'm just going to ask you, if you received an injury such as that, would you still have the ability to move and to walk around?

A Of course you could still move because it's not like the movie, like they stab you and you are dead right there. You have to lose a certain amount of blood. Like you have to lose one third of your blood. You lose consciousness and then the person continue to breathe and will lose blood for the person to be dead.

Q And how long would you -- I'm sorry, in your opinion how long would this type of injury take before Mr. Ojeda would lose consciousness?

DR. FREDERIC - DIRECT/CHU

- A Maybe minutes.
 - Q Minutes?

- A Few minutes.
- Q And what about resulting in death?
- A Few minutes.
 - Q Few minutes. Okay.

Now, I want to give you a hypothetical.

Would the injuries that you found on Mr. Ojeda upon his autopsy be consistent with someone waving a knife around like this?

A No. If you are -- you have to stab the person. The knife has to go through.

MR. DRANOVE: Your Honor, I would like the record to reflect the witness gestured with her right hand from up and around the shoulder area downwards toward the table top in front of her.

THE COURT: So indicated. And the record should reflect when the DA asked the question, she made a waving motion with her hand as if she was holding the knife in her hand waving it.

A Because the knife cut. If you are just waving a knife, that cannot go through somebody.

- Q It wouldn't have been able to penetrate five inches into the body?
- A That is correct.

- Q Now, would the injuries that you found on Mr. Ojeda upon his autopsy be consistent with someone punching him in the area with a knife?
 - A That could be.
- Q Now, could the injuries that were received by Mr. Ojeda be caused by a mere fist and not a weapon?
 - A Fist?

- Q A fist, like a --
- A What are you talking about? This is a sharp injury. This is not a blunt trauma. This is a sharp injury that is caused by a knife or a sharp instrument.
- Q And are all the three injuries that you found to Mr. Ojeda where you matched the edges, do they all, were they consistent with having used one weapon?
- A It is consistent that one knife was used to put the stab wound.
- Q Was a toxicology examination requested on the fluids from Mr. Ojeda?
- A Yes, they were.
- Q And could you explain to the members of the jury what toxicology is?
- A Why don't you call somebody from the toxicology lab? I am a doctor. I'm a forensic. I know about the toxicology, but I am not a expert in toxicology.
 - Q But do you know what they are?

A I could tell you the finding.

Toxicology is the study of person, like whatever medication that you take or if you drink alcohol, if you smoke cocaine, marijuana, always will show in your toxicology. In his blood it has small amount of alcohol and he did smoke marijuana because cannabis was detected.

- Q Were there any other injuries to Mr. Ojeda's body upon his autopsy other than the ones you just described?
 - A No.

Q Now, I just want to ask you one other question.

Now, with respect to the stab wound to the front, the one that went in five inches, would you expect the person or whoever was doing the stabbing to get blood on him? Would it result in blood coming out of that wound?

MR. DRANOVE: Objection.

THE COURT: It's two separate questions, so rephrase your question.

Q The injuries that Mr. Ojeda had to the chest injury, okay, the one that went in five inches, would you expect that wound to have bled immediately upon his being stabbed?

- A For him to bleed, yes.
- Q If someone were the one that put the knife into Mr. Ojeda, would you expect blood, to perhaps get the blood on the person who actually stabbed him?

DR. FREDERIC - DIRECT/CHU

MR. DRANOVE: Objection. No foundation and speculative.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain to the form of the question.

Could you describe how the blood might come out? Would it come out slowly, quickly or spurt out?

Can you describe, if you have an opinion, as to how the blood would come out of a wound like that?

out gushing unless that is moving. It's not like the person is stabbed in the ventricular vein in the neck, with the jugular vein or the artery, the carotid artery. Next, when you stab the person, when the blood were come out, no, it's not like that.

Q Well, let me ask you this: If you were to take the knife and insert it into Mr. Ojeda, stab it into him and then take it out, would that motion cause blood to come out?

MR. DRANOVE: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

You may answer that question. Would it cause blood to come out?

A The knife will have blood in it. But the blood gushing, coming out of the wound that goes to the, goes to the person that did it, I doubt it.

Q But the act of the actual knifing in and out of

Mr. Ojeda's body the way it did, would that have caused blood to be on the knife, and perhaps after the --

MR. DRANOVE: Objection to the form of the question.

THE COURT: Yes, sustained as to perhaps.

MR. DRANOVE: And it's a compound question.

THE COURT: I'm sustaining the objection to the question.

Q Would the knife going into Mr. Ojeda's body as deep as it did and coming out, would that cause there to be blood on the knife?

A Yes, will have blood on the knife.

Q Thank you very much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CHU: May I have, if I can have this deemed People's number 3.

Mr. Dranove?

MR. DRANOVE: Yes.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. DRANOVE: No.

THE COURT: Okay, People's 3 will be received in evidence and posted.

Q Doctor, if you could just take a look at that diagram.

Do you recognize it?

A Yes, I do.

DR. FREDERIC - DIRECT/CHU

- Q Who prepared that?
- A I did.

- Q And did you prepare that in connection with the autopsy you did on Mr. Ojeda?
 - A Yes.
- Q Can you just tell us what it is a diagram of essentially?
- A The body diagram. This is for somebody to understand what happened. Instead of showing a picture, they show the body diagram to show where the stab wound were. Like I describe the first one in the front in the left upper chest, and we have the two other stab wound in the left side of the back.
- Q Doctor, would you please approach the exhibit and just use this red marker and just mark for us the wounds as you have labeled them, number one, two, and three?
- A This one is number one stab wound. This is the one that went inside the chest cavity that went to the lung and that killed Mr. Ojeda.
- And this is number three and the number two. Those went only to skin, to subcutaneous tissue. That will not kill somebody.
 - Q Thank you very much, Doctor. You can have a seat.

 MS. CHU: Your Honor, may I have one moment?

25 THE COURT: Certainly.

DR.	FREDERIC ·	- DIRECT/CHU

MS. CHU: Thank you very much. I have nothing further.

THE COURT: Cross examination Mr. Dranove?

MR. DRANOVE: Thank you.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. DRANOVE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Doctor, you discussed the testimony you gave here before you came here today with Ms. Chu, is that correct?

A Yes. But if you did ask me, yes, I would have discuss it with you also.

O Fine.

Well, let me ask you something here.

Did you discuss with Ms. Chu your opinion of how long Mr. Ojeda was bleeding before he lost consciousness?

MS. CHU: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

A Yes, I did.

Q Did she ask you if it could have been up to 20 minutes?

- A That cannot be 20 minutes.
- Q Did she ask you if it could be up to ten minutes?
- A No. I just tell her that should be minutes, and that's what I said.
 - Q How many minutes could it have been?
 - A Few minutes. Maybe less than five minutes.

DR. FREDERIC - CROSS/DRANOVE

- Q Maybe five minutes?
- A Maybe.

- Q Maybe six minutes?
- A That could be.
 - Q Do you agree that no artery was severed by that fatal wound?
 - A No artery was sever, but the lung, this is one of the organ where the blood goes.
 - O Of course.
 - A For the content of oxygen. The lung is full of blood. So when that stab wound went one half inch into the lung, the person would start to bleed and bleed profusely.
 - Q Now, do you have any opinion as to how long it would take for that man in that physical condition to have bled to death once the fatal wound was inflicted?
 - A About maybe five, six minutes. Maybe less than ten minutes you can say.
- Q Five or six minutes to lose consciousness, correct?
 - A The person lose consciousness first, maybe minutes, and then maybe less than ten minutes the person will die.
- **Q** Okay.
- Now, the prosecutor asked you if somebody punched a man with a knife, could it inflict that wound, correct?

DR. FREDERIC - CROSS/DRANOVE

- 1 You heard some questions and answered about that?
- 2 A She ask if this was punch. I said this is nonsense. This was stab.
 - Q Stabbed by someone holding a knife, correct?
 - A Of course.

- Q Thrusting it from an upward position downwards, correct?
 - A The stab wound went downward, that is correct.
- Q And it went from, when you look at the victim, from his left side downwards and inwards into the lung? The left or right lung, I don't know which one?
 - A Into the left lung.
- Q The wounds in the back, they also were downwards from left to right?
- A That is correct.
- Q Now, would the injury to the lung prevent the person from speaking?
- A Like I said, it's not like in movie like as soon as the person receive the stab wound the person just drop dead. The person could speak. You have to start losing blood and you have to lose a certain amount of blood to lose consciousness. So when the person first received the stab wound, he would be --
 - Q The person would know the person was stabbed?

 MS. CHU: Objection.

DR.	FREDERIC	- CROSS	/DRANOVE

THE COURT: Sustained.

Do you remember being asked about the injury in the chest possibility being caused by someone punching the person with a knife?

MS. CHU: Objection.

Do you recall being asked something about that? 0 THE COURT: Overruled.

Do you remember the DA asked you a question could this have been caused by someone punching the victim with a knife in his hand? Do you recall that question?

THE WITNESS: The question is, the person is stab, not punch. That is not what it's from.

I beg your pardon?

I can't answer that. The person isn't punch, the person is stab with the knife.

- The knife being thrust downwards into the chest?
- That is correct. Α
 - Thank you. I have no further questions. Q

THE COURT: Any redirect?

MS. CHU: No.

THE COURT: Thank you Dr. Frederic. You are excused. You may return to your normal medical duties.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, ladies and gentlemen, there

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

DR. FREDERIC - CROSS/DRANOVE

is a witness who is not available to testify, a retired detective, and so it's been agreed that you will hear prior testimony that this witness gave in another proceeding. So we're going to have that witness's testimony read back.

MR. DRANOVE: Can we approach for a moment?

THE COURT: Certainly.

(At this time, a bench conference was held off the record.)

THE COURT: All right, counsel have requested permission just to go outside in the hallway for one moment, so.

(At this time, there was a break in the proceeding and the matter subsequently resumed.)

THE COURT: Are you ready to proceed?

MR. DRANOVE: Next witness.

THE COURT: All right.

Okay, as I was saying, the witness Detective James O'Sullivan is a retired detective and he's not available to testify. And since he has given testimony at a prior proceeding, the parties have agreed to save time and trouble to simply read to you the testimony that he gave before as the evidence that he would have to offer at this trial. So I'm going to allow the district attorney to read the transcript of his

PROCEEDINGS

1	testimony to you, and you may accept it as evidence as
2	if he were here personally giving us his testimony.
3	Would you like to read it from the witness
4	box?
5	MS. CHU: Sure.
6	James O'Sullivan, shield 6592, assigned to
7	the Crime Scene Unit.
8	"QUESTION: Good afternoon, Detective."
9	THE COURT: This is direct examination.
10	MS. CHU: I'm sorry, this is direct
11	examination of Detective O'Sullivan.
12	"QUESTION: Good afternoon, Detective.
13	"ANSWER: Good afternoon.
14	"QUESTION: How long have you been with the
15	New York City Police Department?
16	"ANSWER: Eighteen years, eleven months.
17	"QUESTION: Can you tell me, you said you're
18	assigned to the Crime Scene Unit. How long have you
19	been there?
20	"ANSWER: A little over five years.
21	"QUESTION: Just tell us about your career
22	with the NYPD.
23	"ANSWER: I entered the Police Academy in
24	July of 1987. Upon graduation of the Academy, I was
25	transferred to NSU-3 out of Midtown South, a training

PROCEEDINGS

unit. I stayed in Midtown South until December of '96 where I was transferred to Manhattan South Evidence Collection team. I was there until my transfer in May of 2001 to the Crime Scene Unit.

"QUESTION: Did you receive any specialized training to become a member of the Evidence Collection Unit as well as the Crime Scene Unit?

"ANSWER: Yes. My training started back in 1988. I was trained as a latent prints officer while assigned to Midtown South Precinct. Upon assignment to Manhattan South Evidence Collection team, I was trained by the Crime Scene Unit in the areas of forensic, the forensic areas of processing the crime scene as well as transferred to the Crime Scene I was trained again by Crime Scene in the crime scene processing procedures.

"QUESTION: You were trained by someone who was more senior to you?

"ANSWER: Yes.

"QUESTION: Now, can you tell me what are your duties and responsibilities as a member of the unit?

"ANSWER: My job, my responsibilities is to respond to a crime scene and process that scene for physical evidence, the documentation of the crime scene, the recovery of evidence, documentation of that

PROCEEDINGS

	PROCEEDINGS 89
1	evidence, packaging of that evidence, proper packaging
2	for it to be forwarded to the specific labs for
3	analysis.
4	"QUESTION: On February 27th of 2005 were you
5	working?
6	"ANSWER: Yes, I was.
7	"QUESTION: Can you tell the members of the
8	jury what your hours were?
9	"ANSWER: I was actually working from the
10	26th eleven p.m. until eight o'clock in the morning of
11	the 27th.
12	"QUESTION: Were you assigned a partner that
13	day?
14	"ANSWER: Yes, I was.
15	"QUESTION: Who was that?
16	"ANSWER: Police Officer Hulick.
17	"QUESTION: Did there come a time when you
18	became involved in the investigation into the stabbing
19	death that occurred at 314 39th Street here in
20	Brooklyn?
21	"ANSWER: Yes.
22	"QUESTION: About what time was it that you
23	were notified to go to the scene?
24	"ANSWER: Approximately 6:30.
25	"QUESTION: 6:30 in the morning?

PROCEEDINGS

	PROCEEDINGS 90
1	"ANSWER: Yes, ma'am.
2	"QUESTION: To your knowledge had anybody
3	from your unit already responded to the scene?
4	"ANSWER: Yes.
5	"QUESTION: Who was that?
6	"ANSWER: Detective Michael Cunningham.
7	"QUESTION: What time did you arrive at the
8	scene?
9	"ANSWER: Approximately 7:15 in the morning
10	on the 27th.
11	"QUESTION: When you arrived at the location,
12	can you tell me was the location open? Was the
13	establishment open?
14	"ANSWER: Yes. The corner bar, it was open
15	with a member Police Officer Bao safeguarding the
16	location.
17	"QUESTION: The scene was already established
18	by the time you arrived there?
19	"ANSWER: Yes.
20	"QUESTION: Was crime scene tape already up?
21	"ANSWER: Yes.
22	"QUESTION: Any civilians inside or allowed
23	to roam within the confines of the actual establishment
24	when you arrived there?
25	"ANSWER: No.

1	"QUESTION: What did you do when you got
2	there?
3	"ANSWER: Upon arrival I did an initial
4	walk-through of the location. I tried to make note of
5	items that are of particular interest to myself. I
6	then proceeded to do a rough sketch or hand-drawn
7	sketch of the location. When my sketch is done with
8	measurements, I will photograph the entire scene. Any
9	items that I discover or I find during my crime scene
10	search of importance I will label, will put a
11	photograph, ruler and rephotograph those items in place
12	as I found them. Then I will recover those items,
13	document what those items were and then process the
14	scene for latent fingerprints.
15	"QUESTION: Was your job on that morning just
16	to process the inside of 314 39th Street?
17	"ANSWER: Yes, it was.
18	"QUESTION: Do you know what Detective
19	Cunningham had done prior to you getting there?
20	"ANSWER: I was just aware that he processed
21	the exterior. My job was to process the interior of
22	the location.

"QUESTION: Did you observe anything or recover anything from inside of 314 39th Street?

"ANSWER: Yes, I did.

23

24

PROCEEDINGS

"QUESTION: Explain to the members of the jury what that was.

"ANSWER: Upon my investigation I discovered three samples of blood, which I did photograph and recover by recovering blood swabs from the areas, the interior. Front door, there was a blood sample on the interior side of the glass. There was a side exit door across from the bar. There were two blood samples on the floor, which I did recover.

There was an empty bottle of Clorox,

twenty-four ounce Clorox bottle in the garbage pail on

the south end of the bar. That was processed for

latent fingerprints with one lift recovered as well as

the jar itself. The Clorox bottle itself was

recovered.

The both door areas, the front door and side door area and the tables that were adjacent to the side door were processed for latent fingerprints. I obtained four latent prints from the interior glass of the front door.

"QUESTION: Front door on 39th Street?

"ANSWER: Yes.

"QUESTION: What did you do with the blood samples that you recovered from the door as well as the floor of the exit by Third Avenue?

1	"ANSWER: The samples were packaged and
2	turned over to Police Officer Bao for vouchering and
3	they were to be forwarded to the office of the Medical
4	Examiner, Medical Examiner's office for DNA analysis.
5	"QUESTION: What were done with the lifts
6	that you said you recovered, the one from the Clorox
7	bottle as well as the four inside the location?
8	"ANSWER: Lifts were processed by myself and
9	forwarded to the Major Case Section of the Latent
10	Prints Unit at One Police Plaza for analysis."
11	MS. CHU: At this time these photographs were
12	shown. You want to just show that to
13	THE COURT: Any objection?
14	MR. DRANOVE: No.
15	THE COURT: Okay, then we can mark that as
16	People's 4.
17	COURT OFFICER: Display it on the board?
18	THE COURT: You might as well. Four in
19	evidence.
20	COURT OFFICER: So marked, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: You may proceed.
22	"QUESTION: Detective, if you could just take
23	a look at those four photographs. Do you recognize
24	them?
25	"ANSWER. VAS

	PROCEEDINGS
1	"QUESTION: What do you recognize them to be
2	photographs of?
3	"ANSWER: Photographs I had taken at the
4	scene that morning. They have my label on my crime
5	scene label with my initials on the rear of the photos.
6	"QUESTION: You took them on February 27,
7	2005?
8	"ANSWER: Yes.
9	"QUESTION: Do those photographs fairly and
10	accurately depict how those portions of the bar inside
11	of 314 39th Street appeared when you were there to
12	process them?
13	"ANSWER: Yes."
14	MS. CHU: They're just going into evidence.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Show those to Mr. Dranove.
16	MR. DRANOVE: No objection.
17	THE COURT: Okay, People's 5 in evidence.
18	Why don't you walk in front of the jury box
19	with those because they're not going to see them from
20	the board.
21	You can continue to read.
22	MS. CHU: Thank you.
23	"QUESTION: If you could just look at what
24	has been marked People's 5A through E. See if you
25	recognize them.

1	"QUESTION: Do you recognize those
2	photographs?
3	"ANSWER: Yes.
4	"QUESTION: What do you recognize them to be
5	of?
6	"ANSWER: Photographs I took inside that
7	location on the 27th.
8	"QUESTION: What were they pictures of?
9	"ANSWER: Photographs of the evidence that I
10	recovered from the interior of the location.
11	"QUESTION: Meaning blood sample, Clorox
12	bottle?
13	"ANSWER: Yes.
14	"QUESTION: Do those photographs fairly and
15	accurately depict those items as they appeared when you
16	were processing the scene on February 27, 2005?
17	"ANSWER: Yes."
18	MS. CHU: Then they were offered into
19	evidence.
20	"QUESTION: If you could just point to the
21	top photograph, left photograph, I believe that is 5A
22	in evidence. Can you tell us what that is a picture
23	of?
24	"ANSWER: It's an overall view looking down
25	on to two garbage pails that were at the south end of

PROCEEDINGS

the bar. In one photograph -- in one garbage pail you could see an empty Clorox bottle.

"QUESTION: Moving on to the top right photograph, I believe 5B in evidence. What is that a picture of?

"ANSWER: Overall photograph of a blood stain on the interior side of the glass of the front door leading out to 39th Street.

"QUESTION: There is also a blue marker underneath that?

"ANSWER: This is a blue scale marker we use to give scale or standardized measurement to our photographs and also identifies the evidence.

In this photograph item was S1 for serology

1. The crime scene run number on the bottom 05/207A

depicts my run number for the job.

"QUESTION: Moving on to the second row on the left-hand side, that photograph, I believe 5C in evidence. What is that a picture of?

"ANSWER: Overall view west of the floor.

This is the side entrance door or exit door. This is the jukebox off to the left edge as you face it.

There's two blue scale rulers also in that photograph, S2 and S3 depicting blood sample locations. That just gave the overall view of the actual locations where

	PROCEEDINGS
1	they are.
2	"QUESTION: Moving on to People's 5D, the
3	next photograph to the right of that.
4	"ANSWER: Close-up view of blood sample S2.
5	Again, blue scale ruler is included. Blood sample is
6	just to the top of the black triangle or black arrow.
7	This was on the door, saddle of the door.
8	"QUESTION: That is depicted in 5C?
9	"ANSWER: Yes.
10	"QUESTION: Moving on to the last photograph,
11	People's 5E at the bottom.
12	"ANSWER: Again, close up photograph of a
13	blood sample. Not this item off to the side here.
14	It's to the top of the black arrow there's a couple of
15	droplets of blood. The scale ruler identifying as S3,
16	serology 3.
17	"QUESTION: Is that also depicted in People's
18	5C, the photograph above that?
19	"ANSWER: Yes, to the right of the jukebox
20	and just east of the door saddle of the side entrance
21	door.
22	"QUESTION: Thank you very much. You could
23	have a seat.
24	In fact, after you took the samples of blood,

did you give them to anybody?

1	"ANSWER: Yes.
2	"QUESTION: Who did you give them to?
3	"ANSWER: Upon packaging I turned them over
4	to Police Officer Bao of the 72nd precinct for
5	vouchering.
6	"QUESTION: Were there any instructions as to
7	what you were supposed to do after you voucher them?
8	"ANSWER: I fix a request for laboratory
9	analysis to the items detailing where they were to go,
10	to the medical examiner office for serology and DNA
11	analysis."
12	MS. CHU: At this time I'm going to refer
13	to this as People's 6.
14	MR. DRANOVE: Judge, I have no objection.
15	THE COURT: All right, then People's 6 can be
16	marked in evidence.
17	COURT OFFICER: So marked, your Honor.
18	"QUESTION: Detective, if you could take a
19	look at what is being shown to you. Do you recognize
20	it?
21	"ANSWER: Yes.
22	"QUESTION: What is it?
23	"ANSWER: Computer version or finalized
24	version of my rough sketch for the interior of the
25	location.

	PROCEEDINGS
1	"QUESTION: 314 39th Street?
2	"ANSWER: Yes.
3	"QUESTION: You actually prepared that
4	sketch? It's a blown-up version of it?
5	"ANSWER: Yes.
6	"QUESTION: Does that diagram fairly and
7	accurate depict the layout inside 314 39th Street as it
8	appeared when you were there on February 27, 2005?
9	"ANSWER: Yes.
10	"QUESTION: Is it to scale?
11	"ANSWER: No, it is not.
12	"QUESTION: What does that mean to be to
13	scale?
14	"ANSWER: To scale basically architects
15	draw everything to scale. Everything is sketched to an
16	exact precise measurement.
17	In the crime scene, we will measure out the
18	width and length of the location of items, but it is
19	not to a true fracture of an inch. It's a rough
20	distance with a common wheel measuring line or a tape
21	measuring, whether it's a measuring stick or regular
22	twenty-five or thirty foot Stanley, if you will, ruler.
23	"QUESTION: What appears either closer or far
24	away from each other in the diagram is not necessarily
25	how it would appear in real life?

	PROCEEDINGS 100
1	"ANSWER: Correct."
2	It was then offered into evidence.
3	This is voir dire by the defense counsel.
4	"QUESTION: Detective, are there distances
5	marked off on that item?
6	"ANSWER: Yes, there are.
7	"QUESTION: Are they accurate?
8	"ANSWER: I'm sorry, I couldn't hear you.
9	"QUESTION: Are they accurate?
10	"ANSWER: To the measurements that I took,
11	yes.
12	"QUESTION: Did you mark off which side is
13	Third Avenue and which side is 39th Street?
14	"ANSWER: Apparently, I did not, no."
15	And this is continued direct examination.
16	"QUESTION: Detective, just an aside, do any
17	of the crime scene detectives draw their diagrams to
18	scale?
19	"ANSWER: No, it's not a practice of the
20	Crime Scene Unit.
21	"QUESTION: Just because it's not to scale
22	does that mean the measurements that are within that
23	diagram are not accurate? Does that mean that?
24	"ANSWER: No, it doesn't.
25	"QUESTION: If you could just tell us, if you

	PROCEEDINGS 10
1	could walk us through the diagram."
2	And at this time the witness is approaching
3	the exhibit.
4	"QUESTION: If you could indicate what the
5	cross streets are on this diagram.
6	"ANSWER: North side would be 39th Street.
7	Out past the sidewalk, if there were one drawn, 39th
8	Street would be there and Third Avenue would be along
9	the left side of the drawing.
10	"QUESTION: Can you please mark that?"
11	And the witness marked.
12	"QUESTION: If you could just tell us which
13	is facing north.
14	"ANSWER: North is facing up, top of the
15	sketch.
16	"QUESTION: If you could just walk us through
17	the diagram.
18	"ANSWER: Front entrance door comes off 39th
19	Street indicated by the door swinging symbol showing
20	door swings out from left to right or right in. Facing
21	the door it would be a left hinge.
22	As you walk into the bar, there were tables
23	off to the right-hand side, a video game in front or

northwest corner as well as joker poker machine in the

24

	PROCEEDINGS 1
1	the bar, which went pretty much down whatever, the
2	whole interior of the location.
3	Side entrance door that leads out to Third
4	Avenue also indicated by the swing of the door right
5	over here."
6	I believe that's to the left hand portion of
7	the diagram.
8	"The jukebox, as in the photos, is right
9	adjacent to the door or south of the door next to a
10	building.
11	Toward the bottom of the bar there is an
12	opening for, I guess, the bar employees to get access
13	to the rear of the bar.
14	There were two garbage pails located just
15	south of the bar as indicated by these round symbols.
16	Dance floor toward the end, southern end of
17	the location and a rear exit door into the back of the
18	location, outdoor location.
19	"QUESTION: In the photograph, I believe
20	People's 5A in evidence, there was a picture of the
21	Clorox bottle you found inside the garbage cans?
22	"ANSWER: Yes.
23	"QUESTION: Tell us where the garbage cans

"QUESTION: Tell us where the garbage cans are located?

"ANSWER: South end of the bar. The bar is

24

1	the dashed line. There is an arrow with J1, Clorox
2	bottle, pointing to the of the two garbage pails.
3	"QUESTION: You mentioned there were serology
4	or blood sample recovered from the interior door of the
5	39th Street entrance?
6	"ANSWER: Yes.
7	"QUESTION: If you could just show us on the
8	diagram where that is.
9	"ANSWER: That would be the front door.
10	Arrow is pointing to the door as it shows it open.
11	Said by S1 with blood swab pointing to the door.
12	"QUESTION: What about the other two Third
13	Avenue exit door?
14	"ANSWER: S2, the blood sample that was
15	recovered on the saddle of the door, doorway. S3 was
16	the one adjacent to jukebox as indicated by S3, blood
17	spot with the arrest and jukebox.
18	"QUESTION: You said you took some
19	measurements?
20	"ANSWER: Yes.
21	"QUESTION: Are they indicated on this
22	diagram?
23	"ANSWER: Yes.
24	"QUESTION: What measurements did you take?
25	"ANSWER: Measurements on this diagram.

PROCEEDINGS

Also, the items that I recovered the evidence from is not on the diagram. They are in the typed report and notes.

On this diagram it was roughly five feet, eight inches from the interior or the northern phase of the wall to the front end of the bar. Approximately fifteen feet, six inches across, and the overall length was approximately fifty-seven feet, six inches from the north end to the south end of the location. There was a fixed item, a column. From the column to the north wall or 39th Street wall was approximately twenty-five feet, three inches.

"QUESTION: You said there are also other measurements noted in your other report?

"ANSWER: Yes.

"QUESTION: Have a seat and let us know what else you measured, please?

"ANSWER: I'm refreshing from my handwritten notes the serology measurements. The item S1, the blood stain, that was on the front interior of the door was approximately four feet from the bottom edge up, on the interior side of the glass and seven inches right of the left edge.

Item S2 was blood swab or blood sample on that -- was on the saddle of the door frame below the

SW

door. That was approximately seven feet, eleven inches
north of the north side of the column on the saddle
itself. I do not have a measurement going east to
west. It was affixed on the saddle.

S3 was the third blood sample that was approximately six feet north of the column and seven inches east of the west side or west edge of the column. I also --

"QUESTION: Repeat that last one.

"ANSWER: Seven inches east of the west wall coming out from the column from the wall.

"QUESTION: Not measuring from where the column comes out, but from the wall?

"ANSWER: Correct. Seven inches from the wall east of the west wall. I also measured out location of the Clorox bottle, which was in that garbage pail. It was approximately three feet, five inches west of the east wall, thirty-two feet, six inches south of the north wall or the 39th Street wall.

"QUESTION: How long were you there,
Detective?

"ANSWER: From 7:15 I finished the job. My run time ends when I get back to my office. I got back to my office at 1:30 in the afternoon.

"QUESTION: Do you know how long you were

	PROCEEDINGS 106
1	actually at the scene?
2	"ANSWER: I would have to say I left the
3	scene approximately 12, 12:30."
4	MS. CHU: And that ends the direct
5	examination. And now the cross examination.
6	"QUESTION: Detective, why did you focus at
7	all on the Clorox bottle?
8	"ANSWER: I do not believe everything is
9	focused on the Clorox bottle. It's just one item that
10	was photographed and recovered.
11	"QUESTION: Did you lift any prints from the
12	Clorox bottle?
13	"ANSWER: Yes, I did.
14	"QUESTION: Why did you seek to lift prints
15	from the Clorox bottle?
16	"ANSWER: In my experience, if a location had
17	been cleaned or an attempt had been cleaned, Clorox
18	bleach is one of the items used to clean the location.
19	In my experience I processed that bottle for
20	fingerprints as well as recovering it.
21	"QUESTION: Did you lift any prints from the
22	bottle?
23	"ANSWER: Yes, I did one lift.
24	"QUESTION: Do you know what you did with
25	that print you lifted from the Clorox bottle?

	PROCEEDINGS 107
1	"ANSWER: Yes.
2	"QUESTION: What did you do?
3	"ANSWER: Completed the card and forwarded it
4	to the Latent Prints Section of the Major Case Unit at
5	One Police Plaza.
6	"QUESTION: Do you know if the Major Case did
7	any studies upon that print?
8	"ANSWER: I do not know.
9	"QUESTION: Did you take any blood from
10	outside the
11	"ANSWER: No, I did not.
12	"QUESTION: I'm over here.
13	"ANSWER: I know.
14	"QUESTION: Do you know if there was any
15	investigation taken into whether blood was cleaned up
16	inside the premises, Detective?
17	"ANSWER: Considering I recovered or I
18	located numerous items of blood within that location, I
19	recovered those items. I cannot determine whether
20	blood was cleaned up or not cleaned.
21	"QUESTION: Did you lift any prints from the
22	Third Avenue door?
23	"ANSWER: Four prints were lifted. Third
24	Avenue door, no, sir, there were none lifted.
25	"OUESTION: How many prints in total did you

	PROCEEDINGS
1	lift?
2	"ANSWER: Five fingerprints.
3	"QUESTION: One from the Clorox and four from
4	the 39th Street door; is that correct?
5	"ANSWER: Yes.
6	"QUESTION: Do you know if there is a Police
7	Department policy you should not draw crime scenes to
8	scale?
9	"ANSWER: There is no policy, sir.
10	"QUESTION: Did you chose not to draw it to
11	scale?
12	"ANSWER: We do not draw it to scale, sir."
13	MS. CHU: That's the end.
14	THE COURT: That completes the testimony that
15	we have available today. So if you have no objection,
16	I'm going to excuse you and let you go home. Is that
17	all right with you.
18	THE JURY: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Thank you for your patience
20	today. We're going to resume the trial today, we're
21	going to resume tomorrow morning at 10:30. Please make
22	an effort to be on time.
23	Don't discuss the case.
24	(At this time, the jury exited the
25	courtroom.)

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: The trial is in recess until
tomorrow morning at 10:30. Have a nice evening. I'll
ask counsel to wait, any family member to wait until
the jury leaves.

CHE	PEC	PLI	E 0	F	THE	STA	TE	01	FI	NEV	N 3	YOF	RK		:					
			-	a	gai	nst	-								:		ND			ENT
ENR:	IQUE	R	IVE	RA	,										:		. 30	5/ /	200	
												enc								
TRIZ	AL									32 Br	20 roc	Ja	ay lyı	s n,	tr	eeler	et v Y	or	k	
вΕ	F C	R	E	:																
					HON	ORAE	BLE	A)	LAI	N I	MAI	RRI	US,	,	J.	S	.c.			
														Ju	ıst	i	ce	an	d (Jur
A P	P E	A	R	A	N C	E S	3:													
						: E	ois For PHY	tr: th	ict he IS	Pe CH	Atteor	ole , I	rne e ES(ey Q.	,	K:		S	Coi	unt
					18	-B <i>I</i>										. 7.7	TOT	ONT		
					ВУ	: :		LI	DRA	NA	IVC	Ε.,	E	SQ).		ISI nt	ON		
						M]	CH	EL	LE	WZ	ALE	KEI	R							
							AND					-					5			
													-							

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: For the record, before we start, my court officer informed me that alternate number three just told her he has a problem listening to this gruesome testimony. He didn't expect it would be so gruesome and he's worried he might pass out because it's so gruesome. I think we'll talk to him later at the first available break.

Obviously he heard the medical examiner testify yesterday. I don't think there will be any more gruesome testimony than we heard yesterday. So we'll bring him out and talk to him later.

MS. CHU: I just let Mr. Dranove know, since the last trial Mr. Dominguez has two additional arrests that resulted in a conviction. One was on May 8, 2008 he was arrested for criminal possession of a controlled substance, and that resulted in a disorderly conduct on October 30th of 2008. I gave him the docket number for that.

There was also an arrest on September 7,

2007. He was arrested for possession with intent to
sell, possession seven and aggravated unlicensed
operation of a motor vehicle. That resulted in a
conviction on September 25, 2008 to criminal possession
of a controlled substance in the seventh degree. I
think I did not put in the indictment number on that.

PROCEEDINGS

That's 8783 of 2007. On that last case, the indictment number is 8783 of 2007.

MR. DRANOVE: Judge, Ms. Chu handed me this morning what's basically a follow up to her telling me earlier that Mr. Dominguez has had some further interaction with the criminal justice system. She handed me a sheet, handed me a sheet with the information she already put on the record.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. CHU: The second thing I want to address with the Court, one of my first couple of witnesses is retired detectives, Detective Darino -- I'm sorry, Gaynor and retired Detective Rivera.

During the last trial the defense counsel asked many questions on cross examination I believe of Detective Rivera concerning interviews he had conducted with witnesses in the case and elicited information regarding what they had actually said. I would ask that the Court preclude him from asking those questions as they would be hearsay. And if he wants to address that issue as to a prior inconsistent statement, those witnesses would actually have to testify first and he has to actually confront them with the inconsistencies and perhaps call the detective back to show that they had made a prior statement that was different. I'm

PROCEEDINGS

going to ask that the Court preclude the defense counsel from asking him concerning any witnesses he may have interviewed, witnesses in this case who may or may not be testifying so we don't have to run into a case of having to ring a bell once he asks the questions, once he does which may be in the heads of the jury.

THE COURT: Are these interviews of any of the witnesses you're going to call?

MS. CHU: No. Actually he asks about Luis
Rivera, he asks about Jahaira Serrano, and he also asks
about the defendant's brother, Mr. Rivera.

THE COURT: It's an important fact. Are you going to call any of the witnesses that he interviewed?

MS. CHU: I was not going to call.

THE COURT: I'm just asking you, are you going to call any of the witnesses that he interviewed?

MS. CHU: That Detective Rivera interviewed?

THE COURT: Right.

MS. CHU: I just want to double check to make sure I have all the witnesses that he looked up.

No.

THE COURT: Okay. Then there's no issue. If you were going to call someone who he interviewed, then you should call him after that witness testifies.

MS. CHU: No, he didn't interview any of the

:	PROCEEDINGS 114
1	witnesses that would be testifying.
2	THE COURT: All right, let's bring the jury
3	in.
4	COURT OFFICER: Okay.
5	Your Honor, you're ready for the jury?
6	THE COURT: Yes.
7	COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.
8	COURT CLERK: Both sides waive the roll call?
9	MS. CHU: Yes.
10	MR. DRANOVE: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Good morning ladies and
12	gentlemen. How is my favorite jury today?
13	THE JURY: Fine.
14	THE COURT: We left off yesterday, the
15	prosecutor had begun its case. We'll continue with
16	that.
17	You may call your next witness.
18	MS. CHU: The People call retired Detective
19	James Gaynor.
20	(At this time, the witness enters the
21	courtroom.)
22	COURT SERGEANT: Step right up to the witness
23	stand, please, and face the clerk.
24	COURT CLERK: Raise your right hand.
25	Do you solemnly swear the testimony you are

ı	I	115
		GAYNOR - DIRECT/CHU
1	abou	at to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and
2	noth	ning but the truth?
3		RETIRED OFFICER GAYNOR: Yes, I do.
4		COURT CLERK: Be seated.
5		State your name.
6		RETIRED OFFICER GAYNOR: James Gaynor.
7		COURT CLERK: What county do you live in?
8		RETIRED OFFICER GAYNOR: Richmond.
9		THE COURT: You may inquire of the witness.
10		MS. CHU: Thank you.
11	JAMES	G A Y N O R, having been duly sworn, testified
12	as follow	vs:
13	DIRECT EX	XAMINATION
14	BY MS. CH	HU:
15	Q	Good morning retired Detective Gaynor?
16	А	Good morning.
17	Q	Are you a former member of the New York City
18	Police De	epartment?
19	А	Yes, I am.
20	Q	Could you please tell the jury the circumstances
21	under whi	ch you left the NYPD?
22	А	I retired in April of 2006.
23	Q	And how long have you worked for the New York City
24	Police De	epartment?
٥- ا	_	m

A

Twenty years.

GAYNOR - DIRECT/CHU

- Q And where was your last assignment?
 - A I was assigned to the Brooklyn South Homicide Squad.
 - Q How long were you there?
 - A About four, four and a half years.
 - Q I want to direct your attention to February of 2005. Did there come a time when you became involved in the investigation into the death of a person by the name of Edgar Ojeda?
 - A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

23

- Q And can you tell me how was it that you became involved in the case?
- A The precinct detective squad notified us of a male that was killed and we responded to the scene.
 - Q Was there a case detective in the 72nd precinct that was assigned to the case?
- 17 A Yes, Detective John Darino.
 - Q During the course of the investigation did there come a time that you began to look for anyone in particular?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Who were you looking for?
- 22 A Enrique Rivera.
 - Q Did you learn any information about where he lived or his family might reside in Brooklyn?
- 25 A Yes, I did.

GAYNOR - DIRECT/CHU

- Q I want to direct your attention to February 28, 2005 at 1:20 in the morning. Were you at 30 Bush Street?
- A Yes, I was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

24

- Q Can you tell me what is that location?
- A That was the residence we believed Enrique Rivera may have lived in. It's an apartment within the Red Hook projects.
- Q Was there a particular apartment number you were looking for?
- 10 A Yes, apartment 1D.
- 11 | Q Were you with anybody at that time?
- 12 A I was with Detective O'Brian and Detective Dunn.
- 13 I don't remember -- I believe there was a fourth detective.
- 14 I'm not certain who it was.
- 15 Q What floor is apartment 1D on?
- 16 A It's on the first floor.
- 17 | Q And do you remember entering into the building?
- 18 A Yes.
- Q Do you know how you got in? Is there a locked door in the front?
- A I don't know if the door had been opened or if someone else had buzzed us in or somebody may have been walking in. I don't recall exactly how we got in.
 - Q You stated there was a fourth detective with you but you don't recall the name?

		GAYNOR - DIRECT/CHU
1	А	Correct.
2	Q	Did you have anybody stand outside where the
3	window wo	uld be for that apartment?
4	А	Yes, we did.
5	Q	What was the purpose for that?
6		MR. DRANOVE: Objection.
7		THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
8	A	Just in case, you know, Enrique Rivera was there,
9	we wanted	to prevent him from fleeing out the window or
10	anything	like that.
11		MR. DRANOVE: Objection.
12		THE COURT: Overruled.
13	Q	Did there come a time when you knocked on the door
14	of apartm	ment 1D?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	And did anyone answer the door?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Did you learn the name of that person that
19	answered	the door?
20	А	Yes. Her name was Anna Cassalas.
21	Q	And did you establish whether or not there was any
22	relations	hip between Ms. Cassalas and Enrique Rivera?
23	А	Yes. It was his mother.
24		MR. DRANOVE: Objection, unless he speaks
25	Cnan	si ah

119 GAYNOR - DIRECT/CHU THE COURT: Overruled. I'll allow the next 1 2 question. Did Ms. Cassalas speak any English? 3 4 Very little. Α Did you identify yourself as a detective? 5 0 Yes, I did. 6 Α 7 How did you do that? 8 I showed my shield. Did there come a time when you were led into the 9 10 apartment? 11 Yes. Α 12 Were you having trouble communicating with her 13 because of her language? 14 Α Yes. 15 What did you do? Q I contacted Detective Rivera from the 7-2 to have 16 17 him translate for me. 18 How did you contact Detective Rivera? 19 Α By cell phone. You called his cell phone? 20 0 21 Α Correct. 22 With your cell phone? 0 23 Α Correct. 24 Q Did you explain to him that you --25 MR. DRANOVE: Objection to leading.

GAYNOR - DIRECT/CHU

- THE COURT: I'll sustain as to form. already hear the question is not going to be good. What did you say to him?
- MR. DRANOVE: Objection.
- 5 THE COURT: Sustained.
 - Did you have a conversation with him? 0
- 7 Yes, I did. Α

1

2

3

4

6

15

19

20

- 8 Did you then hand your phone to Ms. Cassalas? Q
- Yes, I did. 9 Α
- Did she engage in a conversation on your 10 Q 11 telephone?
- 12 Yes, she did. Α
- Was this conversation in Spanish or English? 13 0
- Spanish. 14 Α
- Did there come a time when she was on the phone 16 that you observed anything in the apartment?
- 17 I observed a couple of items of clothing on the Α 18 floor in the bedroom.
 - Now, prior to your actually arriving at 30 Bush Street pursuant to your investigation, were you aware of any clothing descriptions that were given in this case?
- 22 Yes, I was. Α
- And the clothing that you saw on the floor -- I'm 23 24 sorry, what was it?
- It was a green camouflage jacket, brown sweat 25 Α

GAYNOR - DIRECT/CHU

- 1 | jacket and a green hat, army hat.
- Q And did you then talk on the phone with Detective Rivera?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Did you tell him additional information?
- 6 A Yes.

4

5

7

8

9

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q And did you then hand the phone back to Ms. Cassalas?
 - A Yes, I did.
- 10 Q Did she then have a continued conversation with
 11 Detective Rivera on your phone?
- 12 A Yes, she did.
 - Q At that time did you ever talk on the phone with Detective Rivera again?
- 15 A Yes.
 - Q Once you had a conversation with him, what did you do with the clothing that you saw on the floor?
 - A The clothing was taken and brought to the precinct and vouchered.
 - Q Now, can you tell me what voucher number you assigned to the clothing that you had recovered from 30 Bush Street?
- THE WITNESS: I'd have to look at my notes.
- 24 THE COURT: You may.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Thanks.

GAYNOR - DIRECT/CHU

- 1 A The voucher number was Michael 621135.
- Q And after you vouchered those items of clothing, what did you do with them?
 - A They were sent to the lab for analysis.

5 MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, if I can have this marked as People's 7.

THE COURT: We'll mark it People's 7.

COURT OFFICER: The whole bag?

MS. CHU: Yes.

- Q Retired Detective Gaynor, would you please take a look inside People's, what's been marked for identification as People's number 7?
- 13 A Okay.
- 14 | Q Do you recognize the contents?
- 15 A Yes, I do.
- 16 Q And what do you recognize the contents to be?
- 17 A The articles of clothing.
- 18 Q That you found at 30 Bush Street on February 28,
- 19 | 2005?

4

7

8

9

10

11

- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q Did you make any markings on those articles of clothing?
- 23 A Yes, I believe I did.
- Q What markings did you make on them?
- 25 A I'd have to look at them to see exactly where I

	GAYNOR - DIRECT/CHU	12
1	have them marked.	
2	THE COURT: You may.	
3	Q I just want to know what you put on it.	
4	THE COURT: She wants to know what mark.	
5	A I'm sorry. I put my initials.	
6	Q And your initials being J.G.?	
7	A Correct.	
8	Q Did you put it on each of the articles of	
9	clothing?	
10	A I believe so, yes.	
11	Q And what is contained within there?	
12	A We have a green army hat, a camouflage army	
13	jacket, and a brown sweat jacket.	
14	Q A brown sweat jacket?	
15	A Sweat shirt.	
16	Q Like a hoody?	
17	A Correct.	
18	Q You said those were the actual articles of	
19	clothing that you recovered from 30 Bush Street?	
20	A Yes, they are.	
21	MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, I would	l
22	offer them in evidence as People's 7.	
23	MR. DRANOVE: May I?	
24	THE COURT: Any objection?	
25	Q Do you mind if I just address you as detective	

		GAYNOR - DIRECT/CHU	124
1	instead o	f retired.	
2	A	No.	
3	Q	Did you make markings on all those items you're	
4	identifyi	ng?	
5		THE WITNESS: I believe I did.	
6		MR. DRANOVE: I have no objection.	
7		THE COURT: People's 7 will be received in	
8	evid	ence.	
9		COURT OFFICER: So marked, your Honor.	
10		THE COURT: Thank you.	
11	Q	Thank you very much.	
12		MS. CHU: I have nothing further.	
13		THE COURT: Cross examination?	
14		MR. DRANOVE: Yes.	
15	CROSS EXA	MINATION	
16	BY MR. DR	ANOVE:	
17	Q	What was the weather like at that time?	
18	A	At what time?	
19	Q	The time you went to 30 Bush Street?	
20	A	It was dark. I don't believe it was raining.	
21	Q	Do you remember if it was warm, cold for that ti	ime
22	of year?	Snow? Anything?	
23	А	Definitely not snow. But I don't remember how	
24	cold it w	as.	
25	Q	And at this time do you remember the name of the)

	GAYNOR - CROSS/DRANOVE
l	other law enforcement person who accompanied you apart from
	Dunn and O'Brian?
	A No, I don't.
	Q No further questions.
	THE COURT: Anything else Ms. Chu?
	MS. CHU: No.
	THE COURT: Thank you very much. You're
	excused. You may step down from the witness stand.
	(At this time, the witness exited the
	courtroom.)
	THE COURT: People may proceed.
	MS. CHU: People call retired Detective
	Hector Rivera.
	(At this time, the witness entered the
	courtroom.)
	COURT OFFICER: Stand in front of the chair,
	raise your right hand.
	THE COURT: Swear to tell the truth, the
į	whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
	RETIRED DETECTIVE RIVERA: Yes, I do.
i	THE COURT: You may be seated.
	COURT SERGEANT: State your name for the
	record, please.
1	RETIRED DETECTIVE RIVERA: Hector Rivera.

THE COURT: What county do you live in?

	RIVERA - DIRECT/CHU
1	
1	RETIRED DETECTIVE RIVERA: Queens.
2	THE COURT: You may examine the witness Ms.
3	Chu.
4	MS. CHU: Thank you.
5	HECTOR RIVERA, having been duly sworn, testified
6	as follows:
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. CHU:
9	Q Good morning.
10	A Good morning.
11	Q Are you a former member of the New York City
12	Police Department?
13	A Yes, I am.
14	Q What was the circumstances of your departure from
15	the police department?
16	A I retired.
17	Q When did you retire?
18	A August 2007.
19	Q And can you tell us where were you last assigned
20	before you retired?
21	A 72nd squad.
22	Q And how long were you there?
23	A I was there about 12 years.
24	Q Were you working as a detective in their squad?
25	A Yes.

RIVERA - DIRECT/CHU

- Q I want to direct your attention now to February the 28th of 2005. Were you working as a detective for the 72nd precinct on that date?
- A Yes, I was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

22

- Q And can you tell me at about 1:30 in the morning on February the 28th of 2005 did there come a time when you were contacted by Detective Gaynor from Brooklyn South Homicide?
- A Yes.
- Q And can you tell me how was it that you were contacted?
 - A He called me on my cell phone.
- Q Did you have a conversation with him over the cell phone?
- 15 | A Yes.
- Q And after you spoke with him on the cell phone,
 did there come a time when you spoke with the female on the
 phone?
- 19 | A Yes.
- 20 Q Can you tell me are you fluent in Spanish?
- 21 A Yes, I am.
 - Q Did you have this conversation with the female in English or in Spanish?
- 24 A In Spanish.
- 25 Q After you spoke with this woman, did you ever

	RIVERA - DIRECT/CHU	9
1	then get back on the phone with Detective Gaynor?	
2	A Yes, I did.	
3	Q And did you explain to him what you had spoken	
4	about with Ms. Cassalas a second time on the telephone?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q Now, after you spoke with Detective Gaynor, did	
7	you end your conversation with him?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q Thank you very much.	
10	MS. CHU: I have nothing further.	
11	THE COURT: Any cross examination?	
12	MR. DRANOVE: No.	
13	THE COURT: Thank you very much. You're	
14	excused. You may step down from the witness stand.	
15	(At this time, the witness exited the	
16	courtroom.)	
17	THE COURT: You may proceed Ms. Chu.	
18	MS. CHU: The People call Matthew Ojeda.	
19	(At this time, the witness entered the	
20	courtroom.)	
21	COURT SERGEANT: Stand in front of the chair,	,
22	raise your right hand, please, face the clerk.	
23	COURT CLERK: Solemnly swear the testimony	
24	you are about to give will be the truth, the whole	
25	truth, and nothing but the truth?	

	OJEDA - DIRECT/CHU
1	MR. OJEDA: Yes, sir.
2	COURT CLERK: Be seated. State your name,
3	please.
4	MR. OJEDA: Matthew Ojeda.
5	COURT CLERK: What county do you live in?
6	MR. OJEDA: Kings.
7	COURT CLERK: Thank you.
8	THE COURT: You may examine the witness Ms.
9	Chu.
10	MS. CHU: Thank you.
11	MATTHEW OJEDA, having been duly sworn, testified
12	as follows:
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. CHU:
15	Q Good morning.
16	A Good morning.
17	Q How old are you?
18	A Twenty-three.
19	Q I'm sorry?
20	A Twenty-three.
21	Q Do you work?
22	A Yes, ma'am.
23	Q What do you do for a living?
24	I
	A I'm a patient access rep for Beth Israel Medical

OJEDA - DIRECT/CHU Do you know someone by the name of Edgar Ojeda? 1 Q 2 Yes, I do. Α 3 Who is he? 0 4 Α He was my older brother. 5 How old was he back in 2005? 0 6 Α He was 29. 7 I want to direct your attention now to February 27 8 Did there come a time when you learned any 9 information regarding your brother Edgar? 10 I came back from a night of partying. was about 3 o'clock in the morning. My phone was off to 11 12 conserve energy. And when I turned it on, I got a phone 13 call from my mother. She told me my brother had been killed. 14 15 Do you know if he had been brought to a hospital. 16 It was to my understanding that from where the Α 17 incident occurred they took him to the hospital that was closest. 18 19 0 Which was? 20 Α Lutheran Medical Center. 21 0 Did you ever go to Lutheran Medical Center? 22 Α No. 23 Q I want to direct your attention now to the 24 following day, February 28th of 2005. Did there come a time 25 when you went to the office of the chief medical examiner to

132 OJEDA - DIRECT/CHU identify a body? 1 2 Yes. Α 3 Whose body did you identify? 4 My brother's. 5 Did you actually see his body or did you look at a 6 photograph of him? 7 I looked at a photograph. Was that indeed your brother Edgar Ojeda? 8 9 Α Yes, it was. 10 I have nothing further. Thank you. 0 11 THE COURT: Any cross examination? 12 MR. DRANOVE: No, sir. 13 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Ojeda. You're 14 excused. You may step down from the witness stand. 15 (At this time, the witness exited the 16 courtroom.) 17 THE COURT: You may proceed Ms. Chu. 18 MS. CHU: People call Enrique Navarette. 19 (At this time, the witness entered the 20 courtroom.) 21 COURT SERGEANT: Raise your right hand, face 22 the clerk, please. 23 COURT CLERK: Solemnly swear the testimony 24 you are about to give will be the truth, the whole

truth, and nothing but the truth?

NAVARETTE - DIRECT/CHU

- 27th of 2005. Were you working or did you know about a bar called El Boringuen Bar on 39th and Third in Brooklyn?
- A Yes, I know of it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

19

- Q And how did you know of this bar?
 - A Well, I worked there on occasion before the night in question. The night in question I was just there hanging out with friends having a couple of drinks.
 - Q What was the capacity under which you worked for that bar?
 - A I was a bouncer.
- 11 Q Do you go by any other names?
- 12 A Just Rick.
- Q Now, do you know if there were any other bouncers that were working that night?
 - A There was one bouncer. We called him Lion. I believe his name was Luis Rivera.
- 17 \ Q He was there that night?
- 18 A Yes, he was.
 - Q Now, can you tell me was the name of the bar El Boringuen at the time or --
- 21 A At the time I was there, yeah.
- Q Do you recall what the name of it was may be before it was El Borinquen?
- 24 A I believe it was Amanacer, A-M-A-N-A-C-E-R.
- 25 \ Q Now, about how many -- I'm sorry. Withdrawn.

- NAVARETTE DIRECT/CHU About what time did you arrive at the location? 1 2 Probably got there about 11:30, quarter to 12. Α And can you just describe the bar for us? 3 As far as the size, or what do you mean? 4 5 Just like when you walk in, what are you looking 0 at? 6 7 When you walk into the bar, the bar was located on Α the left-hand side, probably came out about six feet. 8 was a path. As soon as you walked in the door, there were 9 three tables, a side exit, the jukebox. And then when you 10 went to the end of the bar, there was a dance floor. And 11 where the DJ set up was all the way in the back corner, and 12 the bathrooms were on the left. 13 The bathrooms were on the left in the back? 14 Yes. 15 Α MS. CHU: Let the record reflect when he was 16 describing the three tables and jukebox, he was 17 pointing to his right side. 18 19 Now, about how many people were there when you got 20 there? 21 Off the top of my head I can't tell you. It Α
 - wasn't a lot, maybe ten, fifteen, the most.
 - Okay. And where did you set up? Q You said you were there as a patron?
 - Α Yes.

22

23

24

NAVARETTE - DIRECT/CHU

Q Where did you set up?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

- A I was right by the front door, right in the front corner of the bar.
 - Q Sitting at the bar?
- A Sitting at the bar.
 - Q Did you know anybody else besides Lion, the other bouncer that was there?
 - A I knew the two bartenders that were there, Will and Gloria, and Fugi, the manager at the time.
 - Q He was present at the bar as well?
- 11 A Yes, he was.
 - Q Did you also know any other females that were at the bar that were patrons?
 - A I knew a couple of them that were there as regulars, came in on a normal basis when I was working.
 - Q Now, were you with anybody when you actually set up?
 - A I had a friend that was there, she came. She met me. She actually got there a few minutes after I did.
 - Q Now, did you notice when a group of about four males entered into the location?
- 22 A Yes, I did.
 - Q And can you tell me, do you remember what they were wearing?
- 25 A I remember one, two of the gentlemen were wearing

NAVARETTE - DIRECT/CHU

camouflage hoodies, and one gentleman was wearing a gray sweat shirt. I couldn't tell you what the other gentleman was wearing.

Q And the two that were wearing the camouflage jackets --

MR. DRANOVE: Objection. The testimony was hoodies.

THE COURT: Were they wearing hoodies or jackets?

MR. DRANOVE: The testimony was hoodies.

THE COURT: I'm going to ask.

THE WITNESS: One gentleman had on a

camouflage jacket with a hoody, and the other

gentleman, if I'm not mistaken, was a camouflage hoody

Q And can you tell me, the one that had the jacket on with the hoody underneath -- I'm sorry. I'm going to withdrawn that question.

Was there any difference in the build of the one, the two that had the camouflage patterns on?

A One gentleman was taller and thinner. The other one was a little shorter and stockier.

Q Which one was the one that had the camouflage jacket with the hoody?

A That would have been the gentleman that was taller and thinner.

- Q I'm going to ask you, that you take a look around the courtroom today and see if you see the person who was wearing the camouflage jacket with the hoody underneath here in the courtroom?
 - A Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q Could you please point to him and tell me something that he's wearing?
 - A The gentleman in the pink top?
- 9 THE COURT: He's indicating Mr. Rivera, the defendant.
- 11 MS. CHU: Thank you.
 - Q Now, did you see where they went once they came inside the location?
 - A I saw them stand by the bar for a minute, and then I guess they were buying a beer. And then after that they just went on in the back. And I stood up front with my friend drinking. I didn't really notice anybody or anything after that.
 - Q Now, you had mentioned that there were some girls that are regulars to the bar that you know?
 - A Uh huh.
 - Q Did you know a woman by the name of Jahaira?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Was she at the bar that night?
- 25 A Yes, she was.

- NAVARETTE DIRECT/CHU

 Q You said when the defendant entered with his people that he went towards the back after going to the bar?

 A Yes.

 Q Do you remember where Jahaira was that night?

 A From my recollection, she was in the back of the bar.
 - Q Is that where the dance floors are?
- A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

21

22

- Q Now, you had mentioned something about that there's a jukebox and the exit door on the right-hand side?
- A Uh huh.
 - Q Now, does that exit door, is it actually opened or was it open that night?
 - A It's not supposed to be open. Normally it's kept locked. I never tested it. But I can't say if it was open or not.
- 17 | Q Normally it's locked?
 - A Normally it's locked.
- 19 Q You said you were not working that day?
- 20 A No, I wasn't.
 - Q Do you know if there were any other bouncers there that night besides Luis?
 - A No. As far as I know, he was the only one.
- Q Is there any type of security or cover you have to do when you get into the bar?

A When you come in you're supposed to, your ID is checked. And at that particular bar we pat down the gentlemen and any of the females we open their bag, take a look through it to make sure there weren't any weapons in the bag. The females were not patted down, but they did have a wand that was used on occasion.

- Q And do you remember him searching the defendant and the people that he came in with?
 - A Yes.

- Q Now, when you say "search," what do you mean when you say pat down?
- A They're patted down. Check their legs, belt buckle, under the belt buckle, collars, hoods to make sure there's nothing in the hood, pat the shoulders, chest and back to make sure they're not concealing anything when they come in.
- Q Can you tell me, it's not like you have to walk through the metal detector or anything like that?
 - A No.
 - Q Do you remember using the wand on anyone?
- A No. I did not have the wand at that time.
- Q The jukebox you mentioned that's by that door, did you happen to notice that there were any people by the jukebox later in the night?
 - A Later in the night there was a group of, I don't

remember, three or four. But there were a couple of guys standing there and a couple of other guys came on over and that's about it. About five or six people in total.

Q And when you're talking about this five or six people, you're talking about -- well, let me ask you, what do you mean by some people came over?

A There was one group already standing there. There was another group that came by and stood in the same area.

Two different groups, I'm assuming, you know.

Q Can you describe the individuals that were standing by the jukebox before the group came over to them?

A I know there was a taller gentleman. I forget what he was wearing. And then there was another gentleman, if I'm not mistaken, he was wearing a white Met jersey. I remember telling him "nice jersey" when he came in because I'm a Met fan. But that's about it.

Q Can you tell me, do you remember what they looked like as far as skin tone or height?

A Taller gentleman was darker complexion and the shorter gentleman was light skin.

- Q Now, that's the one that had the Met jersey on?
- 22 A Yes.

23 Q Okay.

Now, you said that there was a group that walked over to them?

NAVARETTE - DIRECT/CHU

Uh huh. Α

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Now, and you said there was about five people in 3 total?
 - Α Well, when both groups were together there was about five, six people in total, yes.
 - Now, the three that came over, did you recognize 0 them from earlier in the night at all?
 - Yeah. Α
 - And who were they?
- 10 The gentleman in the camouflage and the gray hoody Α 11 sweater.
- 12 The defendant being one of them? Q
- 13 Α Yes.
 - Do you remember who was standing in front of the one that had a Met jersey on?
 - Well, I know when I looked over I saw, if this is the jukebox, the gentleman, the shorter gentleman, this was the guy with the Met jersey, the shorter gentleman was kind of standing here, and the other gentleman was standing here. I mean they were both standing in front of him. But who was closer to him? I would say would probably be -- it's kind of hard to say readily. If this is the gentleman with the Met jersey, this is the gentleman, the shorter gentleman and this is the gentleman, the taller gentleman.
 - Q The taller, meaning the defendant.

A The taller, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q So the defendant, then the guy with the other camouflage pattern was to his right?
- A Yes, and the other gentleman was standing right here by the door.
 - Q Meaning that's the guy with the Met jersey?
 - A With the Met jersey, yes.
- Q So that would mean -- where is the exit in relation to the guy with the Met jersey?
- A The exit in relation to the guy with the Met jersey (indicating.)
- 12 Q So his back was on the door?
 - A He was standing right by the door.
 - Q Now, did you watch continuously as you saw the defendant when you were --
 - A No, I wasn't watching. I just happened to glance.

 I just took a look around, you know. Force of habit. I saw
 them come over and then I went back to my conversation.
 - Q With your female friend?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Did there come a time when you looked over towards where the jukebox was again?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Can you tell us what you saw?
- 25 A I saw the two groups talking. And then all of a

- And then I saw Lion the bouncer come over. So soon as I saw him make his way towards the groups, I got up to help him, to back him up, and, you know.
- Q Let me just stop you right there. When you said you saw someone push, who was it that you saw push?
- A I saw the defendant give a push to the gentleman in the Met jersey.
- Q Do you recall how many times you saw him touch him?
 - A Maybe twice, you know.
- Q Maybe twice?
 - A But I can't say for -- you know, I can't tell you exactly, but I would say twice, maybe.
 - Q Did you see anything in the defendant's hands when you saw him touch the person in the Met jersey?
- A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

- Q Were you looking for anything in his hand?
- 19 A I wasn't looking for anything, no.
 - Q Can you tell me where was it that you saw the defendant touch the guy with the Met jersey on?
- 22 A I would say sort of the shoulder, chest area.
- MS. CHU: Let the record reflect he's referring to the area on the left side shoulder.
- MR. DRANOVE: No, the shoulder -- judge,

1 would you take a look?

THE COURT: The witness is indicating on the upper left hand corner of his chest right where it's adjacent to his shoulder, in that area.

MS. CHU: Thank you.

Q Now, once you saw the defendant touching the guy with the Met jersey on, what did you see the defendant do?

A Oh, at that point when Lion came over and we split everybody, you know, he split them up, and I went and backed him up, then the defendant and the other gentleman just left. You know, they left the bar and then we helped -- I stood in front of the other two guys so they didn't go outside and it didn't escalate further outside.

- Q Now, the third guy that you saw with the defendant and the other person in the camouflage jacket, you said he had gray on?
 - A A grayish sweatshirt.
 - Q Did he leave with the defendant?
 - A He left I'd say maybe 20, 30 seconds afterwards.
 - Q After defendant left?
 - A Uh huh.
- Q When you said you walked over to where Lion was to kind of separate the two groups, were you standing closer to where the defendant had gone or were you closer to where the person who got touched was?

NAVARETTE - DIRECT/CHU

- A I was standing closer to the gentleman in the Met jersey.
- Q The person that was standing with him, the taller guy, the dark skin guy, was he still there with the person who just got touched.
- A When we initially split them up, I was actually in front of them, he actually swung over me to take a swing at the gentleman in the gray sweatshirt. And then after that, that's when the gentleman in the gray sweatshirt left. And I just kind of stood in front of them, you know, looking out towards the door to make sure Lion didn't need any help outside.
- Q Did you ever turn around to where the person with the Met jersey and his friends were?
 - A I took a quick glance behind me, yeah.
 - Q Was anybody behind other than them two?
 - A I didn't see anybody in the vicinity, no.
- Q Let me just ask you this: That would be in between where the tables are right by the door and where the jukebox is?
- A Yeah. Well, yeah. They were standing -- when I was standing in front of them, they were basically standing in front of the door by the jukebox. This is the jukebox, this is the door. I had them, like they were right in that corner. I was standing in front of them so they didn't go

NAVARETTE - DIRECT/CHU

by.

Q Okay. Now, after the defendant left with the other guys with the camouflage sweatshirt or whatever it was, and you said about thirty seconds later the guy with the gray sweatshirt goes?

A Uh huh, yeah.

Q Was the person with the Met jersey and his friend still in the bar?

A Yeah.

Q Did there come a time when someone turned on any lights?

A Yes, the lights were turned on and Fugi wanted the bar cleared out so everybody could go home.

Q When the lights were turned on, did you happen to look over to where the guy with the Met jersey was?

A Well, actually when the lights were turned on, I took a walk to the front to take a peek out to see if Lion was okay. By the time I got there, he was already coming back. He said "Everything is good. Don't worry about it." We came back in and that's when I heard the gentleman with the Met jersey say "Oh, I think I'm bleeding."

- Q Did you see blood?
- 23 A Yes.
 - Q Where did you see the blood coming from?
 - A The blood was coming from his upper area.

- Q Upper chest area?
- A Yeah.

- Q Did you see any blood in the actual bar itself?
- A I didn't see any blood in the bar. I saw some blood on the concrete outside when he went out, because he went outside and he was with his friends, and one of his friends said "Let's call the ambulance." And the other gentleman said "My car is right there parked under the Gowanus on Third Avenue. Let's just drive to the hospital." So they walked out. I walked out behind them because I was on my way out as well, and I saw some blood on the concrete.
- Q Did you ever go back into the bar after you exited from the bar when you saw the victim and his friends leaving?
- A I stepped back in the bar for a minute just to let Fugi know that I was leaving.
- Q And then you left?
- A Then I left and I went home.
- 19 Q Now, did you ever call the police at all?
- 20 A Did I call the police? No.
 - Q I want to direct your attention to the following day, February 28, 2005. Did anyone contact you to go to the 72nd precinct to look at a line-up?
 - A Yeah. I was called by a detective that morning to come down to see if I could view a line-up. So I said fine.

- 1 | I went down.
 - Q Can you tell me how did you get to the precinct?
- 3 A I drove.

2

4

6

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q Do you remember who it was that contacted you?
- 5 A No, I don't.
 - Q Did you go there with anyone?
- 7 A No, I went by myself.
 - Q Once you were there at the precinct, did the detective put you anywhere?
- A I was put in a waiting area. We waited there for a while and then I was taken into a interview room.
- 12 Q Were you with anybody?
 - A Yeah. There were, I believe there was the friends of the gentleman in the Met jersey. They were there as well. And I'm sorry, and Lion was there also.
 - Q Now, when you went upstairs to look at the line-up, did you, did the detective explain to you what was going to happen?
 - A Yeah. He told me the line-up was going to be coming through and he just said to look and see if I saw anybody that I saw from the bar last night. I said okay.
- 22 \ Q And did you do that?
- 23 A Yes, I did.
 - Q Did you recognize anyone in the line-up?
- 25 A Yes, I did.

- Q Who did you recognize?
- 2 A The defendant.
 - Q And do you remember what position he was in?
- 4 A No, I couldn't tell you.
 - Q Did you tell the detective where you recognized him from?
 - A Yes.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q And did you tell him, did you tell the detective what you saw the defendant doing?
- A At that point I don't believe we really got into a conversation, no.
- 12 Q You didn't?
 - A No. I didn't really get into that conversation there during the line-up. He just said look at the line-up and see if you see anybody from the bar last night. So when I did, I told him, yeah, I recognized someone from the bar last night.
 - Q When you spoke to the detective, did you indicate to the detective what you had seen the defendant doing?
- MR. DRANOVE: Objection.
- 21 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
- 22 A I'm sorry, could you repeat the question?
- Q When you spoke to the detective, did you indicate to him what you saw the defendant doing?
- 25 A Yes, that he was part of the altercation.

NAVARETTE - DIRECT/CHU

- Q Did you tell him about -- I'm sorry. Did you tell him whatever you had told us?
- MR. DRANOVE: Objection.
- THE COURT: Sustained as to the form of the question so far.
 - Q Did you tell the detective what you saw the defendant doing?
 - MR. DRANOVE: Objection.
 - THE COURT: When are we talking about now?
- MS. CHU: At the time of the altercation at
- 11 the bar.

1

2

3

6

7

8

- 12 | THE COURT: No, at what time?
- 13 THE WITNESS: At the line-up?
- Q When you're speaking to the detective?
- THE COURT: When he spoke to the detective
- 16 when?
- Q When you spoke to the detective at the precinct?
- 18 A For the line-up?
- 19 Q Yes.
- A No. The only thing he asked me to do was look and see if I saw anybody from the bar the previous night. So I told him I saw someone from the bar. There was no conversation about what happened at the bar, not during the line-up.
- 25 Q Did you have a conversation with the detective at

	NAVADETTE DIDECT (CHI
	NAVARETTE - DIRECT/CHU
1	When did you tell the detective about what
2	you had seen, before or after the line-up?
3	THE WITNESS: Before the line-up.
4	Q You had already told him what you had seen?
5	A Uh huh.
6	THE COURT: Yes or no?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm sorry.
8	Q So when you looked at the line-up, did you tell
9	the detective I'm sorry. What did you tell the
10	detective?
11	MR. DRANOVE: Objection, asked and answered.
12	THE COURT: Sustained. Asked and answered.
13	Q During your interview with the detective before
14	the line-up actually occurred, did you tell them what you
15	had seen the defendant doing?
16	A Yeah, I told them.
17	MR. DRANOVE: Objection. Asked and answered.
18	THE COURT: Sustained.
19	Q Was anyone else injured that you saw at the bar
20	that night?
21	A Was anyone that I saw no.
22	Q Did you see any altercations other than the one
23	that you saw between the defendant and the victim in this
24	case?

A

No.

- Q Did you see the victim or his friends, anybody getting into any arguments with anybody else during the course of the night that you were there?
- A No.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

5 MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, if I could have People's number 4.

(Handing.)

- Q Mr. Navarette, do you recognize the photographs that we have here?
- 10 A Yes, I do.
- 11 Q What are those pictures of?
- 12 A These are pictures of the El Borinquen Bar.
- Q Is that the bar that you were out to on February 27, 2005?
- 15 A Yes, it is.
- Q If you could just tell us -- I'm sorry, do you have a marker up there?
- 18 COURT OFFICER: Yes. Which color?
- MS. CHU: I'm sorry, officer, if you could do
- it, going from left to right, top to bottom, A, B, C,
- 21 D.
- 22 COURT OFFICER: (Complying.)
- MS. CHU: Thank you.
- 24 COURT OFFICER: Uh huh.
- Q Mr. Navarette, look at People's 4A. What is that?

- A It's a picture of the front of the bar.
- Q What street would you be on when you entered the front entrance to the bar?
 - A 39th Street.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

- Q Looking at the picture labeled B, what is that a picture of?
 - A That's a picture of the front of the bar.
 - Q Looking towards which direction?
 - A Looking towards the back of the bar.
- Q Now, you had mentioned the jukebox in your testimony. Do you see that jukebox in this photograph?
- 12 A Yes, I do.
- 13 Q Would you please point to it?
- 14 A On B or C?
- 15 O On B.
- MS. CHU: He's indicating the lower right hand corner of the photo.
 - Q Taking a look at People's 4C, the bottom left photograph, what is that a picture of?
 - A That's a picture of the jukebox and the other -- exit door.
 - Q And that's the exit door that you said normally is kept locked?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q The jukebox that's in People's picture 4C, is that

NAVARETTE - DIRECT/CHU

- 1 the same jukebox that's in the earlier picture, People's 4B?
- 2 A Yes, that is.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q And taking a look at People's 4D, could you tell us what that's a picture of?
 - A That's a picture toward the back of the bar.
- Q In which direction would you be looking in if you were looking in that photograph, People's 4D?
- A You're looking towards the front of the bar, the front entrance on 39th Street.
 - Q Do you see the actual bar in that photograph?
- 11 A Yes, I do.
- 12 Q And where is it in the photograph?
- A In the photograph it's located on the right-hand side.
- 15 Q Thank you.
- MS. CHU: Now, if I can have the diagram put up, please. That's People's 6.
- 18 Q Mr. Navarette, do you recognize the diagram that
 19 we have here in front of you?
- 20 | A Yes, I do.
- 21 Q What's that a diagram of?
- 22 A The EL Borinquen Bar.
- Q If you can just tell us, you said that when you initially came into the bar that you had sat down with your lady friend at the bar like when you first walk in?

NAVARETTE - DIRECT/CHU

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q Do you see the approximate area where you and your lady friend were when you were at the bar?
 - A Yes, I do.
- Q If you can take that marker -- is there a marker there -- and just put your initials in the area that you were at.
 - A (Witness complying.)
 - Q If you could remain standing.
- When you saw the victim that was standing there with his friends, do you see the area in the diagram where they were at?
- 13 A Yes, I do.
- 14 | O Where was it?
- 15 A Over here.
- 16 You want me to put a X?
- 17 Q If you could just put CW there.
- 18 And that's where the both of them were standing?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 | Q You could have a seat now. Thank you.
- Now, Mr. Navarette, when you initially saw the defendant walk over to where the victim was and had the conversation, were you still in the same area that you marked on the diagram?
- 25 A Yes, I was. Uh huh, yes.

NAVARETTE - DIRECT/CHU

- Q When you saw the defendant touching the victim, were you still in the same area?
 - A Yes.

Q Did you ever move from that -- I'm sorry. Withdrawn.

When did you actually move over closer to where the victim was?

A I didn't get up until the pushing started, and I saw Lion go over.

Q Can you tell us where was it that you went after you saw Lion go over?

A When I saw Lion go over, I went towards the jukebox here.

Q So you were standing right where you had marked CW?

A Yes.

Q Now, you had mentioned that the defendant along with his friends and the other guy with the gray jacket were standing in front of where the CW was marked?

A That's where the gentleman in the Met jersey was standing. The defendant and his friends were standing more in front of the jukebox.

O And --

I'm going to withdraw that.

SW

MS. CHU: Hold on one second, your Honor.

159 NAVARETTE - DIRECT/CHU 1 Do you remember whether or not the defendant had anything on --2 3 MR. DRANOVE: Objection to leading. 4 THE COURT: I have to hear the question. Let 5 me hear the whole question. MR. DRANOVE: Whether or not? 6 7 THE COURT: Well, let me hear the question. Do you remember if the defendant or any of the 8 9 people that he came in with were wearing anything on their heads? 10 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 11 12 I believe one gentleman had a hat on. Α 13 Do you remember which one of them had the hat? Q 14 No, I don't. Α 15 Do you remember what kind of hat it was? 16 Like a army hat but not a fatigue color, just a 17 solid green. 18 Thank you very much. 19 MS. CHU: I have nothing further. THE COURT: Cross examination. 20 21 MR. DRANOVE: Thank you, judge. 22 I just want to get a close up of that. 23 THE COURT: Be my guest. You may approach 24 the exhibit.

MR. DRANOVE: Could I stand here just to make

160 NAVARETTE - CROSS/DRANOVE it easier for me to see what I'm referring to because I 1 2 don't have a copy of that. 3 THE COURT: For this part of the cross 4 examination. Don't block the jury. They need to see 5 the witness. 6 CROSS EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. DRANOVE: 8 Is that the entrance the public uses to get into 9 the place on that night, or was the entrance at the top of 10 that diagram on 39th Street? 11 Yes, it was. Α 12 Where you wrote EN is at the bar, correct? 0 13 Yes. Α Where you wrote CW is where the victim Mr. Ojeda 14 Q 15 was standing, correct? 16 Yes. Α 17 How far away were you from there? Q 18 Α I never actually judged the distance, but I say 19 maybe ten feet, between ten and fifteen feet. 20 Q On that night was the lighting the usual lighting? 21 Α Yes. 22 Did any of those photographs that you saw --Q 23 MR. DRANOVE: And I'd like to ask the officer

to hold them up.

24

NAVARETTE - CROSS/DRANOVE

MR. DRANOVE: The one with the pictures of the inside.

Q Do any of those pictures, best of the three pictures of the inside best show what the lighting was like when you observed what you observed and did what you did that you testified to?

MS. CHU: Objection.

THE COURT: What's the -- sustained as to the form.

Did the photo show the lighting as it looked that night?

THE WITNESS: Actually, it was probably darker. Normally when it's dark out, they always lower the light, you know, you want to get a club atmosphere, it's kind of dark.

- Q Would it be lower than the light in this picture
- A Yeah, a little lower than that.
- Q And in picture D there's a globe, you know, like a reflecting globe at the top?
 - A Yeah.

B?

- Q Does that have light within it?
- A No. That just normally reflects the light that was on at the bar.
 - Q Thank you for that.

NAVARETTE - CROSS/DRANOVE

1 I'm not done.

You have spoken to Ms. Chu about what you observed, correct?

- A Yes.
- Q And you've spoken over the phone with me, correct?
- 6 A Yes.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

- Q I think you did come once to my office?
- A I don't believe so. I think we've only spoken on the phone.
- Q But you've gone to Ms. Chu's office?
- 11 A Yes.
 - Q Approximately how many times did Ms. Chu ask you in her office if you saw a knife in Mr. Rivera's hand?
 - A In the two times that I've spoken to her, been in her office, I'd say twice, three times.
 - Q Would you always say no?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Each and every time?
- 19 A Yes.
 - Q During the time it took for Lion to remove whoever he removed, from then through the time Fugi had the lights turned on, as you remember, did you hear any yelling or any screaming?
 - A There was some loud talking, but I really didn't pay attention to it. I was focused on what was going on in

		NAVARETTE - CROSS/DRANOVE
1	front of	me.
2	Q	Do you recall if there was a real tall Latino guy
3	there, si	x, three, six, four, maybe as tall as you or
4	taller?	
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Did he strike anybody?
7	А	He took a swing. That's the gentleman that took a
8	swing ove	r me at the gentleman in the gray sweatshirt.
9	Q	Can you tell me what the gentleman in the gray
10	sweatshir	t looks like?
11	A	He was a little shorter than me, maybe six foot,
12	dark skin	as well. I believe he had a bald head.
13	Q	Was it my client?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Was he about the same complexion as my client?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Same facial structure as my client?
18	A	Yes.
19		MS. CHU: Objection.
20		THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	Same height as my client?
22	7	The section of the se

23

24

25

And this tall fellow swung at that person, not my client, is that correct?

		NAVARETTE - CROSS/DRANOVE	164
1	A	Yes.	
2	Q	Did you see that person do anything at all before	e
3		person swung at him?	
4		MS. CHU: Objection	
5	A	No.	
6		MS. CHU: as to who we're talking about	
7	here		
8		THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.	
9	A	No, I didn't.	
10	Q	Was there well, I think did you use the wo	rd
11		on to describe what you and Lion were separating	
12	and split		
13	A	Yeah. I call altercations anything that happens	
14	in between	n two groups that might escalate.	
15	Q	How many people were in the altercation at the	
16	time that	you were there?	
17	A	At the time that we split, there was five.	
18	Q	And did Lion remove my client?	
19	A	No, he left.	
20	Q	How did my client leave?	
21	A	He just walked out the door.	
22	Q	Did you see him walk out the door?	
23	А	I saw him walk to the door.	
24	Q	You didn't see him walk out the door?	
25	А	No.	
i			

NAVARETTE - CROSS/DRANOVE

- Q You don't know how he got out?
- A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q How far did he have to walk to get to the door from where you saw him?
- A From the jukebox to the front door, again never estimated it, maybe between ten and fifteen, closer to fifteen.
- Q Did you see the tall fellow who swung at a person go towards the front door?
 - A At the time that we split the people up, no.
- Q Did you see him have any kind of interaction with Lion?
- A No, because when we split the two groups up, the defendant and his friend went towards the front door, Lion went after them. The gentleman in the Met jersey and his friend, I kind of stood in front of them to keep them behind. We didn't want to let everybody leave at the same time so there wasn't another thing going on outside the bar.
- Q So you were in front of the gentleman with the jersey and his friends?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 What went on behind you you couldn't see, correct?
- 23 | A No.
- Q Did you see that tall fellow who struck somebody

 follow anyone in the bar?

NAVARETTE - CROSS/DRANOVE

A No.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

12

- Q Or confront anyone in the bar besides the person he struck?
- A No.
- Did you see him attempt to leave the bar and be stopped by Lion?
 - A I can't say that I saw that, no.
 - Q The bar served alcoholic beverages, correct?
 - A Yes.
- 10 Q Some with lime, some with lemon?
- 11 A Yes.
 - Q And you used knives to cut the limes, knives to cut the lemons?
- 14 A Yes, they did.
- 15 Q Can you describe the knives?
- 16 A Small knives. I didn't work behind the bar.
- 17 Q How many people do you recall being in the bar, 18 that you recall being in the bar that night?
- A Honestly, the bartender, the female I was with and Jahaira. I think there were maybe two more.
- Q As far as you understood it, the females were not searched when they entered the bar?
- 23 A They were not patted down, no.
- 24 Q The men were?
- 25 A Yes.

NAVARETTE - CROSS/DRANOVE

- Q Can you describe again the pat down?
- A Pat down is, we check, we pat the legs both sides, front and back, you check under the belt, you pat down the shoulders, the chest, the back. If they have a hood on, we check the hood. If they have a hat, we pull off the hat, we check the inside lining of the hat, make sure there's nothing in there. You know, pat anywhere you feel like they could conceal something that they could use as a weapon inside.
- Q Did you consider that procedure to be a thorough pat down?
 - A A thorough pat down, yes.
 - Q When -- strike that.

- Did Lion move a group to get them out the door?
- A I believe there was some people standing by the door. I know when I heard, I did move, you know, get out of the way. But I don't know if he actually physically had to move anybody.
- Q Did you see anybody punching anyone else besides the one you talked about?
 - A No, I didn't.
- Q When Mr. Rivera pushed the fellow, did he use an open hand? If you remember.
- A I honestly couldn't tell you. I don't know if it was open or closed. I just saw his arm go in that

	NAVARETTE - CROSS/DRANOVE
1	direction.
2	Q You had your hand open when you just gestured.
3	A I'm sorry. That's a force of habit. I couldn't
4	tell you if his hand was opened or closed.
5	Q But you can tell us he did not have a knife in his
6	hands?
7	A I can tell you I didn't see one, yes.
8	Q And you saw his hand, correct?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Thank you.
11	MR. DRANOVE: No further question.
12	THE COURT: Redirect?
13	MS. CHU: Yes, your Honor. Just a few
14	questions.
15	MR. DRANOVE: Judge, may I continue before
16	she starts?
17	THE COURT: If you have a good question.
18	MR. DRANOVE: I think I do.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	Q Is it true that Mr. Rivera never touched the guy
21	in the back, the man who was pushed?
22	A I did not see him.
23	Q You did not see him?
24	A I did not see him touch the gentleman in the back.

	NAVARETTE - REDIRECT/CHU
1	A Once I saw the pushing going on, yes.
2	Q So you saw the push in the front, not in the back?
3	A Yes.
4	MR. DRANOVE: Thank you.
5	THE COURT: Is that your final question?
6	MR. DRANOVE: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Redirect?
8	MS. CHU: Yes.
9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MS. CHU:
11	Q Mr. Navarette, prior to seeing the defendant push
12	the victim in the chest, was your attention directed towards
13	him at that point?
14	A No.
15	Q So you don't know what he did before you saw him
16	actually push?
17	A No, I don't.
18	Q Now, you also said that you didn't know if his
19	hand was open or closed?
20	A Uh huh.
21	Q So although you didn't see something, you don't
22	know if he had anything in his hands?
23	A I don't know, no.
24	Q Now, there's a jukebox over there, right?
25	A Yes.

NAVARETTE - REDIRECT/CHU

- Q In fact in People's C, on 4C up there, that jukebox is right there?
- A Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

- 4 Q Was it working that night?
 - A No, there was a DJ.
 - Q There was a DJ?
- 7 A Yes.
 - Q But it's used?
- 9 A Well, it can be used. It's played during the 10 week, but on the weekends it's not used.
- 11 0 It's not used?
- 12 A No, the DJ plays all the way through the night.
- 13 Q I just wanted to ask you, in People's 4C, is that
 14 the area that you're talking about that the victim was
 15 standing with his tall friend, the dark skin guy with the
 16 bald head?
- 17 A Yes.
- Q Is that the same area that they were in when you walked over after Lion came to kind of separate the two groups?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 O So if --
- MS. CHU: If I can approach the exhibit, your
- 24 Honor?
- 25 THE COURT: You may.

NAVARETTE - REDIRECT/CHU

- Q When you said you were trying to separate the two groups, you had mentioned a corner between the jukebox and where the door is?
 - A Yes.
- Q Would that be the corner that you're talking about right here?
- A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q So when you came over, were you standing closer to this side or closer to where the jukebox is?
- 10 | THE WITNESS: May I go over --
- 11 THE COURT: Certainly.
 - A We're in this area, and I was standing right over there just trying to keep them blocked over there so they didn't go outside after the other guys.
 - THE COURT: The witness indicated he was on the right side of People's 4C as you look at it.
- Q Okay, thank you.
 - And you said that when you turned around it was just the victim and his friend, the tall guy?
 - A Yes. When I turned to check who was behind me, it was only them two.
 - Q You had mentioned that the way you pat down that that's what you consider to be a thorough pat down?
- A As far as a pat down goes, yes.
 - Q You didn't use a wand on the defendant?

172 NAVARETTE - REDIRECT/CHU 1 No, I didn't. Α 2 So it's not like someone walked through a metal 3 detector? 4 Α No. 5 And you said that pat downs are not done on the 6 women that come into the bar? 7 No, they're not. 8 Now, the women that you said were in the bar were Q 9 Jahaira was one of them, right? 10 Α Yes. 11 And you said she was in the back, right? Q 12 Α Yes. Towards where the dance floor is? 13 Q 14 Α Yes. 15 Now, is the dance floor like lighter or darker 0 16 than where the bar is? 17 It's probably darker. Α 18 Darker back there? 0 19 Α Yes. 20 And you said that light, the disco ball that's in 21 People's 4D, that's actually there to reflect the lights 22 that comes from the bar itself? 23 Α That normally reflects the lights when the lights 24 are on, but normally during the night it doesn't do

anything. It's just there.

NAVARETTE - RECROSS/DRANOVE

- Q Only the bartenders need lights to serve their drinks to know what they're doing?
 - A They have lights behind the bar. It's a little more lit behind the bar. Normally the back drop where they keep the liquor has lights as well.
- 6 MS. CHU: I'm sorry. Just one second, your 7 Honor.
 - THE COURT: Certainly.
 - MS. CHU: I have nothing further. Thank you.
- THE COURT: Any recross?
- 11 MR. DRANOVE: I don't know if this was
- 12 covered on --
- 13 RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MR. DRANOVE:

1

2

3

4

5

8

- 15 Q Did you have anything to drink at the bar before 16 you made your observations?
- MS. CHU: Objection.
- 18 | THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
- 19 A Yes, I did.
- 20 Q What did you have?
- A I had a beer. And I think I was on my third drink, which is Bacardi Rum and cranberry.
- 23 Q But only one beer?
- 24 | A Yes.
- 25 Q When did you start drinking there?

NAVARETTE - RECROSS/DRANOVE

- A Probably about five minutes after I got there.
- 2 When did you arrive there?
 - A I got there between 11:30 and 11:45.
 - Q Do you have any recollection of when this incident you described took place?
 - A No, I don't.

1

3

4

5

6

15

16

20

21

22

23

- MS. CHU: Objection, your Honor. It's beyond the scope.
- 9 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 10 A No, I don't.
- 11 Q Earlier on that Saturday night had you had 12 anything to drink any place else?
- A Yeah, I had a couple of beers with some friends
 before I got to the bar.
 - Q When you say "couple," two or could it have been more than two?
- 17 A Probably about three or four beers.
- 18 Q When did you start drinking those three or four 19 beers?
 - A We had went out to dinner. I think we got to dinner about 8:30.
 - Q So between 8:30 and when this incident took place, you had about four to six beers and you were on your third Bacardi drink?
- 25 A Yes.

NAVARETTE - RE-REDIRECT/CHU

- 1 Q Thank you.
- 2 MR. DRANOVE: I have no further questions.
- 3 | THE COURT: You want to ask him about that
- 4 Ms. Chu?
- 5 MS. CHU: Yes, your Honor.
- 6 RE-REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 7 BY MS. CHU:
- 8 Q I'm sorry, what did you eat at dinner?
- 9 A I honestly don't remember. I think we went to a
- 10 Mexican restaurant so I probably had a quesadilla and rice.
- 11 That's normally what I get. I can't remember one hundred
- 12 percent.

16

- Q So you were eating while you were drinking?
- 14 | A Yes.
- Q And when you got to -- well, during the
- that you were impaired at all? Were you falling down drunk?

altercation or when this actually occurred, would you say

- 18 A No, I wasn't.
- 19 Q And you actually got there by driving, right?
- 20 A Yes, I did.
- 21 Q And you felt that you were fine enough to drive?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q When you got there you were able to know that Lion
- 24 needed help, to come over, right?
- 25 A Yes.

NAVARETTE - RE-RECROSS/DRANOVE

- Q It's not like you were falling asleep in the chair, anything like that?
- 3 | A No.

1

2

- MS. CHU: I have nothing further.
- 5 RE-RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. DRANOVE:
 - Q So you drove after how many drinks?
- A After the beers, and yeah, what, three, four, five beers and three drinks.
- 10 Q Nobody tested your blood alcohol before you drove,
 11 correct?
- 12 A No, they didn't.
- 13 Q Of course not.
- 14 And it was dark in there, wasn't it?
- 15 A Yes, it was.
- 16 MR. DRANOVE: No further questions.
- 17 | THE COURT: Thank you Mr. Navarette. You're
- 18 excused. You may step down from the witness stand.
- 19 (At this time, the witness exited the
- 20 courtroom.)
- 21 THE COURT: You may proceed, Ms. Chu.
- 22 MS. CHU: People call Justin Harriman.
- 23 (At this time, the witness entered the
- 24 courtroom.)
- 25 COURT SERGEANT: Stand in front of the chair,

177 HARRIMAN - DIRECT/CHU 1 raise your right hand, face the clerk. 2 COURT CLERK: Raise your right hand. 3 Solemnly swear the testimony you are about to 4 give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing 5 but the truth? 6 RETIRED OFFICER HARRIMAN: Yes, I do. 7 COURT CLERK: Be seated. 8 State your name, please. 9 RETIRED OFFICER HARRIMAN: Justin Harriman. 10 COURT CLERK: What county do you live in? 11 RETIRED OFFICER HARRIMAN: I now live in 12 Belmont, Massachusetts. 13 THE COURT: You may examine the witness 14 Ms. Chu. 15 MS. CHU: Thank you. 16 JUSTIN HARRIMAN, having been duly sworn, 17 testified as follows: 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. CHU: 19 20 0 Good morning Mr. Harrison. MR. DRANOVE: Harrison or Harriman? 21 22 0 Harriman, I'm sorry. 23 Good morning Mr. Harriman. 24 Α Good morning.

Are you a former member of the New York City

25

Q

- HARRIMAN DIRECT/CHU 1 Police Department? 2 Yes, I am. Α 3 Can you tell me what was the circumstances under which you left the New York City Police Department's 4 5 employee? 6 Α I retired after serving five years. 7 And you said you're currently in Massachusetts? 8 Yes, I am. Α 9 Now, can you just tell us where were you assigned Q before you retired? 10
 - A The precinct I was at before I retired was Highway
 Two, and before that the 72nd precinct.
 - Q And when was it that you actually retired?
 - A I believe it was July 18, 2008.
 - Q I want to direct your attention to February 27, 2005. Were you working as an officer at the 72nd precinct on that date?
- 18 A Yes, I was.

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q And can you tell the members of the jury what hours you worked?
- A I worked the midnight shift which started at 11:15
 22 p.m. until 7:50 a.m.
- 23 Q You actually started the day before on the 26th?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Did you have a partner that day?

179 HARRIMAN - DIRECT/CHU Yes, I did. 1 Α 2 And who was that? Her name was Officer Zambrano. 3 Α Were you guys assigned to a car or on foot? 4 5 We were in a car. Α Was that car marked or unmarked? 6 7 Marked. Α 8 Were you in uniform or plain clothes? 9 In uniform. Α 10 I want to direct your attention to sometime during 11 the night about, actually sometime during your shift on 12 February 27, 2005. Did there come a time when you were 13 directed to go anywhere? 14 Α Yes. 15 Where were you directed to go? 0 16 To Lutheran Medical Center. How was it that you got the assignment? 17 Q 18 We were radioed via the dispatcher to call the 19 command, and we called the command and they informed us to 20 respond over to Lutheran Medical Center. 21 Q What was your reason for responding over to 22 Lutheran Medical Center? 23 Α There was a need for a police officer to be there. 24 Q When you arrived at the location -- I'm sorry, did 25 you arrive at Lutheran Medical Center?

- HARRIMAN DIRECT/CHU Yes, we did. 1 Α 2 Was there anybody particular that you were 3 supposed to go to speak to or what was your purpose for being there? 4 5 There was a injured party and we were to go there 6 to see what happened. 7 And when you arrived at the hospital, can you tell 8 me did you learn the name of the individual that had been injured? 9 Α 10 Yes. And what did you learn his name to be? 11 Q 12 Edgar Ojeda. Α 13 Can you tell me when you arrived at the hospital Q what was his condition at that point? 14 15 He was being treated by the doctors in the trauma Α 16 center. 17 Did there come a time when they stopped treating 0 him? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 Now, did you actually see Mr. Ojeda's body while you were at the hospital? 21 22 Α Yes.
 - Q Can you tell me did you observe any injuries to his body?
- 25 A Yes, I did.

23

HARRIMAN - DIRECT/CHU

- Q And where did you see those injuries?
- A He was apparently stabbed three times. Once in the left side of his neck, and twice in the left side of his rib cage.
 - Q Can you tell me what was his condition when you actually saw those injuries?
 - A He was deceased at that time.
 - Q Now, did you speak with any individuals that were at the hospital with regard to Mr. Ojeda?
- 10 A Yes, I did.
 - Q And did you get any contact information from them?
- 12 A I got their names.
 - Q Did you provide those names to detectives from your precinct?
- 15 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

14

16

17

18

- Q Now, I want to direct your attention to February 28, 2005 about 9:30 in the morning. Were you present at the office of the chief medical examiner here in Kings County?
- A Yes.
- Q What was your purpose for being there?
- 21 A To identify Mr. Ojeda's body again.
- 22 Q Did you identify his body?
- 23 A Yes, I did.
- Q Was that the same person that you had seen at Lutheran Medical Center the day before?

182 HARRIMAN - CROSS/DRANOVE 1 Α Yes. 2 At this time, other than the duties and 3 responsibilities that you just described, did you have any 4 further involvement in this case? 5 I vouchered the clothes that were taken off of him at the hospital for safekeeping. 6 7 Other than that, did you have any further 8 involvement? 9 Α No. 10 Thank you very much. Q MS. CHU: I have nothing further. 11 12 THE COURT: Any cross examination 13 Mr. Dranove? 14 MR. DRANOVE: Yes. 15 CROSS EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. DRANOVE: Sir, are you still involved in law enforcement? 17 0 18 Α I am not. 19 Q Are you employed? 20 Α I am employed. 21 What type of work are you working right now? 0 22 Α I am a waiter. 23 Did you on that February morning when you went to Q 24 the hospital, were you in the presence of a medical 25 examiner?

Α

Yes, I do.

COLLOQUY

	COLLOQUY 184
1	Q What are they?
2	A Carlos Solomon, Jonathan Dominguez, and Marcus
3	Carrasquillo. I have trouble pronouncing the last name.
4	THE COURT: That's it. You're sure?
5	MR. DRANOVE: Yeah.
6	Q Did you discuss
7	THE COURT: You're not sure.
8	Q Did you discuss with them the location of the
9	wounds on the body?
10	A I don't recall.
11	MR. DRANOVE: No further questions.
12	THE COURT: Did you want to ask him anything
13	about that Ms. Chu?
14	MS. CHU: No.
15	THE COURT: Thank you Mr. Harriman. You're
16	now excused for sure.
17	(At this time, the witness exited the
18	courtroom.)
19	THE COURT: You may proceed Ms. Chu.
20	MS. CHU: People call Jonathan Dominguez.
21	(At this time, the witness entered the
22	courtroom.)
23	COURT SERGEANT: Take the witness stand,
24	please.
25	COURT CLERK: Step up and raise your right

ı	185
	DOMINGUEZ - DIRECT/CHU
1	hand, please.
2	Solemnly swear the testimony you are about to
3	give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
4	but the truth?
5	MR. DOMINGUEZ: Yes.
6	COURT CLERK: Be seated.
7	State your name.
8	MR. DOMINGUEZ: Jonathan Dominguez.
9	COURT CLERK: What county do you live in?
10	MR. DOMINGUEZ: Kings County.
11	THE COURT: You may inquire Ms. Chu.
12	MS. CHU: Thank you.
13	JONATHAN DOMINGUEZ, having been duly sworn,
14	testified as follows:
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MS. CHU:
17	Q Good morning Mr. Dominguez.
18	A Good morning.
19	Q How old are you, sir?
20	A 31.
21	Q And can you tell me, are you employed?
22	A No.
23	Q Do you know someone named Edgar Ojeda?
24	A Yes.
25	Q How do you know him?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

Α

Α

Α

Q

А

0

Α

Q

Α

Q

Α

Q

186 DOMINGUEZ - DIRECT/CHU He's a friend of mine. And how long have you known him? Probably for like four years before he passed away, four years. How did you meet him? I met him through my friend Carlos. Now, just before we get into that, I just want to ask, do you have a criminal history? Yes. Can you tell me on May 30 of 2000, did you plead quilty to attempted criminal sale of a controlled substance in the third degree? Yes. And in that case, sir, you received a sentence of six months in jail and five years probation? Yes. And in that case you were selling cocaine? Yes. Were you also convicted on June 12th of 2003 of aggravated unlicensed operation of a motor vehicle in the third degree? Yes. And in that case did you pay a fine of \$500? Yes.

And on March 24th of 2006, did you also plead

187 DOMINGUEZ - DIRECT/CHU quilty to driving while intoxicated, a misdemeanor? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 And in that case did you also, did you get sentenced to a conditional discharge and have to go to an 4 5 alcohol abuse program? 6 Α Yes. 7 Yes? Q 8 Uh huh. Α And September 25, 2008 were you convicted of 9 Q criminal possession of a controlled substance in the seventh 10 11 degree? 12 Α Yes. 13 And in that case you were in possession of Q cocaine? 14 15 Α Yes. 16 And in that case you received, I believe it was Q 17 thirty days in jail? 18 Α Yes. 19 Q Is that true? 20 Α Uh huh. 21 THE COURT: You need to say yes or no. 22 Α Yes. Sorry. What about October of 2008, October 30th 23 Q

disorderly conduct in which you were sentenced to a

specifically of 2008, did you plead guilty to a violation of

24

188 DOMINGUEZ - DIRECT/CHU conditional discharge? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 Now, that we got that out of the way. I want to direct your attention to February 26th 4 5 of 2005 into the early morning of February 27, 2005. Did 6 you have any plans with Edgar? 7 Yes. 8 Now, you said that you knew Edgar through your 9 friend Carlos? 10 Α Yes. What's Carlos's last name? 11 Q 12 Α Solomon. 13 Do you also know someone by the name of Marcus? Q 14 Α Yes. That's my cousin. 15 What's Marcus's last name? Q 16 Α Carrasquillo. 17 Did you have any plans with Edgar that day? Q 18 Α Yes. 19 Q What were the plans? 20 Α We were planning to go out to a bar. 21 Q Earlier in the day did you spend any time with 22 Edgar, Carlos or Marcus? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q Where? 25 We want to Fulton to buy some clothes. Α

189 DOMINGUEZ - DIRECT/CHU bought some sneakers. 1 2 Edgar bought sneakers. So you guys went shopping? 3 Α Yeah, shopping. 4 After you guys went shopping, where did you go 5 next? 6 We want back to Carlos house. Α 7 Did you have any plans for later that evening? Q 8 Α Yes. 9 Q What were the plans? 10 We were going to a bar that my cousin Marcus knew. Α 11 Do you know where that bar was located? Q 12 It was downtown Brooklyn. I really don't know. Α 13 Do you remember the name of it? Q 14 Α No. After you guys went shopping and then you went 15 0 16 back to Carlos's house, did you stay at Carlos's house 17 before you went back out again or did you go anywhere? 18 Α No, we stood there. 19 Q Did you ever go and get anything to eat at your 20 house? 21 Α Not that I recall. 22 Do you remember eating anything? Q 23 Α No. 24 Now, did there come a time when you guys started Q

25

to go out?

		DOMINGUEZ - DIRECT/CHU
1	А	Yes.
2	Q	Later that night?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Do you remember about what time it was you guys
5	went out?	
6	А	Maybe like 12 o'clock, 11:30, 12.
7	Q	You said that it was supposed to be a bar
8	somewhere	downtown?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Did you ever get to that bar that Marcus knew?
11	А	No, couldn't find it.
12	Q	Where did you guys end up?
13	А	We wound up in a strip club.
14	Q	Where is that strip club located?
15	А	On 37th and Third Avenue.
16	Q	How did you guys get there?
17	A	Carlos was driving.
18	Q	Could you just wait for me to finish the question
19	so she car	n get everything we're saying.
20		You said you had ended up at 37th and Third at a
21	strip clu	b?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	Do you remember what the name of that strip club
24	was?	
25	A	Cocktails.

i	DOMINGUEZ - DIRECT/CHU
1	Q Once you were at Cocktails, did you guys have any
2	cocktails?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What were you drinking there?
5	A Beer.
6	Q What kind of beer?
7	A Heineken.
8	Q Do you remember about how many Heinekens you guys
9	had when you were there?
10	A Two, three.
11	Q Once you were there, did there come a time when
12	you left Cocktails?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Where did you go?
15	A We went to Amanacers.
16	Q Where is that located?
17	A On 39th and Third Avenue.
18	Q Did Carlos drive from the Cocktails place to
19	Amanacer or did you guys walk the two blocks?
20	A I'm not sure. Maybe we drove. I don't recall.
21	Q But you had eventually ended up at Amanacers?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Do you remember if that was the actual name at the
24	time or that's what you know it as?
25	A That's what I know it as.
	li

i		DOMINGUEZ - DIRECT/CHU	,
1	Q	Had you ever been to that bar before?	
2	A	Yes.	
3	Q	It was still the four of you?	
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	Did you change at all from what you wore from when	
6		shopping during the day, or you	
7	A	No.	
8	Q	If you recall?	
9	A	We didn't change at all.	
10	Q	Can you tell me once you arrived at Amanacers did	
11	you drink	anything there?	
12	A	Yes.	
13	Q	What did you get to drink?	
14	A	One beer. We didn't even drink a beer. We just	
15	got there	like five, ten minutes.	
16	Q	Can you tell me when you first got to the bar	
17	where did	you go?	
18	А	Straight to the bar to get a drink.	
19	Q	Did you see where Edgar went?	
20	A	He was right with me, right behind me.	
21	Q	Did you stay by the bar?	
22	A	Yeah, stood by the bar.	
23	Q	Do you know whether there's a jukebox in that bar?	
24	A	Yes.	
25	Q	Did you go anywhere near the jukebox?	
	I		

DOMINGUEZ - DIRECT/CHU

- A That's where we were standing, right next to the jukebox.
 - Q Well, the bar is across the way from there, right?
 - A Yeah, but it's right there, like three feet.
 - Q Three feet away?
 - A Yeah.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q Were you standing closest to the jukebox or are you standing closer to the bar?
- A I was by the bar. They were closer to the jukebox.
- Q When you say "they," who are you talking about?
- 12 A Marcus, Edgar and Carlos.
- 13 Q Did you know anyone there?
- 14 A Some kid Rudy. I don't know his last name.
- 15 | Q How do you know Rudy?
- 16 A He lives in the neighborhood.
- 17 | Q Did you guys have a conversation, you and Rudy?
- 18 A Yeah, I was talking to him.
 - Q And when you were talking to him -- I'm sorry,
- 20 does Marcus know him as well?
- 21 | A Yes.
- Q Do you know whether or not Carlos or Edgar knows him?
- A Carlos might know him because of his friends, but Edgar didn't know him.

DOMINGUEZ - DIRECT/CHU

- Q Edgar didn't know him?
- A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q When you were standing there talking with Rudy, can you tell me where was Edgar, Carlos and Edgar, Carlos and Marcus to your body, front of you, side of you?
 - A They were behind me.
 - Q Was Rudy facing you when you were talking to him?
- A Yes.
 - Q Can you tell me what happened while you were talking with Rudy?
 - A I heard like a scuffle behind me.
 - Q When you say "scuffle," what do you mean?
- A Voices, people arguing.
- 14 Q So did you turn around?
- A Yeah, turned around immediately.
- Q When you turned around, can you tell us did you see anybody involved in the scuffle?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q What did you see?
- 20 A I seen two guys right there. But the bouncer was
 21 like kicking them out. So I ran to Edgar and Carlos like,
 22 what's going on, what's going on. And that's when Edgar was
 23 like "Yo, I'm stabbed."
- Q Did you see what happened before you turned around from the commotion?

	DOMINGUEZ - DIRECT/CHU
1	A No.
2	Q When you walked up to Edgar I'm sorry, you
3	walked up to Carlos and Edgar, did you see any injuries on
4	him?
5	A Yeah. The blood was pouring out not pouring
6	out, but like leaking down.
7	Q And can you tell me where was it that you saw the
8	blood coming from?
9	A Right here, like on his neck by the shoulder
10	blade.
11	MR. DRANOVE: Indicating the right side.
12	THE COURT: The left side of his body.
13	Which side are you indicating?
14	MR. DRANOVE: Right side.
15	THE COURT: I'm sorry, you're right, the
16	right side of his neck where it meets the shoulder.
17	Q Now, you said you saw two guys. What did you see
18	them doing?
19	A Just like, I did not really see them doing
20	nothing. When I got there the bouncer(s) was breaking them up
21	and they were just leaving.
22	Q They were leaving?
23	A They kicked them out.
24	Q Do you remember what those two were wearing?

I just remember one had a hoody on with a army $\,$

25

Α

DOMINGUEZ - DIRECT/CHU

L	jacket
2	Q

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q A hoody with a army jacket?

A Yes.

Q Did you see the two guys that were leaving, the one with the hoody and army jacket, did you see them earlier when you got to the bar?

A No.

Q Do you know if they were there with anybody else?

A I think they were there with one of the other kids.

Q After they left, did you see the other kid they were there with around the bar still?

A Yes.

Q Did you see anybody try and hit that person?

A I think me and Carlos tried honestly.

Q Why was that that you tried to hit him?

MR. DRANOVE: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

A Because my friend just got stabbed. I mean it was just a reaction.

MR. DRANOVE: Judge, I ask that in light of his not observing any stabbing, that it be stricken from the record.

THE COURT: Overruled. I'm going to allow the answer as to why he was swung at.

SW

		DOMINGUEZ - DIRECT/CHU
1	Q	Once you realized Edgar was stabbed, what did you
2	do?	
3	A	What do you mean? We left, went straight to the
4	car.	
5	Q	Initially you said there were bouncers there by
6	the door.	Was I'm sorry. Withdrawn.
7		Were you allowed to immediately leave or were the
8	doors loc	ked?
9	А	The doors were locked at first.
10	Q	And how did you get them to open the door?
11	А	They seen him bleeding.
12	Q	And they let you guys out?
13	A	Yeah, they let us out.
14	Q	Did you ever call for an ambulance or anything?
15	А	No, because we had a car.
16	Q	Was Edgar able to walk?
17	А	Yeah, he walked to the car.
18	Q	Did you guys help him at all?
19	A	No, he walked to the car by himself.
20	Q	Did you see was he bleeding as he was walking?
21	А	Yeah. He was choking.
22	Q	Did there come a time when you actually got him to
23	the car?	
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	Whose car was that?

198 DOMINGUEZ - DIRECT/CHU 1 Carlos car. Α 2 And where was it parked, if you remember? Q 3 Right across the street under the highway. Α 4 0 Under where, the BQE? 5 Α Yes. 6 Once you guys got in the car, do you remember 7 where Edgar was sitting? 8 Sitting in the front seat. 9 Q What about Carlos, he was driving? Yeah. 10 Α 11 Where were you? Q 12 I was in the back. Α 13 What about Marcus? Q He was in the back. 14 Α So all four of you left together? 15 Q 16 Α Yes. What did you do once you guys got in the car? 17 Q 18 We started eating all the lights and went straight Α 19 to the hospital. 20 When you say "eating," what do you mean? 21 Α Running them. 22 Q Running red lights? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q About how long did it take for you guys to get to 25 the hospital?

		DOMINGUEZ - DIRECT/CHU
1	А	Not even a couple of minutes.
2	Q	Can you tell me which hospital did you take him
3	to?	
4	А	Lutheran.
5	Q	Lutheran Medical Center?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	Was Edgar able to talk at all while he was in the
8	car?	
9	А	No. He was choking.
10	Q	And can you tell me
11	А	He was trying to talk, but he was choking.
12	Q	Was he still conscious while he was in the car?
13	А	For a little while, not the whole ride. Maybe for
14	about hal	of the ride.
15	Q	Maybe for half the ride he was conscious?
16	А	(Head gesture.)
17	Q	You have to answer out loud.
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Now, once you got to the hospital, what did you
20	do?	
21	А	We picked him up and brought him into the
22	emergency	room.
23	Q	Once you brought him to the emergency room, that's
24	when you	gave him over to the personnel there?
25	А	Yes.

		DOMINGUEZ - DIRECT/CHU
1	Q	Now, did you stay at the hospital?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	And did there come a time when the police arrived
4	there?	
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	Did you talk to them?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Told them what your name was?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	Did you where did you go after you were at the
11	hospital?	
12	А	To the precinct.
13	Q	Did you talk to them again there?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	I want to direct your attention now to February
16	28, 2005,	the next day. Did there come a time when the
17	police co	ntacted you to come look at a line-up at the
18	precinct?	
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	And when you looked at the line-up do you
21	recall wh	o it was that contacted you?
22	А	No.
23	Q	Do you remember how you got to the precinct?
24	A	Most likely it was with Carlos and everybody.
25	Q	So you went there with Carlos and Marcus?

DOMINGUEZ - DIRECT/CHU

- A Most likely, but. Most likely we were all together.
- Q Once you were at the precinct, do you remember if you were kept together or did you get separated at some time?
 - A No, we got separated at some time.
- Q Initially you were together but eventually you got separated?
 - A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

- Q When you got separated, was there any instruction to you about wandering around the precinct?
- A I don't recall, but I'm sure we wouldn't wander no where. Nobody was wandering.
- Q And once you were at the precinct, did there come a time when you actually looked at the line-up?
- A Yes.
 - Q When you looked at the line-up, were you able to recognize anyone?
- A No.
 - Q And you said that the two that you saw running out, did you actually get to see their faces at all?
- 22 A No.
 - Q What about the third person you said you thought might have been with them?
- 25 A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

202 DOMINGUEZ - DIRECT/CHU Do you remember what he looks like? Q Α Yeah. What did he look like? 0 He was tall, dark skin and bald. He had like a white jacket. He's the one that was still inside after the two left? Α Yes. Now, other than this commotion that happened while you were talking to Rudy, was there any beef that Edgar had with anyone in the bar while you were there? No. We just got there. Α Did you have any problems with anybody at the bar? Q No. Α How about Marcus? Q Α No. What about Carlos? 0 Α No. Q Anybody in the bar have any altercations other than what occurred while you were talking with Rudy? Α No. Did you guys have any altercation while you were at the other place, at Cocktails? Α No.

If I could have the exhibits 4 and

MS. CHU:

DOMINGUEZ - DIRECT/CHU

- 1 6 put up, please.
 - Q Taking a look at the bottom picture, People's 4D, the one on the bottom right, you see that Mr. Dominguez?
 - A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

17

- Q You said that you were standing like closer to the bar. Do you see the area in that photograph where you were standing with Rudy when you were talking?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Could you just point to it with your finger?
- 10 A I was probably like right here, (indicating).
 - MS. CHU: Let the record reflect that he's pointing to the right side of the photograph just to the left of the bar depicted in the photograph.
 - Q And you said that Edgar, Carlos and Marcus were closer to where the jukebox is?
- 16 A Yeah. Yes.
 - Q Do you see the area where they were standing in any of those photographs?
- 19 A Yes.
- Q Can you just tell us which photograph?
- 21 A This one (indicating).
- 22 Q In photograph C?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q And were they standing -- you see the door that's there?

- DOMINGUEZ DIRECT/CHU 1 Α Yes. 2 Were they closer to the door or closer to the 3 jukebox, if you remember? 4 Like right in the middle, maybe like closest to 5 the door a little bit. MS. CHU: Your Honor, may I approach the 6 7 exhibit? 8 THE COURT: Sure. 9 MS. CHU: Thank you. You see this table that's in People's 5 -- I'm 10 sorry, 4C? 11 12 Α Yes. Was the table in that same area, approximately, 13 Q 14 when you were there? I can't recall. I don't even know if there was a 15 16 table there because we were standing there. If we were standing there, there wouldn't be a table there. 17 I'm just saying, was it in that approximate area? 18 Was it further out? 19 20 Α No, no, no, against the wall right there. You said they were standing within this area here 21 0 22 (indicating)?
 - Α Yes.

23

24 MS. CHU: Let the record reflect I'm circling 25 where the jukebox is.

DOMINGUEZ - CROSS/DRANOVE

- Q Basically right in front of the door?
- 2 A Yes.

1

3

4

7

- MS. CHU: Your Honor, may I have one moment?
- THE COURT: Yes.
- 5 MS. CHU: I have nothing further.
- 6 THE COURT: Cross examination Mr. Dranove?
 - MR. DRANOVE: Thanks, your Honor.

8 CROSS EXAMINATION

9 BY MR. DRANOVE:

- 10 Q Mr. Dominguez, what time did you get to Amanacer
 11 that night?
- 12 A Like 1 o'clock, 12:30, 1 o'clock.
- 13 Q And did you hear any commotion before you turned 14 and saw Edgar and some -- or people at the jukebox?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Was the music playing?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Was the music loud?
- 19 A Not that loud. Pretty loud though.
- 20 Did you hear the commotion over the music?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q Did there come a time when one or more bouncers
- 23 got involved in stopping the commotion?
- 24 A Excuse me?
- 25 | Q Did one or more bouncers get involved with

	DOMINIGUEZ - CROSS/DRANOVE
1	A Yes.
2	Q Did you swing at him?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Had you seen him do anything to Edgar?
5	A Who, the guy?
6	Q The one left inside that you swang at, swung at,
7	did you see him touch Edgar?
8	A No.
9	Q Could he be the one who stabbed Edgar?
10	A No.
11	MS. CHU: Objection.
12	THE COURT: Sustained.
13	Q Did Carlos try to leave the bar before he left
14	with Edgar? Did he make an earlier attempt to leave the
15	bar?
16	A No.
17	Q Was he stopped by a bouncer from leaving the bar?
18	A We was all stopped, yes.
19	Q You were stopped by a bouncer from leaving the
20	bar?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Before you as a group were stopped by a bouncer
23	from leaving the bar, was Carlos alone stopped by a bouncer
24	from leaving the bar?
25	MS. CHU: Objection.

- DOMINIGUEZ CROSS/DRANOVE THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 1 2 Α No. Could you describe the bouncer? 3 Q 4 It was -- I know it was a Spanish kid. Α 5 Tall? Short? Q Short. 6 Α 7 I don't know how tall you are, but compared to Q 8 you? 9 About the same as I am. Α 10 About how tall are you? 11 Five, eight. Α 12 Did you see a hat on either of the persons who you 13 said were the two who left first or just the hoody? Just a hoody. He could have had a hat on. I 14 15 wouldn't know.
- 16 Q He could have had a hat on and you would not have seen it?
- 18 A He had a hoody on.
- 19 Q You don't know if he had a hat on?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q And can you tell us if at the time you were there
 22 the lighting in the bar was in any way related to, in
 23 comparison to the lighting in the picture B there?
- 24 A Picture D?
- 25 Q B, the upper right one.

ı	•		209
		DOMINIGUEZ - CROSS/DRANOVE	
1	A	Yeah, like that.	
2	Q	It was like that?	
3	А	Yes.	
4	Q	You had how many drinks before you got to that	
5	bar?		
6	А	Two, three.	
7	Q	And there was were they all at Cocktails?	
8	А	Yes.	
9	Q	Were they cocktails or beers?	
10	А	Beers.	
11	Q	All three?	
12	A	Yes.	
13	Q	Heineken?	
14	A	Yes.	
15	Q	About what time did you get to Cocktails?	
16	А	Like 12, 12:15, around there.	
17	Q	When you left, what time was it?	
18	А	Like one.	
19	Q	So, after the three beers and the 45 minutes to	
20	one hour	at Cocktails, you went over to Amanacer's, correct	ct?
21	A	Yes.	
22	Q	How long were you there before the commotion too	k
23	place?	I'm going to withdraw that question, rephrase it,	
24	ask it d	ifferently.	
25		How long were you in Amanacer's before you becam	ne

ı	l	2	210
		DOMINIGUEZ - CROSS/DRANOVE	
1	aware that	t there was a commotion?	
2	А	Ten minutes, five minutes. Just got there.	
3	Q	Were you searched when you went into the place?	
4	А	Yes.	
5	Q	What were you wearing that night?	
6	A	I don't recall.	
7	Q	At all?	
8	А	Not really.	
9	Q	Do you recall what Carlos was wearing that night?	?
10	A	No.	
11	Q	Was he wearing camouflage clothing?	
12	А	I don't recall.	
13	Q	Describe how you were searched before you entered	Ł
14	the bar?		
15	А	Patted down.	
16	Q	From where to where?	
17	А	From shoulder to ankles.	
18	Q	Were you wearing a cap or a hoody?	
19	A	I wasn't I wasn't wearing no hoody. I don't	
20	think so,	no.	
21	Q	And were you searched inside your legs?	
22	А	Yes.	
23	Q	Inside of your legs? Outside of your legs?	
24	A	No, just like patted down.	
25	Q	Thoroughly patted down?	
		OF J	

DOMINIGUEZ - CROSS/DRANOVE

- 1 "Breaking it up?" You said the bouncers were "breaking it up" here in this courtroom.
 - A I don't understand what you're saying.
 - Q You don't know what the bouncers did, do you?

 MS. CHU: Objection.
 - Q You weren't facing the group near the jukebox, right?
- 8 THE COURT: Sustained as to the form of the question.
- 10 Q You weren't facing the group near the jukebox when 11 whatever happened, happened, right?
- 12 A Yes.

3

4

5

6

- Q You don't know how long the episode took before
 you turned around and looked, right?
- 15 A It took like a minute; it was quick.
- Q Were you at the jukebox before you went to the bar?
- 18 A No, I was like five feet from it.
- 19 Q Paying attention to whatever you were doing at the 20 bar, right?
- 21 A I was talking to my friend.
- 22 Q For how long?
- 23 A Two minutes.
- Q What were you doing before that?
- 25 A I just got the drink from the bar.

COLLOQUY

	COLLOQUY 214
1	Q Do you know the name does the name Jahaira ring
2	a bell?
3	A I think that's his girl, his wife, whatever.
4	Q When you entered the bar, how many bouncers
5	searched the four of you when you went into the bar?
6	A One.
7	Q Did he search you one at a time?
8	A Yes.
9	MR. DRANOVE: No further questions.
10	THE COURT: Any redirect?
11	MS. CHU: No.
12	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Dominguez. You're
13	excused. You may step down from the witness stand.
14	(At this time, the witness exited the
15	courtroom.)
16	THE COURT: We've had a long run here. I
17	appreciate your patience. We'll recess until 2:15.
18	Don't discuss the case and enjoy your lunch.
19	Please take charge of the jurors.
20	Why don't you wait here Mr. Larson (ph).
21	Everyone else can leave.
22	(At this time, the jury exited the courtroom
23	except Alternate Number 3.)
24	THE COURT: Okay. The officer mentioned to
25	me this morning that you told her that you were

COLLOQUY

1	concerned about the graphic nature of the testimony.
2	ALTERNATE NO. 3: Yeah. I was basically
3	feeling ill yesterday to the point I felt I was going
4	to pass out. I really was not paying attention. I
5	couldn't. I had to think about other things and not
6	pay attention to the testimony.
7	THE COURT: What about what you're hearing
8	today?
9	ALTERNATE NO 3: When the conversation comes
10	up again about what happened, that kind of stuff, I get
11	very lightheaded. I pass out at those things.
12	THE COURT: I certainly don't want you to
13	pass out. I think we've gotten through the medical
14	examiner. Yesterday was the graphic part.
15	ALTERNATE NO. 3: I didn't really get much of
16	what she was saying. I was trying not to listen.
17	THE COURT: You want to ask him anything,
18	Ms. Chu?
19	MS. CHU: Would that affect your ability to
20	concentrate on what the evidence is in this case?
21	ALTERNATE NO. 3: I think most definitely.
22	THE COURT: Do you want to ask him anything?
23	MR. DRANOVE: You want to leave the panel now
24	because you think it's right to have the people who
25	have heard all the testimony?

COLLOOUY

	COLLOQUY 216
1	ALTERNATE NO. 3: I'll say so.
2	THE COURT: Thank you. Just step outside.
3	I'll confer with all the attorneys, okay.
4	(At this time, the juror exited the
5	courtroom.)
6	THE COURT: I think that he has to be
7	discharged based on what he just told us. Any
8	objection by the People?
9	MS. CHU: No.
10	THE COURT: By the defense?
11	MR. DRANOVE: No.
12	THE COURT: You can tell the juror he's
13	discharged. All right.
14	All right. We're in recession until 2:15.
15	Have a nice lunch.
16	(At this time, there was a luncheon break and
17	the matter subsequently resumed.)
18	(At this time, Michelle Walker relieved
19	Sandra Wilkes as official court reporter.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Solomon - Direct

217

	SOIOMON - Direct
1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	COURT OFFICER: Jurors are entering.
3	COURT CLERK: Both sides waive roll call?
4	MS. CHU: So waived.
5	MR. DRANOVE: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and
7	gentlemen. I hope you liked that weather that
8	I arranged for you on the lunch break.
9	We are going to continue with the
10	prosecution's case this afternoon.
11	You may call your next witness, Miss Chu.
12	MS. CHU: People call Carlos Solomon.
13	C A R L O S S O L O M O N, having been called
14	as a witness, having been duly sworn, testified as
15	follows:
16	COURT CLERK: State your name?
17	THE WITNESS: Carlos Solomon.
18	COURT CLERK: What county do you live in?
19	THE WITNESS: Kings.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Solomon, I am going to ask
21	you to slide up, get a little closer to
22	microphone so we can hear what you have to say.
23	You may examine the witness, Miss Chu.
24	MS. CHU: Thank you.

25

DIRECT EXAMINATION

	Solomon - Direct	218
1	BY MS. CHU:	
2	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Solomon.	
3	A Good afternoon.	
4	Q How old are you, sir?	
5	A Thirty-two.	
6	Q Do you work for a living?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q What did you do?	
9	A I work for tow truck company.	
10	Q You work	
11	A Tow truck company.	
12	Q How long have you been working for them?	
13	A Fifteen years.	
14	Q What did you do for this tow truck	
15	company?	
16	A We work in conjunction with the marshal's	
17	office, everything for cars that owe money to The	
18	City. Parking tickets.	
19	Q So what actually do you have to do in your	
20	job with the tow truck company?	
21	A I actually I drive around in my own	
22	personal car looking for license plates that owes	
23	The City. Tickets to The City.	
24	Q Could you just take your gum out?	
25	You said I am sorry.	

	Solomon - Direct	219
1	MS. CHU: Can you just read back that last	
2	answer for me?	
3	(Whereupon, the question was	
4	read as requested.)	
5	Q Do you actually have a list that is	
6	provided to you by the marshal's office?	
7	A Yes. Actually, I'm provided by my tow	
8	truck company.	
9	Q And if you find a vehicle that is a	
10	license plate that matches your list, what are you	
11	suppose to do then?	
12	A I have a radio in my car, so I just if	
13	I find a vehicle, I called the marshal on my radio	
14	and they come pick up the car whenever they get a	
15	chance.	
16	Q Do you take any part at all in when they	
17	come get the car at all?	
18	A No.	
19	Q Now, did you know someone by the name	
20	every Edgar Ojeda?	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q And how did you know Edgar?	
23	A We grew up together.	
24	Q What was your relationship with him?	
25	A He was one of my best friends.	

		Solomon - Direct	220
1	Q H	ow often would you see Edgar back in	
2	2005?	ow often would you see dagar back in	
3		veryday.	
4		want to direct your attention to	
5		ebruary 26, 2005, into the early morning	
6	-	February 27 th , 2005. Did you spend any	
7		dgar that day?	
8		es.	
9		hat plans did you guys have that	
10	Saturday?	The particular of the graph of	
11	-	aturday morning we was hanging out, we	
12	went shoppi		
13	Q W	here did you guys go shopping?	
14	A D	owntown Brooklyn.	
15	Q D	o you remember was just you and Edgar,	
16	did you hav	re somebody else with you?	
17	A I	t was couple more friends with me.	
18	Q A	and who was that?	
19	A M	arcus was with me also.	
20	Q A	nybody else?	
21	A A	and Jonathan.	
22	Q D	o you go by a nickname?	
23	A Y	es.	
24	Q W	hat is your nickname?	
25	A B	Buster.	

		Solomon - Direct	221
1	Q	What about Jonathan, does he have a	
2	nickname?		
3	А	Yes.	
4	Q	What is his nickname?	
5	А	GoGo.	
6	Q	GoGo?	
7	А	Yes.	
8	Q	And what about Marcus, does he have a	
9	nickname?	?	
10	А	Ely.	
11	Q	Now, after you guys went downtown, did	
12	you wh	nat you do downtown?	
13	А	We was just killing time shopping.	
14	Nothing m	nuch really.	
15	Q	Where did you go after you were downtown?	
16	А	After we went down there, we went back to	
17	my house.	•	
18	Q	And when you say, we went back to my	
19	house, an	re you all four of you went back to your	
20	house?		
21	А	Yes. Correct. All four of us.	
22	Q	How did you get downtown?	
23	А	In my car.	
24	Q	And then you went back to our house also	
25	via car?		

	Solomon - Direct	222
1	A Yes.	
2	Q Do you remember what time it was that you	
3	got back to your house around?	
4	A I am not exactly sure, but it was in the	
5	evening time.	
6	Q Did you guys have plans for later that	
7	night?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q And what were you guys going to	
10	A We're going to go to a bar of one of our	
11	friends, guy name Ely, he knew somebody was throwing	
12	a party in a bar downtown.	
13	Q Do you know where this bar was located?	
14	A If it was on Ashland and Dekalb.	
15	Q Did you know the name of the bar?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q What was it?	
18	A Buttacup.	
19	Q Did you guys actually I am sorry.	
20	How long are you actually at your house	
21	before you guys go back out again?	
22	A We was there about three or four hours.	
23	Q Did Marcus and Jonathan remain at your	
24	house the whole time, or did they leave at all?	
25	A No, they left.	

	Solomon - Direct		
1	Q Where did they go?		
2	A They went back home to change and shower		
3	up because we're going to go out in the nighttime.		
4	Q How did you meet up with them again?		
5	A I picked them up from their house.		
6	Q How did you get to their house?		
7	A In my car.		
8	Q About what time was it that you left?		
9	A Exactly, I am not sure, but it was close		
10	to around ten, 11.		
11	Q At night?		
12	A Yes.		
13	Q And where did you guys go first?		
14	A First we went downstairs looking for the		
15	bar, Buttacups.		
16	Q And did you find the bar?		
17	A At first we didn't find it, but when we		
18	finally did find it, we couldn't we had no		
19	parking, so we decided to leave.		
20	Q Where did you go next?		
21	A From there, we went to strip club on Third		
22	Avenue.		
23	Q Do you remember cross street of Third		
24	Avenue that you went to for the strip club?		
25	A Between 36, 37.		

	Colomon Direct
	Solomon - Direct
1	Q Do you remember the name of the place?
2	A No, I don't remember the name.
3	Q About how long I am sorry. Withdrawn.
4	Do you remember about what time it was
5	that you arrived at this place on the strip club?
6	A We arrived between 12 and one, I think.
7	Q How long did you stay there?
8	A Not long. We had two beers, and we left.
9	Q It's still the four of you?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Where did you go next?
12	A Once we left the strip club, we went to
13	another bar that is on 39 Street about two blocks
14	from the strip club.
15	Q Did you actually leave your car from where
16	you were at the strip club to where the place on
17	39th, or did you just leave the car there?
18	A Actually, I don't remember if I moved it
19	or not.
20	Q And actually you end up at a bar on 39 and
21	Third?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Do you recall about what time it was that
24	you arrived there at the bar on 39 and Third?
25	A We got there, I say, between one and 1:30.

	Solomon - Direct
1	Q Now, you said you had about two beers at
2	the strip club?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Once you got to this place on 39 and
5	Third, do you remember the name of that place?
6	A About it was Spanish sword. I think it
7	was Amanacer, something like that.
8	Q Did you have anything to drink when you
9	were there?
10	A I had one beer.
11	Q Now, when you first walked in Amanacer on
12	39 and Third, can you describe for us where you went
13	to?
14	A Soon as we walked into the bar, on the
15	right-hand side it was a jukebox. I was by the
16	jukebox.
17	Q Did you ever leave that area by the
18	jukebox?
19	A Only one time to go to the bathroom.
20	Q How soon after you got to the bar did you
21	go to the bathroom?
22	A As I say, almost immediately.
23	Q Where was the bathroom located inside the
24	bar?
25	A Towards the back.

	Solomon - Direct	226
1	Q Now, are you familiar with where the dance	
2	floor is in back of bar?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q Did you have to pass by that area in order	
5	to get to the bathroom?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q And when you were in the process of	
8	passing by the dance floor area to go to the	
9	bathroom, did you notice anything?	
10	A Yes.	
11	Q What did you notice?	
12	A I notice somebody dancing with a girl.	
13	Q What made you notice them?	
14	A Cause the person dancing with the girl had	
15	her leg in the air.	
16	Q Who had leg up in the air?	
17	A The guy had the girl's leg in the air.	
18	Q That was what made you look over?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q Had you ever seen this person before?	
21	A No.	
22	Q Can you describe what the person was	
23	wearing, the male?	
24	A He was wearing camouflage jacket.	
25	Q Did you have any words with the person in	

		Solomon - Direct	227
1	the camou	flage jacket at all at this point?	
2	A	No.	
3	Q	Now, you went to the bathroom?	
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	And then when you came out of the	
6	bathroom,	where did you go next?	
7	А	I went straight back to the jukebox where	
8	I was sta	nding originally.	
9	Q	And who was at the jukebox?	
10	A	Edgar.	
11	Q	Now, was Edgar there when you left to go	
12	to the ba	throom?	
13	A	Yes.	
14	Q	And he was in the same spot when you got	
15	back?		
16	A	Yes.	
17	Q	You said you had a beer?	
18	A	Yes.	
19	Q	Do you remember who got the beer for you?	
20	A	Ely got me the beer.	
21	Q	Do you remember where Ely or Jonathan was	
22	at the ti	me that you were by the jukebox with Edgar?	
23	A	They was by the bar.	
24	Q	Did you know anybody when you first got to	
25	the bar?		

	Solomon - Direct	228
1	A No.	
2	Q Did you Jonathan or Jonathan or Marcus,	
3	know nobody when they got there?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q And did they talk to this person?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q Did you know the person?	
8	A No.	
9	Q Now, did Edgar ever go anywhere else other	
10	than in that area by the jukebox and where that door	
11	is?	
12	A No.	
13	Q I am sorry. I am going to ask you, is	
14	there is there anything else by that jukebox?	
15	A Anything else by the jukebox?	
16	Q Yeah. Is there like a side entrance	
17	there?	
18	A Yes there's exit door.	
19	Q And where were you guys standing in	
20	relation to that door?	
21	A Directly in front of it.	
22	Q Who was closest to the jukebox, if you	
23	remember?	
24	A I was.	
25	Q You were closest to the jukebox?	

		Solomon - Direct
1	А	Yeah.
2	Q	Now, did there come a time when you saw
3	the indivi	dual that you had seen in the back dancing
4	with that	girl again?
5	А	Yeah.
6	Q	And where did you see him?
7	А	Next time I seen him, he was walking,
8	walking ou	it the club.
9	Q	He was walking out
10	А	Out, crossing me by, getting ready to
11	leave.	
12	Q	So coming in front of you?
13	A	Yeah.
14	Q	I am going to ask that you look around the
15	courtroom	today and see if you see the person that
16	you saw bo	oth in the back and walking in front of
17	you?	
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Would you please point him out, tell us
20	something	that he's wearing?
21	А	Defendant wearing what looks seem like
22	a pink shi	irt.
23		MS. CHU: Your Honor, indicate defendant
	l	

THE COURT: Indicating Mr. Rivera, the

24

		Solomon - Direct	230
1	defe	ndant.	
2		MS. CHU: Thank you.	
3	Q	Now, you said he crossed in front of you?	
4	А	Yes.	
5	Q	And where did he go?	
6	А	He kept walking, it seem like he was going	
7	to leave.		
8	Q	Did there come a time when you saw him	
9	stopping	anywhere?	
10	А	Yes.	
11	Q	Where did he stop?	
12	А	He stopped by my friend, Edgar.	
13	Q	Now, Edgar was standing right next to you?	
14	А	Yeah.	
15	Q	So he walks in front of you, then stops	
16	like basi	cally to your left?	
17	А	Yes.	
18	Q	And what did you see the defendant do?	
19	А	He whispered something to him in his ear.	
20	Q	He whispered something into whose ear?	
21	А	Edgar's ear.	
22	Q	Did you hear what he was saying?	
23	А	No.	
24	Q	Now, was there any music playing at this	
25	bar that	night?	

		Solomon - Direct	231
1	А	Yes.	
2	Q	Do you remember where there was a DJ	
3	there, ri	lght?	
4	А	I am not sure if there was a DJ.	
5	Q	You're not, but there are	
6	А	There was music being played.	
7	Q	You couldn't hear what was being said?	
8	А	No.	
9	Q	Did you see Edgar respond at all to what	
10	the defer	ndant had said to him?	
11	А	Yes?	
12	А	What did you hear what he said?	
13	А	No.	
14	Q	Did you Edgar respond?	
15	А	Yes, he responded.	
16	Q	How did you respond?	
17	А	I he was talking to him. What they was	
18	saying ex	eactly, I couldn't hear. But there was	
19	conversat	cion going on.	
20	Q	He responded with words?	
21	А	Yes.	
22	Q	Now, at that point, can you tell me, did	
23	anyone el	lse come in your area where the defendant	
24	and Edgar	was standing?	
25	А	Yes.	

		Solomon - Direct	232
1	Q	Who came there?	
2	А	Two of the defendant's friends.	
3	Q	Can you describe those people for us?	
4	А	One was taller guy. Dark skin, short hair	
5	cut.		
6		The other gentleman was shorter than him,	
7	light ski	n, chubby.	
8	Q	Light skin and chubby?	
9	А	Yeah.	
10	Q	Do you remember what those two other	
11	people th	nat were with the defendant was wearing that	
12	night?		
13	А	Yes.	
14	Q	What were they wearing?	
15	А	Camouflage jackets.	
16	Q	Now, which one was wearing the camouflage	
17	jackets?		
18	А	Both of them was wearing camouflage	
19	jackets.		
20	Q	Both of what?	
21	А	Both of the defendant's friends.	
22		No, I am sorry. I am sorry. The	
23	defendant	and his friend was wearing a camouflage	
24	jacket.	The third person was wearing a white	
25	jacket.		

	Solomon - Direct
1	Q The third one was wearing a white jacket?
2	A Yeah.
3	Q Third person, is that the short chubby one
4	or is that the taller dark one?
5	A Taller, dark skin.
6	
7	the came over to where the defendant was, what
8	did you do?
9	A I turned to the gentleman that was
10	wearing the white jacket, and I asked him if there
11	was a problem.
12	Q And what did he say to you?
13	A He said to mind my fucking business.
14	Q So now while you were having this
15	conversation with the guy with the white jacket, do
16	you know what the defendant was doing?
17	A No.
18	Q Was he still in front of where Edgar was?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And can you tell me what happens next?
21	A I am talking to the guy with the white
22	jacket, the taller gentleman. And all of a sudden I
23	seen the defendant strike my friend.
24	Q And where did you see the defendant strike
25	Edgar?

	Solomon - Direct
1	A Around the top of his shoulder. Chest
2	area. Top of shoulder. (Indicating)
3	THE COURT: Indicating the left lower
4	shoulder area where the shoulder meets the
5	neck.
6	Q And after you saw the defendant do that,
7	can you tell me, did you see if there was any
8	MR. DRANOVE: Objection.
9	MS. CHU: I am sorry. Withdrawn.
10	THE COURT: She withdrew the question. So
11	I don't have rule on the objection.
12	Q Did you look to see if anything was in the
13	defendant's hand when he struck the defendant?
14	MR. DRANOVE: Objection.
15	THE COURT: Overruled.
16	You may answer.
17	A Excuse me?
18	THE COURT: You may answer the question.
19	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question,
20	please?
21	Q Did you look to see if anything was in the
22	defendant's hand when he struck your friend?
23	A No.
24	Q Did you see anything in his hand?
25	A No, I wasn't looking for anything.

	Solomon - Direct	235
1	Q You wasn't	
2	A I wasn't looking for anything.	
3	Q After you saw the defendant strike Edgar	
4	over here, what did you see the defendant do?	
5	A He ran out the door with the other	
6	gentleman wearing the camouflage jacket.	
7	Q And can you tell me what happened to the	
8	guy with the white or the gray jacket?	
9	A When the two when the two ran out the	
10	door, the third one tried person tried to run out	
11	and was stopped by the bouncer.	
12	Q Do you know did you try and go after the	
13	defendant and the other guy in the camouflage jacket	
14	when he ran out?	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q Did you get stopped?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q By whom?	
19	A By the bouncer.	
20	Q Did you try and do anything to the guy	
21	with the light colored jacket?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q Why?	
24	MR. DRANOVE: Objection to why.	
25	THE COURT: Sustained as to why.	

	Solomon - Direct	236
1	What is it that you tried to do?	
2	THE WITNESS: I am answering your	
3	question?	
4	THE COURT: Yes. When I ask a question,	
5	you can answer it.	
6	THE WITNESS: I got lost with the	
7	question.	
8	THE COURT: What is it that you tried to	
9	do with the fellow in the white jacket?	
10	THE WITNESS: I went after him.	
11	THE COURT: Next question.	
12	Q What do you mean went	
13	A I tried I threw a punch at him.	
14	Q Did you connect with him?	
15	A I went over the bouncer, so I don't know	
16	what happened. I could've hit him, I could have hit	
17	the bouncer.	
18	Q And can you tell why was it that you tried	
19	to hit him?	
20	MR. DRANOVE: Objection.	
21	THE COURT: Overruled.	
22	You may answer.	
23	A Because he was with the guys who just	
24	finished trying to attack my friend.	
25	Q After you did that, did there come a time	

237

Solomon - Direct when Edgar got your attention? 1 2 Yes. Α And can you tell me where were you -- I am 3 sorry. Withdrawn. 4 Were you still in that area where the 5 jukebox was? 6 7 А Yes. And when Edgar got your attention, what 8 did you do? 9 I was -- he pulled -- he pulled -- I had a 10 jacket on, he pulled it, like tugged on it from 11 behind and I turned around. When I turned around, 12 he told me, "I think I got stabbed." 13 And did you see -- did you look to see if 14 he had gotten stabbed? 15 16 Α Yes. And where did you see him stabbed? 17 18 He was -- blood -- he had a scarf on, I removed the scarf, when I took off the scarf, blood 19 start shooting from his neck in the air. 20 Can you tell what you did the scarf? 21 I don't remember what happened with the 22 23 scarf. Did you try to cover up the wound at all? 24 0 25 Yeah. Α

238

	Solomon - Direct
1	Q When you saw your friend Edgar was
2	bleeding, what did you do?
3	A I grabbed him immediately, and we started
4	going to my car.
5	Q Do you remember where your car was parked?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And where was it parked?
8	A On Third Avenue.
9	Q Do you remember was it on the side closer
10	to where the bar was or was it on the opposite side?
11	A It was on the opposite side.
12	Q Do you know what Costco is?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Is the same
15	A Yeah, same side as Costco.
16	Q Do you did you I am sorry.
17	What did you do with Edgar, did you have
18	to hold him up? How did you
19	THE COURT: You have to let her finish the
20	question because she can't take it down if
21	you're both talking at the same time.
22	Start again.
23	Q How did you get Edgar to your car?
24	A He walked over with me to the car.
25	Q I am sorry.

	Solomon - Direct	239
1	A He walked over on his own with me to the	
2	car.	
3	Q Did anybody have to help when he was	
4	walking?	
5	A No.	
6	Q Do you know I am sorry, withdrawn.	
7	Once you got him to the car, did you have	
8	to wait for the light in order to cross the street,	
9	or did you just	
10	A I just started eating all the lights	
11	Q I am actually when you were walking to	
12	your car.	
13	A When I am walking to the car, I just	
14	walked directly from the club across the street into	
15	my car.	
16	Q Were you running, were you walking, how	
17	were you going?	
18	A Walking rapidly.	
19	Q Once you got to the car, you were driving?	
20	A Yes.	
21	Q And what about Edgar, where did he go?	
22	A Edgar was in the passenger seat. Front	
23	passenger.	
24	Q Where was Marcus and Jonathan at this	
25	point?	

	Solomon - Direct	240
1	A Sitting in the back seat.	
2	Q You said, once you got back in the car,	
3	you started to drive?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q Said something about eating the lights?	
6	A Yes. Started running through all the	
7	running through all the red lights.	
8	Q How far away was the hospital that you	
9	took him to?	
10	A Twenty blocks.	
11	Q Which hospital did you take him to?	
12	A Lutheran.	
13	Q Now, were you doing anything with Edgar	
14	while you were in the car driving?	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q What were you doing?	
17	A I was talking to him and my hand over his	
18	wounds on his neck.	
19	Q And why were you doing that?	
20	A Because I was trying to stop the blood	
21	from coming out.	
22	Q I am sorry. Was Edgar able to talk to you	
23	at all while you were in route to the hospital?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q And do you recall what it was he was	

	Solomon - Direct	241
1	saying?	
2	A He kept saying, "I can't breathe. I can't	
3	breathe."	
4	Q Now, did there come a time when you guys	
5	actually got there?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q What?	
8	A Yeah.	
9	Q When you got to the hospital, and can you	
10	tell me what did you do with Edgar?	
11	A When I got to the hospital, I pulled up, I	
12	ran around the front of the car, opened the	
13	passenger door and I picked him up like a baby and	
14	ran into the emergency room with him.	
15	Q Once you were in the emergency room, you	
16	gave him over to the personnel that was there?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q Did you see what they did with him?	
19	A Yeah. They immediately stripped all his	
20	clothes from him, and they was just pushing his	
21	stomach down, stuff like that. Then told me to	
22	leave the room.	
23	Q Now, did you remain at the hospital?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q Did there come a time when police arrived	

		Solomon - Direct	242
1	+h 2		
1	there?		
2		Yes.	
3		Did they speak with you?	
4		Yes.	
5		Did you give them your name?	
6	A	Yes.	
7	Q I	Did you then go to the precinct?	
8	A	Yes.	
9	Q I	Did you there speak with them at the	
10	precinct?		
11	A	Yes.	
12	Q 1	Now, did you tell them what you had seen?	
13	A	Yeah.	
14	Q i	And what happened at that bar?	
15	A	Yes.	
16	Q	I went to draw your attention now to	
17	February 2	8 th of 2005, at about 4:00 p.m. Did	
18	there come	a time a time when you went to the 72	
19	Precinct to	o look at a line-up?	
20	A	Yes.	
21	Q	Can you tell me who contacted you to come,	
22	or how did	you know to go there?	
23	A	One of officers from the precinct called	
24	me.	- -	
25		Did they tell you about what you were	
	×.		

	Solomon - Direct	243
1	going to do once you got there?	
2	A Yeah, they said they needed me for a	
3	line-up.	
4	Q Once you arrived at the precinct, where	
5	did you get put?	
6	A I don't understand.	
7	Q Did they when you arrived at the	
8	precinct, you let them know you were there?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q Did you stay at the front door, do you go	
11	upstairs to	
12	A No, they took me to a room that was	
13	closed.	
14	Q And once you were in that room, did there	
15	come a time when they got you to come look at the	
16	line-up?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q When you looked at the line-up, did they	
19	ask you a series of questions?	
20	A Yes.	
21	Q And were you able to recognize anyone in	
22	that line-up?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q Who did you recognize?	
25	A The defendant.	

	Solomon - Direct	244
1	Q And do you recall what position he was in	
2	when you looked at the line-up?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q What position?	
5	A 4	
6	Q Did you tell the detective you recognized	
7	him?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q Did you tell the detective where you	
10	recognize him from?	
11	A Yes.	
12	Q Did you also tell the detective what	
13	you I am sorry what you remember the defendant	
14	doing?	
15	A Yes.	
16	MS. CHU: Your Honor, if I can have	
17	People's 4 and People's 6 posted, please?	
18	Q Mr. Solomon, if you can just take a look	
19	at the photos that's up. You see labels above	
20	all each of the photos. A, B, C, D. Do you see	
21	that?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q Do any of photos do you see the	
24	approximate area where you and Edgar was standing	
25	when this incident took place?	

Solomon - Direct 245 1 Yes. Α Can you tell me what letter is above that 2 3 photograph? Letter C. Α 4 Letter C? 5 0 6 Α Yes. If you can just let us know, you see the 7 jukebox in that photograph? 8 9 Ά Yes. You said that is the jukebox that you were 10 standing closest to? You were closest to that than 11 Edgar was? 12 13 Α Yes. Can you tell us in that photograph 14 approximately where Edgar was standing when this all 15 happened? Was he closer to the door or closer to 16 where that table is in People's 4? 17 I say closer to the table (indicating). 18 Closer to the table? 19 0 20 Α Yes. MS. CHU: If I can have the diagram, 21 22 please? Actually, just leave that up one second. 23 You said that the bathrooms are in the 24 25 back?

	Solomon - Direct	246
i		
1	A Yes.	
2	Q So you see in picture B, right? That	
3	would be looking towards the back?	
4	A Yes. Yes.	
5	Q So you would have to walk through all that	
6	and then goes to the left, that is where the	
7	bathrooms would be?	
8	A Yeah.	
9	MS. CHU: Number 6.	
10	Q Mr. Solomon, taking a look at that diagram	
11	that is in evidence as People's number 6. You	
12	recognize the layout there?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q And what is that a layout of?	
15	A Seems to be the layout of the bar.	
16	Q Now, just for clarification purposes. We	
17	were talking about the bathrooms and stuff like	
18	that.	
19	MS. CHU: If I can approach, your Honor?	
20	THE COURT: You may.	
21	Q You entered the bar from this door up here	
22	(indicating)?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q And then if you come in and go there, this	
25	would be the dance floor where it's marked	

```
Solomon - Direct
                                                                 247
1
      (indicating)?
2
           Α
                Yes.
3
                And where would the bathrooms be?
                The bathroom would be to the left.
 4
5
               So this corner right here (indicating)?
           Q
                Yes.
 6
           Α
7
                MR. DRANOVE: Indicating the lower --
                THE COURT: -- right-hand corner of the
8
 9
           diagram.
                If you could just put bathroom in the
10
11
      corner where you just indicated for us, please?
12
                (Indicating)
           Α
                THE COURT: You wrote BR?
13
14
                THE WITNESS: BR.
15
                THE COURT: Fine.
16
                THE WITNESS: BR.
                MS. CHU: I have nothing further.
17
                THE COURT: Cross-examination.
18
19
                MR. DRANOVE: Thanks, Judge.
20
     CROSS-EXAMINATION
21
      BY MR. DRANOVE:
22
                Were there any bouncers at the club that
23
      night?
24
           Α
                Yes.
25
                Were you searched or frisked before you
           0
```

		Solomon - Cross	248
1	entered t	he club?	
2	А	Yes.	
3	Q	How was that accomplished?	
4	А	I was patted down.	
5	Q	From where to where?	
6	А	My waist to my legs.	
7	Q	Were you wearing a jacket?	
8	А	No.	
9	Q	Were you wearing a shirt of some type?	
10	А	Yes.	
11	Q	Camouflage shirt?	
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	Any pockets in it?	
14	А	No.	
15	Q	Did you have a knife on you when you	
16	entered t	he place?	
17		MS. CHU: Objection.	
18		THE COURT: Overruled.	
19		MS. CHU: What is the basis?	
20		THE COURT: Overruled.	
21		You may answer.	
22	А	No.	
23	Q	Back to what you're doing for a living, if	
24	you don't	mind.	
25		Do you have any particular well. You	

	Solomon - Cross	249
1	just punch license plate numbers into the computer	
2	or what you do?	
3	A Put license plates into the computer.	
4	Q Randomly?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q Sort of like the lottery if you lose.	
7	MS. CHU: Objection, your Honor.	
8	THE COURT: Sustained.	
9	Q Now, you were at a strip club for half	
10	hour or so?	
11	A Yeah.	
12	Q At any time earlier that day, did you	
13	smoke any marijuana?	
14	A In the morning maybe.	
15	Q About what time in the morning would you	
16	smoke marijuana?	
17	A In the morning, was like ten o'clock.	
18	Twelve, 11 o'clock.	
19	Q Were any of your friends over by that	
20	time?	
21	A I think Edgar might have been there by	
22	then.	
23	Q Did he smoke with you?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q Did you smoke marijuana in the afternoon?	

		Solomon - Cross	250
1	А	No.	
2	Q	How much did you smoke in the morning?	
3	А	Smoked one. One joint.	
4	Q	Big, small, strong, not strong?	
5		MS. CHU: Objection.	
6	Q	Describe it in more detail?	
7		THE COURT: Overruled. I'll allow the	
8	ques	stion.	
9		Can you describe it in more detail? What	
10	you	smoked?	
11	А	I smoked a joint.	
12	Q	Smoke them everyday?	
13		MS. CHU: Objection.	
14		THE COURT: Overruled.	
15		You may answer.	
16	A	No.	
17	Q	How often in the week? At that time were	
18	you smoki	ng marijuana?	
19	А	I don't know. I can't give you an exact	
20	number.		
21	Q	Approximately?	
22	А	Two.	
23	Q	How many times a week did you drink beer	
24	at that t	zime?	
25	А	Not often.	

	Solomon - Cross	251
1	Q So on Saturday, did you drink anything in	
2	the afternoon?	
3	A No.	
4	Q In the evening, what hour was it when you	
5	started to drink?	
6	A When I got to the strip club.	
7	Q About midnight or after?	
8	A About 12, one o'clock.	
9	Q How many beers did you have?	
10	A Two.	
11	Q Were they Heinkens?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q Then you went over to the other club where	
14	the homicide occurred. That was where you had	
15	another beer; is that right?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q When you went to that club, you noticed	
18	the man dancing with the woman in the back. From	
19	that moment to the moment the man you say is my	
20	client struck Edgar, did my client have any loud	
21	words with Edgar?	
22	MS. CHU: Objection.	
23	THE COURT: Overruled.	
24	You may answer.	
25	A Can you repeat the question?	

	Solomon - Cross	252
1	MR. DRANOVE: Can you read it back,	
2	please?	
3	(Whereupon, the question was	
4	read as requested.)	
5	A No.	
6	Q Did Edgar say anything loudly to my	
7	client?	
8	A No.	
9	Q Did either one of them gesture towards the	
10	other?	
11	A I'm not I don't know.	
12	Q How close were you to them when the	
13	conversation took place?	
14	MS. CHU: Objection. At what point are we	
15	talking about?	
16	THE COURT: Overruled.	
17	You may answer.	
18	A Can you repeat the question?	
19	THE COURT: How close were you to them	
20	when there conversation took place?	
21	THE WITNESS: Maybe a foot or two.	
22	Q The gentleman that was talking to Edgar	
23	was a stranger to you, right?	
24	A Excuse me?	
25	Q The gentleman talking to Edgar at that	

```
Solomon - Cross
                                                                  253
 1
      time was a stranger to you?
 2
           Α
                Yes.
 3
                Am I correct?
           0
                Yes.
 4
           Α
 5
                Edgar slighter build than you are,
 6
      correct?
 7
           Α
                Yes.
 8
                You were keeping an eye on that guy,
 9
      correct?
                Yes.
10
           Α
11
                You didn't want to see anything happen to
12
      Edgar?
13
           Α
                Yes.
14
                Nothing happened to Edgar, correct? At
      that time, nothing happened to him?
15
16
           Α
                At that time, no.
                Now, two other people came over to where
17
      you were standing with Edgar and the gentleman that
18
      you say is my client was talking to Edgar? Right?
19
20
           Α
                Yes.
21
                One was wearing camouflage jacket?
22
           Α
                Yes.
23
                Other one camouflage jacket, but you said
      white in color; is that right?
24
25
           Α
                Yes.
```

	Solomon - Cross	254
1	Q Did any of these three people let me	
2	withdraw that question.	
3	Were all three of them strangers to you?	
4	A Strangers?	
5	Q Yes.	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q Which one of those three said, "mind your	
8	fucking business"?	
9	A The one with the white jacket.	
10	Q He said that to you?	
11	A Yes.	
12	Q Was it a response to a question?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q What was your question?	
15	A "Is there a problem"?	
16	Q How did you say it to him?	
17	A Say "is there a problem."	
18	Q Would you say that to him?	
19	A Cause he just he was standing on the	
20	right, now he's standing in front of me surrounding	
21	my friend.	
22	Q Surrounding your friend, you said to him,	
23	"is there a problem"?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q Did you have two other friends in the bar	

		Solomon - Cross	255
1	at that t	ime?	
2	А	Excuse me?	
3	Q	Did you have two other friends with you in	
4	the bar?		
5	А	Yes.	
6	Q	Did they come over to this seen?	
7	А	Not not immediately.	
8	Q	Did they come over?	
9	А	Yes.	
10	Q	Did they come over before or after you	
11	said "is	there a problem"?	
12	А	After.	
13	Q	Did they come over before or after the	
14	gentleman	in the white jacket said "mind your	
15	fucking b	usiness"?	
16	А	They came over after he said that.	
17	Q	How much time passed before they came over	
18	after the	gentleman said "mind your fucking	
19	business"	?	
20		MS. CHU: Objection.	
21		THE COURT: Overruled.	
22		If you know.	
23	A	I am not exactly sure.	
24	Q	Was second or longer?	
25	A	Not exactly sure.	

		Solomon - Cross	256
_	_		
1	Q	Do you remember it happening?	
2	А	I remember my friends coming over.	
3	Q	This is before Edgar was struck, isn't it?	
4	А	Yes.	
5	Q	Your friends came over, Edgar yet to be	
6	struck, d	id either of your friends say anything?	
7	А	Yes.	
8	Q	Which of your friends said something?	
9	А	GoGo.	
10	Q	Both of them?	
11	А	GoGo.	
12	Q	Which what's his real name?	
13	А	Jonathan.	
14	Q	Did you hear what he said?	
15	А	Yes.	
16	Q	What did he say?	
17	А	"Is there a problem"?	
18	Q	Did anyone tell him to mind his fucking	
19	business?		
20	А	No.	
21	Q	There are four of you at this seen you	
22	and your	three friends are there, correct?	
23	А	Yes.	
24	Q	And the gentleman you say is my client and	
25	two other	people are at the same spot, correct?	

		Solomon - Cross	257
1	A	Yes.	
2	Q	Can you describe the height of the man in	
3	the white	jacket?	
4	А	The height?	
5	Q	Yeah.	
6	A	Taller than the other two.	
7	Q	Facial complexion?	
8	A	Dark skin.	
9	Q	With respect to the face of my client,	
10	similar o	r	
11	А	Dark skin and short hair.	
12	Q	Well, my client pretty short now. Short	
13	like that	or different?	
14	А	He had short hair, and he was dark skin.	
15	Q	Facial structure?	
16	А	I am not sure.	
17	Q	Take a look at my client, tell me if he	
18	helps you	remember	
19	A	I don't have to look.	
20		MS. CHU: Objection. He is asking about	
21	some	oody totally different.	
22		THE COURT: Overruled.	
23		You may answer.	
24	А	Repeat the question?	
25		MR. DRANOVE: I don't recall the exact	

Solomon - Cross 258 1 words. I want you to answer the exact words. 2 THE COURT: Please read back the exact 3 I remember generally, but not exact 4 words. 5 (Whereupon, the question was 6 read as requested.) 7 You don't have to look? 0 8 He's dark skin and had short hair. He was taller than the rest. 9 10 Only one of the three people that were of 11 the seven at the spot there, not you and your friends, only one who said anything to you was the 12 13 guy in the white jacket? 14 Α Yes. 15 So, the first gentleman -- we use that 16 word -- who you saw talk to Edgar was my client, the 17 one that was dancing on the dance floor? 18 The defendant was talking to Edgar. 19 Q And then two other gentlemen came over, 20 correct? 21 Α Yes. 22 Second gentleman and a third gentleman, 23 right? 24 Α Yes. 25 Q Was it the third gentleman was the taller

		Solomon - Cross	259
1	one, righ	t?	
2	А	Yes.	
3	Q	And the third gentleman is the one that	
4	struck Ed	gar; isn't it true?	
5	А	The person I was talking to?	
6	Q	If you call that talking, yes.	
7	А	No, he didn't strike Edgar.	
8	Q	You ran after that person, correct?	
9	А	Yes.	
10	Q	You were blocked by a bouncer from going	
11	after him	, correct?	
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	You'd gotten to the exit door before you	
14	were bloc	ked, correct?	
15	А	No.	
16	Q	Where were you when a bouncer blocked you	
17	from goin	g after the man with the white jacket?	
18	А	I was by the jukebox.	
19	Q	Where was the bouncer?	
20	А	In front of me. Not too far off.	
21	Q	Sitting in front of you?	
22	А	No. I am not no.	
23	Q	You don't recall exactly?	
24	А	Exact spot at the time, no.	
25	Q	Do you recall what he looks like?	

		Solomon - Cross	260
1	А	No.	
2	Q	Height?	
3	А	No.	
4	Q	Race?	
5	А	Nope.	
6	Q	Did you watch Edgar the entire time at	
7	that loca	tion after Mr. Rivera came up before Edgar	
8	was punch	ned?	
9	А	Was I watching him the whole time?	
10	Q	Yeah.	
11	А	No.	
12	Q	And you saw him punched in the chest?	
13	А	Saw who punched in the chest.	
14	Q	You tell me, did you see anybody punched	
15	in the ch	nest?	
16	А	Yes.	
17	Q	Edgar?	
18	А	Yes.	
19	Q	Anyone else?	
20	А	No.	
21	Q	How many feet were you from Edgar when he	
22	was punch	ned?	
23	A	Few feet.	
24	Q	How many?	
25	A	A few.	
	1		

		Solomon - Cross	261
1	Q	Three, four, five, two, one?	
2	A	A few. A few feet. Exactly, I can't tell	
3	you exact	ly.	
4	Q	Close, roughly? Do you know how far	
5	strike th	at.	
6		Did you leave the area of the side of the	
7	bar there	where my client was talking to Edgar	
8	before Ed	gar was struck?	
9	А	No.	
10	Q	You stayed right there, correct?	
11	А	Yes.	
12	Q	You were one foot from Edgar when my	
13	client ap	proached, correct?	
14	А	I am not exactly sure.	
15	Q	When this man in the white jacket told you	
16	to mind y	our fucking business, did you get angry at	
17	him?		
18	А	No.	
19	Q	Did you tell him to mind his fucking	
20	business?		
21	А	My reply was, that's my friend. His	
22	business	is my business.	
23	Q	What did the guy in the white jacket say?	
24	A	Nothing.	
25	Q	You're keeping an eye on your friend,	

	Solomon - Cross	262
1	Edgan	
	Edgar?	
2	A I was talking to the guy in the white	
	jacket.	
4 5	Q Where was he?	
	A Excuse me?	
6	Q How far was he from Edgar?	
7	A I'm not sure.	
8	Q Was	
9	A Excuse me?	
10	Q Was your back to Edgar?	
11	A I was talking to the gentleman in the	
12	white jacket.	
13	Q What about the other gentleman who	
14	walked over with the gentleman with the white	
15	jacket, where was he?	
16	A I don't know who you talking about?	
17	Q The shorter man wearing camouflage jacket	
18	at the time you were talking to the man with the	
19	white jacket was where was he?	
20	A He was next to the guy I was talking to.	
21	Q How far was the man who was shorter from	
22	at that time from Edgar?	
23	A From Edgar?	
24	MS. CHU: Objection. Who are we talking	
25	about now?	

Solomon - Cross

263

		20
1	THE COURT: You know who he's talking	
2	about, the man who was shorter?	
3		
	THE WITNESS: I assume he is talking about	
4	the defendant's friend.	
5	THE COURT: Okay.	
6	Q The one with the camouflage jacket, how	
7	close was he to Edgar when you were talking to the	
8	guy in the white jack?	
9	A I am talking to the guy in the white	
10	jacket.	
11	Q How far was the short guy?	
12	A Exactly, I don't know. Because I am	
13	talking to the guy in the white jacket.	
14	Q Was it more than an arm's length away from	
15	you?	
16	A I couldn't give you exact	
17	Q Two arm's lengths away?	
18	A Can't give exact distance.	
19	Q Three arm's lengths away?	
20	THE COURT: I think he already answer that	
21	question. Next question.	
22	Q After you told the guy, my friend's	
23	business is my business, the guy with the white	
24	jacket, what did he tell you?	
25	A Nothing.	

	Solomon - Cross	264
1	Q Were you looking at him?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q Did you look at him, what drew your	
4	attention to Edgar?	
5	A I asked him said to him, he is my	
6	friend, his problem is my problem, that is it and no	
7	more.	
8	Q And sometime after that, Edgar was pushed	
9	or punched in the chest?	
10	A Yes.	
11	Q Once?	
12	A I'm not sure.	
13	Q Did you see it at all?	
14	A Excuse me?	
15	Q Did you see Edgar punched or pushed in the	
16	chest?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q Once?	
19	A Once, you are saying? I can't hear what	
20	you're saying.	
21	THE WITNESS: I can't understand what he's	
22	saying. Once?	
23	THE COURT: At any time if you don't	
24	understand, just simply tell me.	
25	Once, he wants to know, how many times you	

	Solomon - Cross	265	
. 1	saw Edgar punched.		
2	A In chest area, it was two times.		
3	Q Two times. Not once?		
4	A Two.		
5	Q And did you see the defendant punch Edgar		
6	any place else?		
7	A Not that I'm aware of.		
8	Q Isn't the answer no?		
9	A Not that I'm aware of.		
10	Q Do you recall testifying in a prior		
11	proceeding in this case?		
12	A Excuse me?		
13	Q You remember testifying in a prior		
14	proceedings in this case, three years ago?		
15	A Yep.		
16	Q Remember being asked this question and		
17	giving this answer? Page 69, lines 1 through 3.		
18	"Direct examination: Question:" From		
19	this lady (indicating). "Did you see the		
20	defendant punch Edgar any place else?		
21	"Answer: No."		
22	A Is that a question? Or a statement?		
23	THE COURT: Do you remember saying that?		
24	THE WITNESS: No, I don't remember saying		
25	that.		
	1		

	Solomon - Cross	266
1	MR. DRANOVE: Can I get stipulation that	
2	it's fair and accurate rereading of the sworn	
3	statement asked.	
4	MS. CHU: Yes.	
5	THE COURT: There is no dispute that is	
6	what the witness said.	
7	MR. DRANOVE: May I have a moment, Judge.	
8	THE COURT: Certainly.	
9	(pause)	
10	Q Did you see the gentleman you say is my	
11	client touch Edgar at all before you saw him	
12	punching him up towards his shoulder?	
13	A If I seen him touch him before?	
14	Q Yes.	
15	A No.	
16	Q At any time, was there a commotion going	
17	on in the bar?	
18	MS. CHU: Objection.	
19	THE COURT: Sustained as to the form of	
20	the question.	
21	Q You said when are you certain you saw	
22	Edgar punched?	
23	A I'm sure I see defendant put his hand on	
24	my friend in the chest area.	
25	Q You don't know if he punched him, correct?	

	Solomon - Cross	267
1	A No.	
2	Q And you didn't see a knife in his hands,	
3	did you?	
4	A I wasn't looking for one.	
5	Q You saw him put his hand on your friend's	
6	chest, correct?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q If you saw a knife, if there was a knife	
9	in the hand, you'd see it, correct?	
10	A No.	
11	Q After you saw him put his hand on your	
12	friend's chest, what did you see him doing what	
13	did you see my client do with his hand or hands?	
14	A After put his hand on the chest, he ran	
15	straight out the door.	
16	Q So put his hand on the chest once, in your	
17	vision, correct?	
18	A I seen two I seen his hand touch him on	
19	the chest twice, and then he ran out the door.	
20	Q Chest twice, correct? Correct?	
21	You have to answer.	
22	A Correct.	
23	Q You didn't see a knife either time?	
24	A I wasn't looking for one.	
25	Q Did you see one?	

	Solomon - Cross	268	
1	A No.		
2	Q Now, didn't you understand that your		
3	friend had been stabbed in the neck?		
4	A Excuse me?		
5	MS. CHU: Objection.		
6	THE COURT: You have to rephrase that		
7	question.		
8	Q Did you ever say to anyone, all I know is		
9	my friend is dead and he was stabbed in the neck?		
10	MS. CHU: Objection.		
11	THE COURT: Sustained whether he ever said		
12	that to anyone. You can't ask that.		
13	Q Did you see anybody hit or punch Edgar in		
14	the back?		
15	A Not that I'm aware.		
16	Q Was there anything going on at the time		
17	strike that.		
18	Is anybody swinging at anybody during this		
19	little period of time when Edgar was pushed in the		
20	chest twice?		
21	A Before or after?		
22	Q Before or after one.		
23	A Swinging. Edgar		
24	Q After the swinging, did anyone swing at		
25	anybody?		

		Solomon - Cross	269
1	А	Yes.	
2	Q	Who was swinging?	
3	А	I was.	
4	Q	Anyone else?	
5	А	Not that I am aware of.	
6	Q	Mr. Dominguez?	
7	А	Not that I am aware of.	
8	Q	Who were you swinging at?	
9	А	I was swinging at the gentleman in the	
10	white jac	ket.	
11	Q	Was he swinging at you?	
12	А	No.	
13	Q	At anybody?	
14	А	Not that I am aware.	
15	Q	What about your friends, Mr. Dominguez and	
16	Mr. Carra	squillo; what were they doing?	
17	А	Ah, I don't know.	
18	Q	Was there anything happening when you went	
19	after the	strike that.	
20		Did the person in the white jacket try to	
21	leave the	bar?	
22	А	Yes.	
23	Q	How did he go about trying to leave the	
24	bar?		
25	A	Tried to run out.	

		Solomon - Cross	270
1	Q	He tried to run out?	
2	A	Yes.	
3	Q	And did he succeed?	
4	A		
5		No.	
	Q	What happened to him?	
6	A	The bouncer stopped him.	
7	Q	Where?	
8	А	Where he stopped him?	
9	Q	Yes.	
10	А	Somewhere in his tracks from trying to get	
11	out of th	ne bar.	
12	Q	How did he stop him?	
13	А	With his body.	
14	Q	What did he do?	
15	А	Stopped him. Put his body in the way.	
16	Q	Did you try to go out of the bar?	
17	А	Yes.	
18	Q	Were you stopped from leaving the bar?	
19	А	Yes.	
20	Q	Did you then turn around after you were	
21	stopped f	from leaving the bar turn around to see	
22	where Edgar was?		
23	А	Edgar was behind me the whole time.	
24	Q	Starting from when through when was Edgar	
25	behind yo	ou?	

	Solomon - Cross
1	A Starting from when the kid ran out to try
2	to run, he was behind me the whole time.
3	Q About how many people were in the bar at
4	that time?
5	A At least ten people.
6	Q When you say behind you, could you, for
7	the help of us all understanding where were you,
8	point on that exhibit whatever name it is to
9	where you were when they were all behind you?
10	THE COURT: You're talking about diagram
11	number 6?
12	MR. DRANOVE: I assume it's number 6.
13	One that is facing the jury.
14	THE COURT: You want him to mark where?
15	Q Where he was when they were all behind
16	him?
17	MS. CHU: Objection.
18	THE COURT: It's not specific enough. You
19	have to be more specific in time.
20	Q All right, Mr. Solomon, you were stopped
21	by a bouncer from leaving the bar; is that correct?
22	A Yeah.
23	Q Point to where you were at that time?
24	Show us where you were?
25	A If this is the jukebox, right here

Solomon - Cross 272 1 (indicating). 2 Right? Q I was maybe a step in front of it 3 (indicating). 4 Q Near the --5 THE COURT: Where the jukebox is. He is 6 indicating he was by the jukebox. 7 8 Where was the fellow in the white jacket, 9 where he was stopped? When he was stopped from leaving, if I was 10 right here, he was maybe a few feet in front of him 11 (indicating). 12 Pointed to --13 0 This table right here (indicating). 14 Α 15 Was he stopped by a bouncer? Q 16 Α Yes. And Edgar was behind you, correct? 17 Q 18 Α Yes. Your friends were behind you? 19 0 I don't know where the other two was at. 20 Α I know Edgar was behind me. 21 And who else what -- how many other people 22 in the bar were behind you? 23 When I was standing in that position, 24 25 right there (indicating)?

		Solomon - Cross	273
1	Q	Yeah.	
2	А	Nobody.	
3	Q	Did the bouncer physically stop you and	
4	restrain	you?	
5		MS. CHU: Objection.	
6		THE COURT: Overruled.	
7		You may answer.	
8	А	No.	
9	Q	Did the bouncer and you talk?	
10	А	Just two words. He said, you not going	
11	out, and	I said why not?	
12	Q	Did you have the intention of striking the	
13	man in wh	nite jacket at that time?	
14		MS. CHU: Objection.	
15		THE COURT: Overruled.	
16		You may answer.	
17	А	Yes.	
18	Q	Had you seen him strike anybody before	
19	that mome	ent in that bar?	
20		MS. CHU: Objection, asked and answered.	
21		THE COURT: Overruled.	
22		You may answer.	
23	А	Who you talking about?	
24		THE COURT: The guy in white.	
25	Q	The man in white you wanted to strike.	

	Solomon - Cross
1	A No.
2	Q When you're watching Edgar the entire time
3	before anything happened?
4	A Excuse me?
5	MS. CHU: Objection. Asked and answered.
6	Q Were you watching Edgar the entire time
7	before anything happened?
8	THE COURT: I am going to sustain the
9	objection. He's already answered that.
10	THE WITNESS: I was talking
11	THE COURT: No, you don't have to answer
12	that.
13	Q Were there any people running back and
14	forth in the bar at any time when you were there
15	that night?
16	A I wasn't paying attention to everybody
17	else.
18	Q Were any people screaming in the bar when
19	you were there?
20	A I couldn't tell you that.
21	Q Were people yelling in the bar when you
22	were there?
23	A I couldn't tell you that.
24	Q Do you remember that prior proceeding
25	giving some statements under oath and saying people

	Solomon - Cross	275
-		
1	running back and forth?	
2	MS. CHU: Objection.	
3	THE COURT: Question and answer.	
4	Q Question	
5	MS. CHU: What page are you on?	
6	MR. DRANOVE: 106.	
7	MS. CHU: What line?	
8	MR. DRANOVE: 6.	
9	Q "Tell me more about what was going on.	
10	"Answer: Too much. I can't tell you what	
11	was going on. There was stuff going on	
12	everywhere. I don't know.	
13	"Question: What kind of stuff going on	
14	ever where?	
15	"Answer: People running back and forth.	
16	People screaming. People yelling.	
17	Do you remember you were asked those	
18	questions and giving	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q and giving those answers?	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q Was that true?	
23	A No.	
24	Q That was under oath?	
25	A I might've gotten confused and made it	

	Solomon - Cross	276
1	seem like more than it was. But after I sat and	
2	thought about it, it was	
3	Q You may have gotten confused?	
4	A Yeah.	
5	Q Did you hear people screaming?	
6	A Not	
7	Q What?	
8	A I am trying to think.	
9	No. I don't think so. No.	
10	Q Do you recall if you were clear-headed	
11	when you testified under oath that people were	
12	screaming?	
13	MS. CHU: Objection.	
14	THE COURT: Sustained.	
15	Q Was there a commotion in the bar at about	
16	the time you were trying to hit the guy in the white	
17	jacket?	
18	MS. CHU: Objection.	
19	THE COURT: Overruled.	
20	You may answer.	
21	A Commotion as far as what? Somebody else?	
22	Q Any kind of disturbance in the bar.	
23	A No.	
24	Q Nothing?	
25	A Nothing.	

Solomon - Cross 277 Do you know what a commotion is? 1 Q I believe so. 2 Α 3 What do you think it is? Q A lot of melee stuff what was happening, 4 5 out of control. Remember being asked this question and 6 7 giving this answer? Page 106, line 20. Line 18. "Did you hear people screaming? 8 9 "The Court: When? "Question: During the commotion? 10 "Answer: What commotion? 11 "When was there commotion that started in 12 the beginning where my friend -- where he was 13 punched in the chest or shoulder. Then there 14 was a commotion when I went after the kid when 15 he couldn't get out, and there was a commotion 16 when everybody was out." 17 18 Remember that question? 19 Yeah. Α 20 So there was a lot going on in the bar at this time, right? 21 No. I just misworded it. It wasn't no 22 commotion. Commotion that was referring to was me. 23 There was no other fights, nothing else going on. 24 25 The commotion was in your head?

Solomon - Cross I am only the one who was causing the 1 Α commotion. 2 How much of a commotion were you causing? 3 0 Not much. 4 Α Enough for people to be screaming? 5 0 I am not sure. 6 Α 7 Enough for people to be yelling? 8 Not sure. Α 9 When the bouncer wouldn't let you out of 0 the bar, did you turn around and specifically go for 10 the guy in the white jacket? 11 Yes. 12 А You didn't see anybody else, correct? 13 0 I wasn't looking for nobody else. 14 Everybody else in your vision was a clear, 15 16 correct? I wasn't looking for nobody else. 17 Α 18 You were focused on the man in the white jacket; is that correct? 19 20 Α Yes. And anybody else who could have been 21 around you, whether it was your friend, mother or 22 father, you wouldn't have seen them at that moment 23 because you were so focused on that man in the white 24

25

jacket; isn't that correct?

	Solomon - Redirect	279
1	A Yes.	
2	MR. DRANOVE: No further questions.	
3	THE COURT: Any redirect, Miss Chu?	
4	MS. CHU: Yes, just a couple of questions.	
5	THE COURT: I have heard that before.	
6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
7	BY MS. CHU:	
8	Q Just want to clarify something. Because	
9	got little confused during your cross-examination.	
10	MR. DRANOVE: Objection to her testimony.	
11	THE COURT: Just ask a question.	
12	Q Were you and Edgar was standing by the	
13	jukebox before anything happened?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q Who was the first person that walked up to	
16	you guys?	
17	A The first person was the kid with the	
18	white jacket.	
19	Q I am sorry. You said initially the	
20	defendant walked up to Edgar and said whispered	
21	something in his ear?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q So was he the first of the three that came	
24	over to where you and Edgar was standing before	2
25	anything happened?	

Solomon - Redirect 280 1 Yes. A After he came over and said something to 2 3 Edgar, you said someone else came over and that was 4 the guy in the jacket? 5 A Yes. And where was he standing in relation to 6 7 where the defendant was? 8 He was standing to the left of the 9 defendant. So I am the defendant, he would have been 10 standing to my left (indicating)? 11 12 Yes. A 13 Q Now, at some point, guy with the camouflage jacket, defendant's friend, he comes over 14 15 too, correct? 16 A Yes. 17 And where is he standing in relation to where the defendant was standing? 18 19 Between -- he splits them two. A 20 0 He splits them two. It's defendant, his camouflage jacket, and 21 22 his camouflage jacket friend? 23 A Yes. His white jacket friend would then be 24

25

closest to you?

	Solomon - Redirect	281
1	A Yes.	
2	Q And who would be closest to Edgar?	
3	A The defendant.	
4	Q Once you asked what the problem was, and	
5	the guy with the white jacket started talking back	
6	to you, where was your focus?	
7	A My focus was on the guy who was talking to	
8		
9	Q The one in the white jacket?	
10	A Yes.	
11	Q Now, who was closest to Edgar's left side?	
12	A The defendant.	
13	Q The defendant was the closest?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q Now, you had mentioned something about you	
16	wearing a camouflage something camouflage?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q of article of clothing was that?	
19	A I was wearing a thermal shirt like a	
20	long-John.	
21	Q You know how their different patterns of	
22	the camouflage?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q Do you recall what pattern was on the	
25	defendant's camouflage jacket?	

	Solomon - Redirect	282
1	A Yes.	
2	Q What was pattern?	
3	A The pattern he had was standard military	
4	camouflage jacket. Black, green and lighter green.	
5	Q And what about the guy that he was with,	
6	did he also	
7	A Same. Same type of pattern.	
8	Q Was your pattern the same as both of	
9	their's?	
10	A No.	
11	Q What was your pattern in?	
12	A Mine was was more of a dessert	
13	camouflage. Green, black and beige.	
14	Q The lighter colors?	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q Besides you said yours was actually a	
17	thermal shirt though?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q Now, you said at some point Jonathan and	
20	Marcus come over?	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q Do you remember the precise moment that	
23	they came over? If you remember.	
24	A Exactly, I know I said I said to the	
25	guy in the white jacket, mind your business. I mean	

Solomon - Redirect he said to me, "mind your fucking business." And 1 when he said that to me, Jonathan came up, maybe 20, 2 3 30 seconds later. MR. DRANOVE: What? 4 5 Twenty, 30 seconds later? 0 Maybe a little longer. 6 Α MS. CHU: I am going to withdraw that. 7 One second. 8 9 May I have more moment, your Honor? (pause) 10 Other than the defendant, did you see 11 anyone else touch Edgar? 12 Α 13 No. MS. CHU: I have nothing further. 14 15 Thank you. 16 THE COURT: Any recross? MR. DRANOVE: Yes. 17 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 18 19 BY MR. DRANOVE: When Marcus and Jonathan came over, one or 20 21 both of them said -- correct me if I'm wrong -- some words to the effect, "is there a problem"; is that 22 23 right? 24 Α Yes.

And then my client said, "there is no

	Solomon - Recross	284
1	problem"; is that right?	
2	A No.	
3	Q Who said, "there is no problem"?	
4	A Gentleman in the white jacket.	
5	Q Did anyone else say "there's no problem"?	
6	A Not that I'm aware.	
7	Q Are you sure?	
8	A Not that I am aware.	
9	Q Well, did one or two gentleman say there	
10	was no problem?	
11	A The gentleman in the white jacket.	
12	Q Do you recall testifying in the grand	
13	jury, page 11, line 5? Being asked this question	
14	and giving this answer?	
15	"Question: Okay. What do you remember	
16	seeing next?	
17	"Answer: Then he said, the first	
18	gentleman said, there was no problem. The	
19	second gentleman said, there was no problem.	
20	Then the third gentleman, what seem like to me,	
21	pushed, pushed my Edgar towards the wall and	
22	then he ran."	
23	Do you remember that question?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q Does that refresh your memory about what	

	Solomon - Recross	285
1	one or two of these people said, "there's no	
2	problem"?	
3	A Gentleman in the white jacket.	
4	MR. DRANOVE: Can I get a concession that	
5	that was the testimony.	
6	THE COURT: Did you read the testimony	
7	correctly in the grand jury.	
8	MS. CHU: Yes. He did.	
9	Q Now, the time that the man in the white	
10	jacket told you to mind your f'g business and you	
11	told him your friend's business is your business;	
12	was the music playing?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q Were you yelling or shouting?	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q Was the other gentleman yelling or	
17	shouting?	
18	A No.	
19	Q But your friends came over; is that right?	
20	A Yes.	
21	MR. DRANOVE: No further questions.	
22	MS. CHU: Your Honor, if I could just be	
23	complete.	
24	THE COURT: You have another question?	
25	MS. CHU: Yes, based upon what he just	

Solomon - Redirect/Recross 1 asked him on the grand jury. THE COURT: Go ahead. 2 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CHU: 5 Mr. Solomon, were you just asked some questions what you had just said in the question. 6 7 Do you recall also being asked this 8 question and giving the following answer? This is the follow-up question to what you were just asked 9 by the defense counsel. 10 "So now the third person that you had seen 11 at this point pushed Edgar, was that the same 12 person that you had seen in the back dancing 13 with that girl when you went to the bathroom? 14 "Answer: Yes." 15 16 Do you recall giving that answer to that question in the grand jury? 17 18 Α Yes. MS. CHU: Thank you very much. 19 I have nothing further. 20 THE COURT: Anything else on that, Mr. 21 22 Dranove? 23 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DRANOVE: 24

What was lighting like in the bar at that

	Carrasquillo - Direct	287
1	time?	
2	MS. CHU: Objection, your Honor.	
3	THE COURT: Sustained. We have been over	
4	that.	
5	MR. DRANOVE: With this witness?	
6	THE COURT: Yes.	
7	MR. DRANOVE: I apologize for not	
8	recalling it.	
9	MR. DRANOVE: No further questions.	
10	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.	
11	You're excused. You may step down from	
12	the witness stand.	
13	(WITNESS EXCUSED)	
14	THE COURT: You may proceed, Miss Chu.	
15	MS. CHU: The People call Marcus.	
16	Carrasquillo.	
17	MARCUS CARRASQUILLO, having	
18	been called as a witness, having been duly sworn,	
19	testified as follows:	
20	COURT CLERK: State your name?	
21	THE WITNESS: Marcus Carrasquillo. Marcus	
22	Carrasquillo.	
23	COURT CLERK: What county do you live in?	
24	THE WITNESS: Kings.	
25	THE COURT: You may examine the witness,	

		Carrasquillo - Direct	288
1	Miss	Chu.	
2		MS. CHU: Thank you.	
3	DIRECT EXA	-	
4	BY MS. CHU		
5	Q	Good afternoon, Mr. Carrasquillo?	
6	A	Good afternoon.	
7	Q	How old are you, sir?	
8	A	Thirty-seven.	
9	Q	Do you work?	
10	A	Yes.	
11	Q	What do you do for a living?	
12	A	Work for Fed Ex.	
13	Q	At what?	
14	А	Federal Express.	
15	Q	How long have you been working for Federal	
16	Express?		
17	A	Sixteen years.	
18	Q	And what do you do for them?	
19	A	Foot courier.	
20	Q	You deliver packages on foot?	
21	A	Right.	
22	Q	Do you have a criminal history?	
23	А	Yes.	
24	Q	On February 5th of 2000, were you	
25	convicted	of criminal possession of a controlled	

		Carrasquillo - Direct	289
1	substance in	the seventh complete?	
2	A Ye	S.	
3	Q Di	d you pay a fine of a thousand dollars	
4	on that case	?	
5	A Ye	S.	
6	Q Di	d that case happen upstate?	
7	A Ye	s.	
8	Q An	d what was because you were in	
9	possession o	f some drugs?	
10	A Ye	s.	
11	Q No	w, I want to ask you, do you know	
12	someone name	Edgar Ojeda?	
13	A Ye	s.	
14	Q Ho	w do you know Edgar?	
15	А Ве	st friends.	
16	Q An	d how long had you known him?	
17	A Si	x, seven years.	
18	Q Ho	w did you meet him?	
19	A I	met him through Carlos Solomon.	
20	Q Ho	w did you know Carlos?	
21	A Gr	ew up with Carlos.	
22	Q No	w, are you also related to Jonathan	
23	Dominguez?		
24	A Ye	S.	
25	Q Wh	at is your relationship with him?	

Carrasquillo - Direct

1 | A My cousin.

- Q I want to direct your attention to the weekend of February 26, into early morning of February 27th, 2005. Did you spend the date at all with Edgar, Carlos or Marcus?
 - A Yes.
- Q And can you tell me what was it that you did?
- A They picked me up from downtown Brooklyn.

 They shopped. Went to Carlos' house. After Carlos' house, my cousin and I went to my house. We got dress. And then we went out.
 - Q What were your plans for later that night?
- A After -- first we were suppose to go to the Buttacup, downtown Brooklyn. But we didn't find parking, there was mutual friend's from my job birthday. We changed our minds, and then we ended up staying local.
 - Q When you say local, where did you end up?
 - A On 39 Street, The Amanacer.
- Q Did you stop any place before you actually made it to Amanacer's?
- A I can't remember.
- Q Do you remember about what time it was you got to that place on 39 Street and third?

	Carrasquillo - Direct	291
1	A I can't remember the exact time.	
2	Q Do you remember what time it was that you	
3	guys actually left out to try and go out?	
4	A About ten. I say between ten, 11,	
5	something like that.	
6	Q And I am sorry.	
7	Did you drink at all that night?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q And what did you drink?	
10	A Heinkens.	
11	Q Did you drink when you got to Amanacer's?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q What were you drinking there?	
14	A Heinkens.	
15	Q Did you drink before you got to	
16	Amanacer's?	
17	A Yes. I remember now we were at the	
18	we we went to a strip spot around the corner. Up	
19	the block on Third Avenue, somewhere on Fourth	
20	Avenue. I can't remember exactly where it was. We	
21	had had a Heinken there also.	
22	Q And how was it that you were getting	
23	around that night?	
24	A Carlos was driving.	
25	Q Now, it was who was with you guys	

	Carrasquillo - Direct	292
1	when you guys got to the bar on 39 and Third?	
2	A Carlos and Jonathan.	
3	Q Was the bar crowded when you got there?	
4	A No.	
5	Q When you say, no, what do you mean? It	
6	was completely empty, or was there some people	
7	A No, there were people there. It wasn't	
8	Q Had you ever been to that place before?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q Now, once you got to the location, can you	
11	tell me where did you go once you got in?	
12	A Jonathan had got us some beer. So by	
13	where the jukebox was at, I was towards the right of	
14	the jukebox.	
15	Q To the right of the jukebox?	
16	A Right.	
17	Q As you're facing	
18	A If you're going towards the back, the side	
19	box is here, I'm just on the other side	
20	(indicating).	
21	Q On the other side?	
22	A Right.	
23	Q Further in?	
24	A Further inside, yeah.	
25	Q Can you tell me, did you know anybody that	

	Carrasquillo - Direct	293
1	was already at the bar when guys got there?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q And who was it that you recoginizeed?	
4	A Rudy.	
5	Q Did you talk to Rudy?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q Does your cousin, Jonathan, also know him?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q Did you remain at the jukebox, or did you	
10	go any place else withinAmanacer's?	
11	A I finished the Heinken, went to the	
12	bathroom. Came out the bathroom, and I went to get	
13	myself another Heinken.	
14	Q Where did you go when you went to get	
15	another Heinken?	
16	A To the bar.	
17	Q So, which part of the bar were you	
18	standing while you were in the side closer to the	
19	entrance, or were you on the end that was further	
20	into the bar?	
21	A Closer to the end. Going towards the	
22	back.	
23	Q So closer to the dance floor?	
24	A Right.	
25	Q And can you tell me, do you remember where	

	Carrasquillo - Direct
1	Edgar was at that point?
2	A By the jukebox.
3	Q Was he with anybody?
4	A He was next to Carlos.
5	Q Now, did there come a time when anything
6	happened while you were out at the bar?
7	A I just remember a commotion. While I was
8	at the bar.
9	Q You remember where the commotion was
10	taking place?
11	A All I knew it was behind me. My back
12	my I was towards the bar. Like facing the bar.
13	All I knew the commotion was behind me.
14	Q Did you turn around?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And when you turned around, could you tell
17	who was in this commotion when you turned around?
18	A No.
19	Q Did you do anything as far as moving?
20	A I moved towards where the commotion was
21	going on.
22	Q Did there come a time when you got to
23	where the commotion was or the area where that
24	jukebox is?
25	A Right.

		Carrasquillo - Direct	295
1	Q	Got there?	
2	А	Yeah, I did.	
3	Q	Who was first person that you noticed?	
4	А	Carlos.	
5	Q	And did you have a conversation with	
6	Carlos?		
7	А	I asked him what happened.	
8	Q	And did he talk to you and tell you?	
9	А	He said	
10		MR. DRANOVE: Objection.	
11		THE COURT: Sustained. The objection as	
12	to wh	nat he was told by Carlos.	
13	Q	You had a conversation with Carlos?	
14	А	Right.	
15	Q	Based upon your conversation with Carlos,	
16	what did y	you do?	
17	А	When he said somebody hit Edgar.	
18		THE COURT: Don't tell us what Carlos	
19	said.	I am striking that.	
20		What did you do after you were told	
21	somet	ching?	
22	А	I seen two people run towards the door,	
23	and I ran	towards the door.	
24	Q	Were you able to get out I am sorry.	
25	Withdrawn.		

	Carrasquillo - Direct	296
1	Were the two people that ran towards the	
2	draw, were they able to get out?	
3	A Right. Yes.	
4	Q Were you able to get out?	
5	A At first, no.	
6	Q And how why was it that you were not	
7	able to get out?	
8	A The bouncer closed the door.	
9		
10	Q Did there come a time when you actually were let out?	
11		
12	A I told him open the door.	
	Q Did he open the door?	
13	A And he opened the door.	
14	Q Did you go outside?	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q And did you see where those two	
17	individuals that you saw run out went to?	
18	A They was running towards towards the	
19	highway.	
20	Q Towards the BQE overpass?	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q On Third Avenue?	
23	A Third Avenue.	
24	Q Did you try pursue them at all?	
25	A No.	

	Carrasquillo - Direct	297
1	Q At this point, did you even know well,	
2	withdrawn.	
3	Once you saw them going, did there come a	
4	time when you came back into the location?	
5	A Right. Yes.	
6	Q When you went back to the location, did	
7	there come a time when you actually saw or spoke to	
8	Edgar?	
9	A Asked him if he was all right.	
10	Q And can you tell me, did he have any	
11	injuries when you came back in?	
12	A He said, I got stabbed and opened his	
13	showed the wounds.	
14	Q Did you see where he had been stabbed?	
15	A Right yeah, I did.	
16	Q Did you see any blood?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q What did you do when you saw that?	
19	A I went into shock. I was just looking at	
20	him.	
21	Q You just stared?	
22	A Yeah.	
23	Q Did there come a time when anybody tried	
24	to get you guys to go someplace?	
25	A Carlos grabbed him.	
	1	

		Carrasquillo - Direct	298
1	Q	And what did you guys do?	
2	А	Went to Carlos' car.	
3	Q	Did you go with him?	
4	А	Yes.	
5	Q	What about Jonathan?	
6	А	Yes.	
7	Q	How did you get to Carlos' car?	
8	А	Walked.	
9	Q	Was anybody assisting Edgar at all?	
10	А	Carlos.	
11	Q	Where was Carlos' car, if you remember?	
12	А	I can't remember where the car was parked.	
13	Q	Once you got to the car, where were you	
14	sitting?		
15	А	Behind the passenger seat.	
16	Q	Where was everybody else?	
17	А	Edgar was in front of me in the passenger	
18	seat. Ca	arlos was driving. And Jonathan was next to	
19	me.		
20	Q	What happened next?	
21	А	Carlos kept telling Edgar to breathe. And	
22	he said,	"I can't breathe." And then he coughed up	
23	a chunk c	of blood. And little bit after, he coughed	
24	up anothe	er chunk and then he stopped moving.	
25	Q	This was while you were in route to the	

		Carrasquillo - Direct	299
1	hospital?		
2	А	Yes.	
3	Q	Which direction were you going? Which	
4	hospital	were you	
5	А	West, going to Lutheran.	
6	Q	Did you there come a time when you guys	
7	actually	arrived at Lutheran's?	
8	A	Yes.	
9	Q	When arrived there, what was done with	
10	Edgar?		
11	А	Carlos ran out the driver's seat, opened	
12	side wher	e Edgar was, picked him up and ran him into	
13	the emerg	ency room.	
14	Q	Did you go into the hospital with Carlos?	
15	A	Yes.	
16	Q	What about Jonathan, did he come in as	
17	well?		
18	А	Yes.	
19	Q	Did you guys remain there until the police	
20	arrived?		
21	А	Yes.	
22	Q	And after that, did there come a time when	
23	you got t	he precinct to speak to detectives?	
24	А	Yes.	
25	Q	Now, did you tell the detectives what you	

Carrasquillo - Direct

300

1 had seen or what you did when you were at the Amanacer's at the time of the incident? 2 3 Α Yes. THE WITNESS: At this time, if I could 4 have People's number 4 put up. 5 Mr. Carrasquillo, if you could just take a 6 look at the photos that are there. Just tell me if 7 you can see the approximate area that you were 8 standing in when the commotion occurred on the night 9 of February 27th, or the early morning 10 11 February 27, 2005, and just tell me what letter is above the photograph? 12 13 In B, I was in this area here (indicating). When -- you know I was at the bar --14 15 I was there (indicating). MS. CHU: Let the record reflect he is 16 pointing just in front of bar on the right-hand 17 18 side of the picture. And can you tell me, do you see the 19 approximate area where you saw Edgar and Carlos 20 21 while you were there at the bar before anything 22 happened? A 23 On this picture, on B over here. On this

THE WITNESS: Let the record reflect he is

side. (Indicating)

24

	Carrasquillo - Direct	301	
1	pointing to People's 4B on the bottom bottom		
2	right-hand corner. Just right by where the		
3	jukebox is it.		
4	Q Do you also see in People's 4C, you see		
5	the jukebox there?		
6	A Yeah. Right there (indicating). Right by		
7	the door.		
8	Q Right by the door where Edgar and Carlos		
9	were?		
10	A Right.		
11	Q Did you see the clothing of the two guys		
12	that ran out of the bar just after the commotion had		
13	stopped?		
14	A No.		
15	MS. CHU: I have nothing further.		
16	Thank you.		
17	THE COURT: Cross-examination, Mr.		
18	Dranove?		
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION		
20	BY MR. DRANOVE:		
21	Q That night in the bar where Edgar was		
22	stabbed, did you see anybody dancing?		
23	A I seen people dancing.		
24	Q About how many people did you see dancing?		
25	A I can't remember.		

		Carrasquillo - Cross	302
1	Q	Remember any of them wearing any	
2	particula	r clothing?	
3	А	No.	
4	Q	Do you remember if my client was dancing?	
5	Did you s	ee him dancing?	
6	А	Not that I remember.	
7	Q	When you were at the bar, your attention	
8	was drawn	to where Edgar was by what? What caused	
9	you to pa	y attention what was going on near the	
10	jukebox?		
11	А	A commotion.	
12	Q	Noise?	
13	А	Yes.	
14	Q	Yelling?	
15	А	More movement.	
16	Q	What type of movement?	
17	А	Feet moving. Back and forth movement.	
18	Q	Any sounds?	
19	А	Not that I can remember.	
20	Q	Was there some people fighting?	
21	А	When I got there, I didn't see anybody	
22	fighting.		
23	Q	When you got there, did you have to move	
24	people ou	t of the way to get there?	
25	А	There was couple of people that were	

Carrasquillo	-	Cross
--------------	---	-------

behind me when I was at the bar. Couple people 1 behind me, and I got -- he got them out of my way. 2 3 Do you get them out of your way? Pushed my way, moved them. 4 Pushed your way through? 5 0 It was short -- spot wasn't that big. 6 How many feet did you have to travel 7 0 before you saw Carlos? 8 Two feet. Three feet. 9 A When you saw Carlos, did you see him 10 Q fighting? 11 I just seen him standing there. 12 A 13 0 Did you see Jonathan? I don't remember seeing Jonathan. 14 A Did you see Carlos and Jonathan -- Carlos, 15 Q 16 some guys? Nah, I don't remember seeing them 17 A 18 fighting. Think back. Give yourself some time to 19 think about it. 20 MS. CHU: Objection. 21 THE COURT: Sustained as to "think back." 22 Did you see Carlos and Jonathan fighting 23 some guys after you pushed through the two people?

MS. CHU: Objection, asked and answered.

24

THE COURT: Sustained. 1 Do you recall testifying in a prior 2 proceeding. Being asked a series -- a question and 3 giving the following answer? Page 132. Line 5. 4 Ouestion --5 MR. DRANOVE: Start line 1, your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. "Question: While you were at the bar 8 Q 9 Area, did you see Carlos come out of the bathroom? 10 "Answer: No. 11 "Question: What did you notice then? 12 "Answer: From when I was waiting for my 13 Heinkens there was a big ruckus. I turned 14 around, I seen everybody. There was a lot of 15 just a ruckus. So I didn't know who was 16 involved in the ruckus. I was moving people 17 18 out of my way to make sure the people I was with were not involved. I saw they were 19 involved." 20 (pause) 21 Just repeating. "I saw they were 22 0 involved. I saw Carlos and Jonathan 23 fighting some guys." 24

Do you recall being asked the questions

	Carrasquillo - Cross
1	and giving that answer, a while ago, in prior
2	proceedings in this case?
3	A Nah. Nah, not like that. I don't
4	remember if that's what I said, but I remember
5	seeing only person I remember seeing nah, I
6	saw was Carlos.
7	Q What did you see Carlos doing?
8	A He was in the middle. I just seen him, I
9	said, what happened?
10	Q What did you see him doing?
11	A I just seen him in the middle. I seen him
12	right there on the floor.
13	Q On the floor.
14	A Not lying out on the floor. You know,
15	standing there.
16	MR. DRANOVE: No further questions.
17	Thank you.
18	THE COURT: Any redirect?
19	MS. CHU: No.
20	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Carrasquillo.
21	You're excused. You may step down from the
22	witness stand.
23	(WITNESS EXCUSED)
	l

THE COURT: Okay, ladies and gentlemen,

that completes the testimony. We have

24

	Proceedings	306
1	completed our schedule for today.	
2	I thank you for being so patient. We had	
3	a very long run today. I worked you hard.	
4	I'll make it up to you tomorrow. One of jurors	
5	has to leave early tomorrow, so you're not	
6	going to be here for the whole day.	
7	So we are going to start at 11 o'clock.	
8	Because I have some other business. And you'll	
9	be out of here by two o'clock latest, maybe	
10	earlier if we get started on time.	
11	So don't discuss the case.	
12	Return tomorrow promptly at 11:00 a.m. and	
13	have a very nice evening.	
14	Please take charge of the jury.	
15	(Jury Excused)	
16	THE COURT: Trial in recess until tomorrow	
17	morning at 11 a.m.	
18	Have a good evening.	
19	* * * *	
20	(Adjourned to May 8, 2009)	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

1	THE COURT: Okay, let's bring the jury out.
2	COURT OFFICER: Your Honor, ready for the
3	jury?
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.
6	COURT CLERK: Both sides waive the roll call?
7	MS. CHU: So waived.
8	MR. DRANOVE: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Good morning ladies and
10	gentlemen.
11	THE JURY: Good morning.
12	THE COURT: I hope I don't hear any
13	complaints about the weather today.
14	When we left off, the prosecution was
15	presenting its case, and we'll continue with that now.
16	You may call your next witness Ms. Chu.
17	MS. CHU: The People call Linda Razzano.
18	(At this time, the witness entered the
19	courtroom.)
20	COURT SERGEANT: Stand in front of the chair,
21	raise your right hand, face the clerk.
22	COURT CLERK: You solemnly swear that the
23	testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the
24	whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
25	MS. RAZZANO: I do.

responsibilities as a criminalist, level four?

Now, can you describe your duties and

24

RAZZANO - DIRECT/CHU

A I am a supervisor in the laboratory. I am responsible for determining which cases will be accepted within the laboratory and more specifically which items of evidence will be examined. I am responsible for supervising the daily rotations. I review case files of DNA reports that are generated by my analysts, and I am also responsible for maintaining my own case load which involves the interpretation of DNA results, generating reports, and when necessary, testifying in court.

Q What is your educational background?

A I have a bachelors degree of science in biology and chemistry from Stonehill College, and I have a masters degree of biology in Houston University.

Q How many years have you been working for the medical examiner's office?

A Almost eight years.

Q Now, while you were with the medical examiner's office, did you receive any additional training beyond college and graduate school?

A Yes. Upon being hired, I went through an intense six months training period. But first you are lectured on all the procedures that are performed in the lab. You will then observe and experience analysts performing those tests. They in turn will observe you to make sure that you're performing those tests properly. You're also given

competency tests in order to test your ability to perform those tests properly. And then at the end of six months you're given an oral examination to test your ability to understand the science behind those procedures and the procedures themselves.

- Q Now, what is forensic science?
- A Forensic science deals with any realm of science such as biology, chemistry, or physics, and how it can be applied to matters of the law. An example of this would be utilizing a breathalyzer test in order to determine if somebody has been driving under the influence of alcohol.
 - Q What is forensic biology?
- A Forensic biology is a subspecialty of forensic science which deals with the identification of biological materials such as blood, semen, saliva or skin cells in our attempt to identify from whom those samples come from.
- Q Are you familiar with the term what it means to be accredited?
 - A Yes.

1.1

- Q What does accredited mean?
- A Accredited means that we have met and exceeded all the standards that are set forth by an accreditation agency and it insures that we are doing quality work.
 - Q Is your lab accredited?
 - A Yes, we are.

- Q Now, are you a member of any professional organizations?
- A I am a member of the American Academy of Forensic Science.
 - Q Now, how many tests have you conducted using DNA?
- A Thousands.
 - Q How many cases have you worked on?
- 8 A Over a thousand.
 - Q Have you ever testified in courts of law?
- 10 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

12

19

- Q Have you ever been qualified as a expert in the field of forensic biology?
- 13 | A Yes.
- 14 Q How many times have you done so and where?
- A Approximately fifty times, and I've testified in the Supreme Courts of Manhattan, Bronx, Brooklyn, Queens, Staten Island, United States Federal Court in Brooklyn, United States Federal Court in Manhattan.
 - Q Have you ever been denied qualification as a expert?
- 21 A No, I have not.
- MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, I would offer Ms. Razzano as a expert in the field of forensic biology.
- 25 THE COURT: Any objection?

1 MR. DRANOVE: No.

THE COURT: There's no dispute Ms. Razzano is an expert in the field of forensic biology, and therefore I will permit her to testify before you as a expert in this field.

You may proceed Ms. Chu.

MS. CHU: Thank you.

Q Ms. Razzano, will you briefly explain to us what is DNA?

A DNA is a genetic make up of an individual. It carries the information that makes us human along with the information that makes us different from one another. You inherit half of your DNA from you mother and the other half from your father.

- Q Can DNA testing be used in non-forensic testing?
- A Yes. DNA testing can be used in paternity cases, missing person cases, and also in genetic counseling for diseases.
- Q Can you explain to the members of the jury what does the term "allele" mean?
- A Allele is a alternate form of a gene which means if you have brown hair, you will have alleles for brown hair. If you have blue eyes, you will have the alleles for blue eyes.

SW

In our laboratory the alleles that we have are

represented by numbers.

Q Now, are you also familiar with the term loci or locus?

A A locus is a specific part or place in the DNA that we test. In our laboratory currently we test fifteen different locations along with one that is a sex determining location which will tell you the sex of the individual from which the sample comes.

Q What is a profile?

A Once we are able to determine all the alleles at all the different locations that we test, the combination of those results is what's considered a DNA profile.

Q How do you go about getting or obtaining a profile?

A We will -- the process is, first we will do something called extraction, which is we'll have a sample of that, for example, it's being confirmed to be blood, and we'll first take the DNA out of the cell, and once we are able to get the DNA out of the cell, we need to determine how much is present. And once we determine how much is present, if we have enough to proceed through DNA testing, we will then amplify it, which means we make multiple, multiple copies because what we're looking at is a very small amount of DNA, so we need to make multiple copies in order for us to visualize, and from the culmination of that

is where we will be able to obtain a DNA profile.

- Q Now, directing your attention to this case, as part of your duties and responsibilities at the medical examiner's office, forensic biology department, did you receive evidence in connection with an investigation of a deceased person by the name of Edgar Ojeda?
 - A Yes, we did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q What was it that you received?
- THE WITNESS: May I consult my notes?
- 10 THE COURT: At any time.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
 - A We received a green, brown, and black camouflage jacket, a green combat hat, and a brown Champion sweatshirt under voucher M621135. We received a orange and blue baseball cap under voucher M621136. We obtained crime scene samples under voucher M621112, and additional crime scene samples under M621117.
 - MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, if I could have the witness shown People's Number 7 in evidence?
 - THE COURT: Okay.
- 22 COURT OFFICER: You want this taken out of
- 23 the bag?
- MS. CHU: Yes, please.
- 25 COURT OFFICER: Which item first?

MS. CHU: If you could take out all the items, but specifically I want the hat to remain out.

COURT OFFICER: Okay.

- Q Ms. Razzano, are those three articles of clothing what you received under voucher M6211135?
 - A Yes, they are.
- Q Now, what did you do with the evidence that you received in connection with this case?

You can put that down for now.

- A I examined the items for the presence of blood.
- Q And what were your conclusions?
- A That we were able to find blood on this cap.

 Those two items did not.
- Q Those two items did not have any?
- 15 A Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Now, could you just tell us were you able to find blood on any of the other samples that were sent to you?
- 18 | A Yes.
 - Q Where else did you find blood?
 - A We also found blood on the baseball cap and the crime scene samples that was found on voucher M621112 and voucher M621117.
 - Q Now, initially when you first receive the items, what do you do with the items prior to even looking at them?
 - A The first thing we'll do is we will document the

packaging in regards to what the packaging is; is it a bag?

Is it a envelope? Is it a box? We'll make notations on the size of the packaging, the color of the packaging, is the packaging sealed, and any notations that are made on the outside of the packaging, all of this will be documented in the notes.

- Q Were all the items you received in connection with this case sealed when you received them?
 - A Yes, they were.
- Q Did you also compare the contents of those packages to make sure that they matched to what they were labeled to be?
- A Yes.

- Q Did they match?
- 15 A Yes, they did.
 - Q You said you had found blood on this cap, the baseball cap, and then the samples that were sent to you from crime scene?
- 19 A Correct.
 - Q Can you tell us on this hat that was vouchered understand 621135, where did you find the blood?
 - A I found a stain right here on the brim of the cap and I found one along the back.
 - MR. DRANOVE: Could you, your Honor, identify where the witness is pointing with respect to each of

	RAZZANO - DIRECT/CHU
1	those locations?
2	THE COURT: One is on the brim and the other
3	is on the back of the cap.
4	MR. DRANOVE: The back?
5	THE WITNESS: Right here on the back along
6	the back seam.
7	MR. DRANOVE: The back seam, thanks.
8	Q Did you label those stains that you found on this
9	hat?
10	A Yes. The one on the brim of the cap was labeled
11	as stain 2A, and the one found on the back along the seam
12	was labeled as stain 2B.
13	Q Now, were you able to take any other samples from
14	any of the items that you received that did not appear to be
15	blood?
16	A Yes. Two stains were taken from the inside front
17	brim of the cap.
18	Q And what did those stains appear to be?
19	A The time of the examination they appeared to be
20	sweat stains.
21	Q And why do you take those?
22	A We would take a sample from the inside of the cap
23	to see if we're able to generate a DNA profile from the
21	individual that was wearing this can

Now, can you tell us were you able to obtain --

just speaking specifically about the blood stains that you found on the items that you received, were you able to obtain a profile from those stains?

A Yes.

- Q And can you tell us did you also receive any samples of blood from a person identified as Edgar Ojeda?
 - A Yes, we did.
- Q Were you able to compare the profile from the combat hat that you have in your hands with the profile that you received from Mr. Ojeda?
 - A Yes.
- Q And were you able to make a determination as to the source of the blood on the hat that you have in your hand?
- A Yes.
- 16 \ O And what was that?
 - A That the DNA profile that I obtained from this stain 2A and stain 2B on the cap was the same DNA profile obtained from Edgar Ojeda.
 - Q Now, can you tell me are you familiar with the term "mixture"?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And can you tell me what does that mean when you have a mixture in a sample?
 - A A mixture indicates that you have DNA from more

RAZZANO - DIRECT/CHU

than one individual.

- Q And in stain 2A or 2B, was there a mixture in either one of those stains?
- A In stain 2B there was a indication of a possible mixture, yes.
 - Q What gave you that indication?
- A When you inherit your DNA, as I said, you have alleles. Now you inherit one allele from your mother and one allele from your father. So if you go through your DNA testing and you find locations that has more than two alleles, for example, three alleles or four alleles, this is an indication of a mixture.
- Q And how many extra alleles did you find at any particular location?
- A One allele.
- O At one location?
- 17 A At one location.
 - Q Now, because of the fact that it's only one allele at one location, are you able to make a determination as to the source of that DNA; for example, whether it's blood, semen, skin or sweat?
 - A No, no conclusions can be drawn.
- 23 Q Why is that?
 - A For this it was only one single allele. We're not able to determine from what sample or what individual it

RAZZANO - DIRECT/CHU

came from.

Q Now, when you do your testing, is there another level of testing you do once you establish that there was an extra allele at that one location?

A When the testing was done, at the time we had two different what we call typing systems. As I said, we would at this point test thirteen different locations in the lab since we've increased the number, the first set would test six different locations and then the next set of testing would be the additional locations. So we do two rounds. And when the testing was done on this one stain, that 18 allele was found in the first round of testing, but not in the second.

- Q Meaning you couldn't detect it at all in the second testing?
- A Correct, it was not detected.
- Q Did you find that single allele in any of the other stains that you found on that hat?
- A Yes, I did. That allele was also found when I did DNA testing on the two stains on the inside. That allele was consistent with from being -- the results from these samples.
- Q Because it was one allele you can't do any further testing on it?
- A That is correct.

- - A The major contributor means that that individual donated the most amount to that sample.
 - Q Now, in stain 2B, the one that had a mixture, were you able to determine if it was a major contributor?
 - A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q Who was the major contributor?
- 8 A Edgar Ojeda.
 - Q Now, what about the baseball hat, moving to that, you said there were some stains on that?
- 11 A That is correct.
- 12 | Q How many stains were found on that hat?
- 13 A May I give this hat back?
- 14 Q Yes. Thank you.
 - A I found a stain on the front part. The item that was examined was a Mets baseball cap. So there was a stain that was located above the Mets emblem. There were smaller stains noted, three of them on the back, almost in the same location as the one where it was found on the combat hat, and there was additional stains on the top of the back part of the hat and one on the inside top of the hat.
 - Q Did you test any of those stains?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Which stains did you test?
- 25 A I tested two stains for DNA under stains 1A and

RAZZANO - DIRECT/CHU

1 | 1B.

Q And where were those stains located?

A Stain 1A was found on the front above the Met emblem, and stain 1B was found on the inside interior part of the hat on the top, almost where it would come in contact with the top of the head.

Q And why was it that you only tested two of the stains that were on that hat?

A Based on the information that we provided, was provided in the case file, it was believed that the cap was worn by Edgar Ojeda. So we only tested two areas of the hat.

Q Now, were you able to obtain a profile from the stains that were taken from the Mets hat with the profiles that were taken from Edgar Ojeda?

A Yes.

Q And did those profiles match?

A Yes, they did.

Q Now, let's move to the crime scene samples that you received under voucher ending in 1, 2. Were you able to obtain a profile from the samples that were given to you under that voucher?

A Yes.

Q How many samples were given to you?

A On that voucher we received two samples.

RAZZANO - DIRECT/CHU

- Q And -- I'm sorry, how many? I thought there might have been three samples.
 - A Under the voucher ending in 1, 2?
 - Q Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

17

- A There was a crime scene sample that was labeled as S1, and a crime scene control that was labeled as S1A, two samples.
- Q Okay, you did one at a time in total under that voucher?
- 10 | A Two.
- 11 Q Two samples were sent to you?
- 12 A Two samples were tested for the presence of blood,
 13 but only one was sent off for DNA testing.
 - Q Okay. Can you tell me were you able to obtain a DNA profile from that sample?
- 16 A Yes.
 - Q And can you tell us were you able to compare that with the profile from Mr. Ojeda?
- 19 | A Yes.
- 20 | Q What was your conclusion?
- 21 A That the DNA that was found on crime scene sample 22 S1 is the same as the DNA profile for Edgar Ojeda.
- 23 Q Did you receive any other crime scene samples?
- A Yes. They were under voucher M621117. It was three crime scene samples and three crime scene controls.

- Q And under that voucher number, were you able to obtain a profile from each of those samples that were sent to you?
- A We did DNA testing on the crime scene samples that were labeled as S1, S2 and S3, and we were able to obtain a male DNA profile from those three samples.
- Q I'm sorry, was that profile compared to the profile that you had from Mr. Ojeda?
 - A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

- Q What was your conclusion?
- A That the DNA profile obtained from those three samples is the same as the DNA profile for Edgar Ojeda.
- Q Now, did you prepare a report in connection with your testing in this case?
- A Yes, I did.
 - Q Did that report contain a table regarding your findings?
- 18 A Yes, it did.
 - MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, if I can have this marked People's number, I believe we're up to 8.
- 22 THE COURT: Yes.
- 23 (At this time, the document was marked People's 8, for identification.)
- Q Ms. Razzano, do you recognize the chart that's

	RAZZANO - DIRECT/CHU					
1	being held up for you?					
2	A Yes, I do.					
3	Q Who prepared that?					
4	A I prepared that table.					
5	Q Did you prepare it in connection with the testing					
6	that you did in this case?					
7	A Yes, I did.					
8	MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, I would					
9	offer it into evidence as People's Number 8.					
10	THE COURT: Any objection?					
11	MR. DRANOVE: No. Your Honor, I have no					
12	objection, but is there a chance a copy could be made					
13	for me so I can have the one that's being referred to.					
14	I have many pages but I can't locate this one.					
15	THE COURT: Yes, we'll make a copy for you.					
16	MR. DRANOVE: Please.					
17	Q Now, Ms. Razzano, before we actually talk about					
18	the chart here					
19	THE COURT: You have to mark this in					
20	evidence, I'm sorry.					
21	COURT OFFICER: So marked, your Honor.					
22	THE COURT: Thank you. The item in evidence					
23	is People's 8.					
24	COURT OFFICER: So marked, your Honor.					
25	You want it posted?					

THE COURT: Post it, please.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Since there are numbers on this, I'm going to ask you to put this in front of the jury box, otherwise they won't be able to see the numbers.

MS. CHU: Actually, on my copy, if you want, we can put it up.

THE COURT: All right. You can use a laser pointer for the exhibit.

Okay, defense counsel has his copy. We're ready to turn the lights down to see the chart.

THE WITNESS: I think I prefer to use that (indicating.)

THE COURT: This is better for the jury.

THE WITNESS: You want me to use that, okay.

THE COURT: I think the laser pointer would be better.

(At this time, the document is being displayed to the jury.)

- Q Now, Ms. Razzano?
- A Is there any column you would like me to do it on?
- Q Is that good?
- 22 A That's fine. Thank you.
- 23 Q Can you tell us what you're looking at here?
- A This is a blown up representation of the table that is found in my case file.

- Q And can you tell us when you said that, if you can just walk us through. I see Edgar Ojeda's name is up there on the top?
 - A That is correct.

Q There's a series of, you know, letters and numbers on the top running across, as well as numbers that are just directly underneath that have either one or two -- one or two numbers. See there's a first line going across, this here 1617?

A If you were to look here, this line here this bold text that's found up here, these are a different location although the DNA that we test in our laboratory. And then here you'll see the name Edgar Ojeda, and next to that you'll see the DNA profile that we obtained from Edgar Ojeda.

Now, as I stated, you will get a maximum of two alleles at each location, again, depending upon what you inherit from your mother and what you inherit from your father. There are several locations. For example, the one right here where you see only one number, this indicates that he inherited the same allele from each parent. So in essence it can be written as a 20 comma 20. But in our reports, we just report it as one single number. So once we get all the alleles at each of the locations that we test, this is what is considered a DNA profile.

RAZZANO - DIRECT/CHU

Q Now, moving on to the next entry there, you have a combat hat and you have stain 2A and stain 2B. Can you show us how this was that you came to your conclusion that you testified to earlier?

A So these two lines here, along with all the other lines that you have seen in this table represent the DNA result that we got from each of those samples tested. So you'll see here it says "Combat cap, stain 2A and 2B," and next to it is the DNA profile that we obtained from those two samples.

Now, if you were to look at each location and compare it to the profile of Edgar Ojeda, you could see that the same numbers or the same alleles are found at each of the locations that was tested. This indicates that the DNA profile that we obtained from these two samples is the stain as the DNA profile that we obtained for Edgar Ojeda.

Q And just moving on down the chart going to the baseball cap and different crime scene samples that are underneath, they all have the same numbers that match with the alleles that you found at the different locations in Mr. Ojeda's profile?

A That is correct.

Q Now, you had mentioned earlier during your testimony about the fact that there was a extra allele some place?

	RAZZANO - DIRECT/CHU
1	A Yes.
2	Q Is it located on this chart?
3	A If you look here at the combat cap stain 2B, at
4	this one location you see three numbers, three alleles,
5	which means that this sample was a mixture. If you were to
6	move across and look at every other location that was tested
7	there, you only see two alleles. This is the only
8	indication from the sample that we have this mixture.
9	Q Is the reason why there is the same alleles at
10	each of the locations in stain 2B matching to Mr. Ojeda is
11	that he is named to be the major contributor of that stain?
12	A That is correct.
13	Q This is the only place that the 18 came up in that
14	stain of 2B?
15	A That is correct.
16	Q Now, as a criminalist, are you able to determine
17	when a stain was actually left on an item?
18	A No, we cannot.
19	Q Is there any testing or information that you have
20	that's able to say how long something has been there?
21	A No, there is not.
22	MS. CHU: I can turn this off now. Thank
23	you.

THE COURT: Let's put the lights back on. Leave it on right now just in case we need it on cross

24

1

examination.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, I want to talk about statistics.

Ms. Razzano, did you conduct statistical analysis on the profile that you obtained from Mr. Ojeda which matched the samples that you received in this case?

We did a statistical analysis on the DNA profile obtained from the evidence in this case.

And what were the results of your statistical analysis?

That this combination of DNA alleles would be expected to be found in approximately one in greater than one trillion blacks; one in greater than one trillion Caucasians; one in greater than one trillion Hispanics; and one in greater than one trillion Asians.

Now, trillion is a big number. The only time I've heard about it is when we're talking about out deficit. What significance does that number have in your statistical analysis when you make your determination and your findings?

There are approximately 6.7 billion people on planet earth. Therefore, in order to see this DNA profile in a random male population other than Edgar Ojeda, we would have to test approximately 149 planet earth populations in order to see this DNA profile again, which in essence is greater than the population that every lived on this planet.

So, in your expert opinion, is the blood that was Q

RAZZANO - CROSS/DRANOVE

- found on the hat that's right behind you, that combat hat, that of Edgar Ojeda?
 - A Yes, it is.
 - Q Thank you very much.

MS. CHU: I have nothing further.

THE COURT: Cross examination.

MR. DRANOVE: Thank you.

CROSS EXAMINATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. DRANOVE:

O Ms. Razzano --

THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, do you want this exhibit to show for your cross?

MR. DRANOVE: At this time, I would prefer to proceed, if possible, with that in the background rather than have to warm up the machine again. But I'd rather refer to a different page and some other materials.

THE COURT: I'm not sure I understand your answer. You want us to turn this off or off?

MR. DRANOVE: Leave it on, but take the

exhibit off the plate.

All right, turn it off, sir, please.

THE COURT: Thank you. It will shut itself off eventually, you know.

Q Ms. Razzano, good morning.

- RAZZANO CROSS/DRANOVE Good morning. 1 Α Are you aware of any studies of prisoner 2 3 populations which show matching DNA profiles among 4 prisoners? 5 Α No. Have you brought with you a page referred to as --6 7 strike that. 8 That chart up there is exhibit 11? Eight? I don't know what the number is. The one that's up there. 9 COURT OFFICER: It's eight. 10 Eight, behind you, does that have a page number on 11 12 it? 13 Yes, it does. Α What number is that? 14 In my report that is page number four. 15 Okay, could we turn to page number five of that 16 17 report, please. We're not going to forget about page number four. 18 19 You have page five? 20 Yes, I do. Α Is that a page of this report which you prepared? 21 Yes. Page five in my report also has DNA testing 22
 - on the two stains that are found on the inside of that combat hat.

24

25

Now, in a handwritten note also identified as page

- five, there's some writing. I just want to show you a document and ask you to see if you have this original with you?
- A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

- Q Okay, is that your handwriting?
- 6 A Yes, it is.
- Q Okay, may I have that back. I may refer to that.

 8 I just want you to know what I would be referring to.
- 9 A May I explain?
 - This is a page that is found not as part of my report. This is a page that is found as my examination notes on the right hand side of my file.
- 13 | O That's all it is?
- 14 | A Yes.
- 15 Q Your notes of your observation?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q Okay, thank you.
- The stain on the back of the cap, the stain 2B, the back of the green cap, correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q You found indications of DNA from two people 22 there, is that right or wrong?
- A The stain showed the mixture in which we had that one additional allele at that one location.
- 25 Q One allele or -- in the chart --

		anoaa.	
RAZZANO	_	CROSS/	DRANOVE

1 MR. DRANOVE: May I approach?

THE COURT: Yes.

Q I just want to make sure I'm understanding you correctly and the chart correctly.

You said if there's only one number, that means that there's two alleles of the same number, is that right?

A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q So over for 2B, you have an 18. Does that mean there were two 18s? It's only one number?

A That is correct.

Q Okay, thank you.

Now, those two 18s, those numbers mean in affect evidence, is that correct?

A To clarify --

O Is that correct?

MS. CHU: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection to the form of the question, "in affect evidence."

Q All right.

What do those two 18s represent with respect to being at that location under D3, S1 358 in blood?

A I cannot make that conclusion that that 18 is a single 18, meaning that there's another allele that goes with that 18 or if that single 18 is actually two alleles

1 | from the same person.

- Q Well, the allele was detected, correct?
- 3 A That is correct.
 - Q You wrote down the number 18, correct?
 - A Yes.

Q Okay. What does the word "typing" mean to you, in the phase "typing not attempted?"

A When I explained how we did our DNA testing at the time, I said we had two different systems. So the first system --

THE WITNESS: May I approach, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Okay.

A When I said that we did two different rounds of testing, the first rounds of testing would test these locations that are listed here. The second round of testing did these remaining locations along with this additional location a second time, this D3 location a second time, and this location here AMEL which shows you the sex of the individual from whom the sample comes from (indication).

Q Could you elaborate upon what you just said?

A So when we did the DNA testing, we did the testing in two different rounds, meaning we tested different locations each time in order to generate a DNA profile. At the time the DNA testing was done, in order to obtain this profile it was done in two separate experiments for example.

Now, in the testing we do in the laboratory, we are able to test all those locations in one experiment. But at the time this was done it was done in two different rounds of testing. So these are the locations that are tested in the first round. These are the locations that are tested in the second round (indicating).

Q May I -- I just want to make sure I follow.

When you say these and swing your hand around, are you saying like one set of things are being tested in the first round and different things are being tested in the second round?

A Different locations.

Q So the first round tested certain locations, the second round other locations?

A That is correct. So the first round we tested the D3 location, D16, the sex determining locations which is listed as TLO1, TPOX, CFX1BO, D7S820. These locations were tested first. After we got these results, we interpreted them and I determined which stains would go on to get the remaining locations for a complete DNA profile.

- Q Okay, thank you. Thank you.
- Now, with respect to stain 2A and 2B, you applied something called KM reagent?

A KM reagent or Kastle-Meyer reagent is a reagent that we use to test presumptively for the presence of blood.

So when we do the examination of the evidence, the first thing we use is our visual examination. So if I'm looking for something that looks like blood, I would be looking for something that would be red-ish brown in nature. Once these areas are located, we'll then use the Kastle-Meyer test or KM test to test those things presumptively for the presence of blood, which means it's positive that we believe blood may be present. But we need to do DNA testing to confirm the presence of blood.

- Q In this stain 2B, with respect to that first column, that number 18 is a number of somebody other than Mr. Ojeda, is that correct?
 - A That is correct.
 - Q Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

- Now, did you test -- I mean did you examine stains 2C and 2D from the combat cap, what you call the combat cap?
- 17 A They were not tested for blood. The areas were 18 not red-ish brown in nature.
 - Q I asked you if you examined them?
 - A I examined them, yes.
 - Q What did you examine them for?
- A When we examine evidence, we do a complete visual examination --
 - Q Did you do any further --
- 25 MS. CHU: Objection. He's interrupting the

1 witness.

THE COURT: Let her finish her answer.

MR. DRANOVE: I will. I apologize.

A When I examined the hat, I did find those locations that were red-ish brown in nature. Those were tested for the presence of blood. On the inside of the cap, according to my notes on page five, the inside of the hat was submitted in order to see if we can determine the DNA profile of the individual that was wearing the hat. And in my notes it states that on the inside of the hat they had stains that looked sweat-like in nature.

Q Which page is that? I have five here.

A That is on page five, the third line. It states "Cap has sweat-like grains on inside and outside of cap brim."

Q Go further down five lines from the bottom, it's your handwriting, correct?

A That is correct.

Q You also asked for a MSR. Can you tell me what MSR is?

A MSR is Meredith Rosenberg. She's a supervisor in the laboratory. She was the supervisor in charge of that rotation when I was examining this.

Q So you were instructed then by Ms. Rosenberg or Dr. Rosenberg to obtain what you could in the nature of DNA

DARRANO		CDOCC	DRANOVE
RAZZANO	_	CKO557	DRANOVE

- 1 | from those spots 2C and 2D, is that correct?
- 2 A Yes. Ms. Rosenberg. She's not a doctor.
- 3 Did you do that?
 - A Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q Did you go through the same testing of that DNA as you did for the testing of the DNA in stains 2A and 2B?
- A Yes, I did.
 - Q Have you seen a blowup -- or is the result of that testing on that chart?
- A No, it is not.
- 11 Q Is it on any chart?
- 12 A It is found on page five within the report.
- MR. DRANOVE: Well, may I just ask the

 witness to confirm that I will be referring to the page

 five she's referring to judge?
- 16 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. DRANOVE: I'm offering up a page to the officer.
- 19 | A Yes.
- Q Okay, thank you.
- MR. DRANOVE: May I have that back and ask if that page could be shown to the jury?
- All right, let's -- I don't know if it would work.
- 25 THE COURT: It will work, the same way as it

RAZZANO	_	CROSS	/ DRANC	WE

- 1 worked for the other one.
- 2 MR. DRANOVE: Judge, mine has some markings
- on it. I'm trying to get a clean copy.
- I've never used one of these, before judge.
- 5 | I'll try.

- I'm not ready for prime time.
- 7 Q Ms. Razzano, you see stain 2C and stain 2C
- 8 there -- 2C and 2D right up on the upper left?
- 9 A Right here, 2C, 2D (indicating.)
- 10 Q Okay. I'm going to attempt something.
- Mr. Ojeda in 2C and 2D, stain 2C was found on the
- 12 cap, correct, the inside of the cap?
- 13 | A Yes.
- 14 | Q And the same thing with stain 2D?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Now, stain 2C is that of somebody other than
- 17 Mr. Ojeda's DNA, is that right?
- 18 A If you were to look at the results here, you'll
- 19 | see there's a 15, 18. When you compare that to Edgar Ojeda,
- 20 | the two DNA profiles are different which indicates it's from
- 21 | a different individual (indicating).
- 22 Q All right. Now, as part of your work, did you as
- 23 part of your responsibilities determine if you were able to
- 24 do a complete profile on stain 2D?
- 25 A Yes, we did DNA testing and got a full profile

1 from stain 2D.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

Q Can you determine if stain 2D was from a individual who was not the same individual as the one who led to stain 2D?

A We only got results from one location which is that 15, 18.

O Yes.

A When we have results that only shows one location, we really cannot use that as a form of comparison.

Q But the results at one location for stain 2C, is different than the results of the stain location for stain 2D, is that right?

A What you see here on the top line is the results that we obtained.

- Q Which top line? Could you point to it?
- 16 A The line for 2D.
 - Q I'm talking about 2C right now. And it's not as clear as I'd like, but it says underneath the DJ in bold letters for stain 2C, it says 15 comma 18, correct?
 - A Correct.
 - Q And then for stain 2D it's 15 comma 17?
- 22 A Fifteen comma 17 comma 18.
- 23 Q Comma 18?
- 24 | A With two stars.
- 25 Q Okay. So does stain 2D then have DNA from two or

RAZZANO - CROSS/DRANOVE

1 more people then?

- A Yes, at least two people.
- Q And these two people, at least one of them for sure, is not Mr. Ojeda, is that correct?
 - A That is correct.
- Q Is it your opinion as to whether you can reach an opinion with respect to whether both of the people who, whose DNA is in stain 2D are not Mr. Ojeda?
- A I cannot say that with certainty whether Mr. Ojeda is related to that history or not.
- Q With respect to stain 2C, can you reach an opinion as to whether there are any differences in the I guess allele information between whoever put stain -- whoever's DNA is in stain 2C and Mr. Ojeda?
- A If you were to see this line down here, based on the results that we got here, we were able to determine a DNA profile from the major contributor, the one who had the most amount of DNA present.
- Q Okay.
 - A When you look at the sample here, this represents the DNA profile that was obtained. And when you look at this first location, it's a 15, 18, which is the same as what we see up here on the 15, 18.
- Q Right.
- Now, with respect to 2C, can you reach an opinion

RAZZANO - CROSS/DRANOVE

- as to whether whoever's DNA is in stain 2C is somebody other than the person who's DNA you were able to find from stain 2D?
 - A No, you cannot determine that.
- Q Okay. Now, so there's somebody in stain 2D who is not Mr. Ojeda, correct?
 - A Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

21

- Q And there may be more than one person in stain 2D?
- A There are one more than person. There is more than one person in stain 2D.
 - Q And you don't know who the other one is, is that right?
 - A That is correct.
 - Q And it's not blood, is that correct?
- 15 A That is correct.
- 16 Q And stain 2C is not blood, correct?
- 17 A That is correct.
- 18 Q You were able to do -- you concluded that with
 19 respect to 2D, the DNA is not the DNA of Mr. Ojeda, correct?
- 20 A That is correct.
 - Q This DNA must have come from a second male individual, correct?
- 23 A That is correct.
- Q Were you keeping notes as to what date you made this report?

RAZZANO - CROSS/DRANOVE

- A This report was generated on May 16, 2005.
- Q Who is male donor A?

- A I do not know the identity of male donor A.
- Q Your last line on the bottom says "The DNA alleles seen in stain 2C are consistent with the DNA alleles of male donor A." Who is male donor A?
 - A I do not know the identity of male donor A.
- Q Is male donor A somebody other than the donor to stain 2D who you were able to type or don't you know?
- A The DNA profile for male donor A was the DNA donor profile that we deduced or determined from that mixture of stain 2D.
- Q So you're saying that male donor A has DNA consistent with stain 2C?
- A When we look at the results from 2C, we see that 15, 18 which we also see as the DNA profile at the first location for stain 2D. Therefore, the DNA may have come from the same individual.
- Q You said consistent with the DNA? Your word is "consistent," right?
- A Yes.
 - Q One number makes it consistent, but not conclusive?
- 24 A We cannot make any conclusions.
 - Q Have you since that 2005 examination ever been

COLLOOUY

	COLLOQUY 346
1	asked to compare the DNA of Mr. Rivera to anything?
2	A No.
3	Q Thank you.
4	MR. DRANOVE: No further questions.
5	THE COURT: Lights on.
6	Redirect?
7	MS. CHU: I have no redirect.
8	THE COURT: Okay, thank you, Ms. Razzano.
9	You're excused. You may step down from the witness
10	stand.
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
12	(At this time, the witness exited the
13	courtroom.)
14	THE COURT: People may proceed.
15	MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, the
16	People call Detective Deborah Kennedy.
17	(At this time, the witness entered the
18	courtroom.)
19	COURT SERGEANT: Stand in front of the chair,
20	face the clerk.
21	COURT CLERK: Raise your right hand.
22	Solemnly swear the testimony you are about to
23	give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
24	but the truth?
25	DET. KENNEDY: I do.

	DET. KENNEDY - DIRECT/CHU
1	COURT CLERK: Be seated, please.
2	State your name.

DET. KENNEDY: Deborah Kennedy.

COURT CLERK: Shield number.

DET. KENNEDY: 3501.

COURT CLERK: And your command?

DET. KENNEDY: Latent Print Section.

THE COURT: You may examine the witness.

MS. CHU: Thank you.

10 DETECTIVE DEBORAH KENNEDY, having

been duly sworn, testified as follows:

12 DIRECT EXAMINATION

13 | BY MS. CHU:

Н

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

- Q Good afternoon Ms. Kennedy.
- 15 A Good afternoon.
- 16 Q How long have you been a member of the New York
- 17 City Police Department?
- 18 A Nineteen years.
- 19 Q And where are you currently assigned?
- 20 A Latent Print Section.
- 21 Q How long have you been there?
- 22 A Almost nine years.
- Q Did you receive any type of training to become a
- 24 member of the latent print unit?
- 25 A Yes, I did.

DET. KENNEDY - DIRECT/CHU

Q What was it?

- A I successfully completed the science of a fingerprint course, a latent print course and palm print course. I worked --
 - Q Go ahead.
- A I worked for seven years in the identification section, classified fingerprints, and I've worked for almost nine years in the latent print section comparing latent prints.
- Q Now, once you arrived -- I'm sorry, when you first arrived at the latent print unit, did you have to undergo training with a more senior person when you arrived?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - Q How long did that occur for?
- 15 A That lasted for several months.
- 16 Q And what was it that you actually did with them?
 - A It was on the job training where you actually did latent cases, but you worked with a senior latent officer.
 - Q Now, can you tell us what is -- what are your duties and responsibilities as a detective in the fingerprint unit?
 - A I analyze and evaluate latent prints sent to me from crime scenes to determine if they're of value or no value. The of value latent prints are compared in -- are compared against the prints of known persons who have

DET. KENNEDY - DIRECT/CHU

legitimate access to the crime scene or ink print of persons who is suspected of committing a crime.

Q You had mentioned something about ink prints and latent prints. Can you tell us what's the difference between the two?

A A ink print is a permanent image of someone's fingers taken of the first index finger that's, it's usually done in black printer's ink and it's rolled onto a white paper or onto a fingerprint card. A latent print is a chance image. On your palms, fingers and soles of your feet you have a raised skin which is called ridge. It's called friction ridges. When you touch it -- and on those friction ridges you have pores. When you perspire and you touch a object, you may leave behind a copy of a image of those ridge characteristics. They are chance images because there are various reasons why you may not leave behind a copy of your print.

- Q Now, how many comparisons have you made during your years with the Latent Print Unit?
 - A I've made thousands of comparisons.
 - Q Have you ever testified in court?
 - A Yes, I have.
 - Q How many times have you done so and which courts?
- A Twenty-seven times, in Brooklyn Supreme, Manhattan Supreme, Queens Supreme and Staten Island Supreme Court.

DET. KENNEDY - DIRECT/CHU

Also Brooklyn Criminal Court and Manhattan Grand Jury.

Q Have you been qualified as a expert on each of those occasions?

A Yes, I have.

MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, I would offer Detective Kennedy as a expert in the field of fingerprint analysis.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. DRANOVE: No.

THE COURT: There's no dispute ladies and gentlemen Detective Kennedy is a expert in fingerprint analysis, and therefore she would be permitted to testify before you in this field. You may proceed.

MS. CHU: Thank you.

Q Detective Kennedy, how are fingerprints compared in an identification?

A There's one where the latent print is compared against the ink print by examining it under a microscope and looking from latent prints to ink prints to determine if the ridge characteristics are present in the latent prints are also present in the ink prints.

Q Now, did you or a member of your unit receive latent prints that were covered from a scene in a case involving the death of Edgar Ojeda?

A Yes, I did.

DET. KENNEDY - DIRECT/CHU

- Q What was the case number assigned?
- 2 A Two, two, two of 2005.

- Q Now, how did you become involved in the case?
- A The latent case was assigned to me.
- Q Can you describe what you received in connection with this case?

A Yes, I can. I received five latent prints from the crime scene. One was from a Clorox bottle and four from the door.

- Q And did you receive any other latent prints from any other unit in NYPD?
- A Yes, I did. I received two latent prints from the lab which were from beer bottles.
- Q Now, you had mentioned earlier being of value or no value. Could you just tell us what that is?

A An of value latent is a latent with enough ridge characteristics to make a comparison on an identification, and a no value print would be a latent print that hasn't enough ridge characteristics to make a determination.

Q Now, of the items you received the five latent prints you got from the crime scene as well as the two latents that were sent to you from your latent print development unit, how many were of value?

A There were two latent prints of value from the original crime scene; one from a Clorox bottle and one from

DET. KENNEDY - DIRECT/CHU

1 | the door.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

- Q And can you tell me where were they gotten from the door, the one from the door specifically?
 - A I'd have to look at my notes.

THE COURT: You may.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

- A Interior side of front door glass.
- Q So there were only two of value that were submitted to you?
- 10 A That is correct.
 - Q Now, can you tell me, are you familiar with the system under which you can import or to upload a copy of a latent print onto the computer so you could have it compared with others?
 - A Yes.
- 16 Q What system that?
 - A It's called AFIS System, Automated Fingerprint Identification System.
 - Q Were you able to upload the prints that you received that were of value into the system?
 - A Yes, I did.
- Q Were there any results that came from that?
- 23 A There were no match.
- Q Now, did you also compare the latent prints that
 were of value in this case with the inked fingerprints of

DET. KENNEDY - DIRECT/CHU

1 | Edgar Ojeda?

- A Yes, I did.
 - Q What were the results of your comparison?
- A There were no match.
 - Q Did you also compare the latent prints that were found in this case to be of value to the inked fingerprints of Enrique Rivera?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What were the results of that comparison?
 - A They were also of no match.
 - Q I'm sorry, I'm just going to go back a little bit.

 You mentioned when you leave latent fingerprints,
 it's a chance image?
- 14 | A Yes.
 - Q What are some things that effect whether or not you are able to leave a latent fingerprint on an object when you touch it?
 - A There are several things. The weather or temperature. If it's too hot, it might cause it to evaporate. If it's too cold, you might not be perspiring when you touch the item. Also there are barriers such as gloves, bandaids, your sleeves that would obstruct you from leaving a fingerprint. Also if a person is excited or emotional, they're perspiring a lot, that may cause them not to leave a fingerprint.

DET. KENNEDY - DIRECT/CHU

- Q So basically if there's too much water, that would effect it?
 - A That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q How long can a print actually remain on a surface?
- A I don't know. There is no time, but as long as the surface remains undisturbed, the print should remain there?
 - MS. CHU: Thank you very much. I have nothing further.
 - THE COURT: Cross examination.
- MR. DRANOVE: Nothing.
- 12 THE COURT: Thank you Detective Kennedy.
- 13 You're excused. You may step down.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 15 (At this time, the witness exited the courtroom.)
- 17 THE COURT: People may proceed.
- 18 MS. CHU: The People call Detective John
- 19 Darino.
- 20 (At this time, the witness entered the courtroom.)
- COURT SERGEANT: Stand in front of the chair, raise your right hand, face the clerk.
- 24 COURT CLERK: Solemnly swear the testimony
 25 you are about to give will be the truth, the whole

355 DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU truth, and nothing but the truth? 1 DET. DARINO: Yes, I do. 2 THE COURT: Good morning and good afternoon. 3 DET. DARINO: Good morning. 4 5 COURT CLERK: State your name. DET. DARINO: Detective Darino, John Darino. 6 7 COURT CLERK: Shield number? DET. DARINO: Shield number 186. 8 COURT CLERK: Your command? 9 DET. DARINO: Joint Terrorism Task Force. 10 COURT CLERK: Thank you. 11 THE COURT: You may examine the witness, 12 Ms. Chu. 13 14 MS. CHU: Thank you. DETECTIVE JOHN DARINO, having been duly 15 sworn, testified as follows: 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. CHU: 18 19 Q Good afternoon, Detective? 20 Α Good afternoon. How long have you been a member of the New York 21 Q 22 City Police Department? 23 Α Approximately 13 years. 24 And where are you currently assigned? Q

The Joint Terrorism Task Force.

SW

25

Α

356 DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU How long have you been there? 1 Q 2 About two and a half years. Α Where were you assigned before that? 3 0 The 72 detective squad. 4 Α 5 How long were you assigned to the 72 detective 0 6 squad? 7 Approximately four years. 8 I want to direct your attention to February the 9 27th of 2005. Were you working as a detective with the 72nd precinct? 10 Yes, I was. 11 Α 12 Can you tell us what was your tour that day or 13 what hours you worked? 14 I was working 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. 15 Did there come a time that day that you became involved in the investigation and death of a person by the 16 17 name of Edgar Ojeda? Yes, I did. 18 Α And how was it that you became involved in this 19 0 case? 20 21 I was assigned the case detective. Α You were the case detective? 22 0 23 Α I was the case detective. 24 Q Now, about what time was it that you were 25 notified?

357 DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU Approximately 0800, 8 a.m. 1 Α 2 Eight in the morning? 3 That is correct. Α So basically when you walked in you were given the 4 5 assignment? 6 Α Yes, I was. 7 Did you learn where Mr. Ojeda had been injured, 8 the location of where he had been injured? Yes, I did. 9 Α And where was that? 10 Three one four 39th Street. That's 314 39th 11 12 Street at the El Boringuen Bar. 13 Now, during the course of your investigation, did Q there come a time when you or your colleague spoke with 14 15 witnesses to this case? 16 Α Yes, there was. 17 And during the course of that investigation, did 18 there come a time when you began to look for anyone in 19 particular? 20 Α Yes, there was. 21 0 And who was that? 22 Α Enrique Rivera. Did you find out information regarding him or any 23 Q 24 of his family members? 25 Α Yes, I did.

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

- Q Did you learn where he resided?
- 2 A Yes, I did.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q I want to direct your attention now to February the 28th of 2005. Did there come a time when you received information regarding the whereabouts of Mr. Rivera?
- A Yes, I did.
 - Q And about what time was it that you received this information?
 - A Approximately 3 a.m.
- 10 Q On February 28th?
- 11 A On February 28th.
- 12 Q Where did you go?
- 13 | A | I went to 117 dash --
- 14 THE WITNESS: Let me just refresh my memory,
 15 if you don't mind.
- 16 THE COURT: You may.
- 17 A I believe it was 172 dash 18 Everington Avenue in 18 Flushing, Queens.
- 19 | Q Did you go there with anyone?
- 20 A Yes, I did.
- 21 | Q Who did you go with?
- 22 A Detective Gaynor from Brooklyn South.
- Q Can you describe for us the location of 172-18
- 24 Everington Avenue in Flushing, New York?
- 25 A It was a private house.

359 DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU About what time did you arrive at that location? 1 0 2 Approximately 4:20. Α 3 Q A.m. or p.m.? That's a.m. 4 Α 5 Did you knock on the door? 0 6 Yes, we did. Yes, I did. Α 7 Did anyone open the door? 0 8 Patricia Glasglow. Α 9 Q Did you have a conversation with Ms. Glasglow? 10 Yes, we did. Α What did you ask? What did you say? 11 0 12 I identified myself as a New York City detective, Α 13 showed them my identification, and asked her if she knew 14 where Enrique Rivera was. 15 And what happened next? 16 She opened the door, she said "He's right there on Α 17 the couch." I approached Enrique, asked him to step outside. 18 19 Q And did he do that? 20 Α Yes, he did. 21 Q And did you place him in handcuffs at that point? 22 Α Yes, I did. 23 Q Did you tell him why it was that you were -- I'm 24 sorry. What -- did you say anything to him? 25 Α Yes, I did.

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

- Q And what did you tell him?
 - A I told him I was investigating a incident that occurred in a bar in Sunset Park, Brooklyn.
 - O Did you ask him to come with you?
- A Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

15

16

23

24

- Q And did he come with you?
- 7 A Yes, he did.
 - Q I'm going to ask that you take a look around the courtroom today and see if you see the person that you took out of 172-18 Everington Avenue on February 28, 2005?
- 11 A Beige suit, blue tie (indicating).
- THE COURT: Indicating Mr. Rivera, the defendant.
- 14 MS. CHU: Thank you.
 - Q Now, how did you transport the defendant back to your precinct?
- 17 A In a patrol car.
- Q And once he was brought back to your precinct, where did you put him?
- 20 A In the 72 detective squad interview room.
- 21 Q Can you just describe for us the layout of the 22 72nd precinct, like where everything is?
 - A The precinct is located on Fourth Avenue and 30th Street in Brooklyn. The squad is located on the second floor and the interview room is closely located near the

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

- 1 | entrance of the door, maybe about thirty feet.
- Q So when you go up to the second floor, once you get into the detective squad, that's where the interview
 - A That's correct.
 - Q Yes?

room would be?

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

15

- A Yes.
- Q Once you brought him into the interview room, what did you do with him?
- A I uncuffed him and placed him in the interview room.
 - Q Did you leave him in there or did you stay in there with him?
- 14 A I left him in there.
 - Q And can you tell me about what time was it you guys arrived back at the precinct?
- 17 A About 5 a.m.
- 18 Q Now, did there come a time when you went back into 19 the room with Mr. Rivera?
- 20 A Yes, there was.
- 21 Q And did there come a time when you actually had a 22 conversation with Mr. Rivera?
- 23 A Yes, there was.
- 24 Q And were you alone or were you with anyone else?
- 25 A I was with Detective Gaynor.

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

- Q Now, before you spoke with the defendant, did you read him what are commonly known as Miranda Rights?
 - A Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- Q Can you tell us how was it you were able to read him the Miranda Rights?
 - A I read it off a preprinted Miranda Rights form.

MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, if I can have this marked People's Number 9 for identification.

THE COURT: Okay.

(At this time, the document was marked People's 9, for identification.)

- Q Detective Darino, do you recognize what's being shown to you as People's Number 9 for identification?
 - A Yes, I do.
 - Q What do you recognize that to be?
- 16 A The Miranda Warnings.
 - Q Are those the actual Miranda Warnings that were read to the defendant or is that the paper that you read from when you gave the defendant his Miranda Rights on February 28, 2005?
 - A Yes, it is.
 - Q Did you make any markings on the actual sheet at the time you read it to the defendant?
- 24 A Yes, I did.
 - Q What markings did you make on there?

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

A I placed my name, my shield number, location of the interview, the date, the time, and my signature.

Q Okay, and are those -- is that the actual paper that you read to the defendant when you read him his Miranda Rights?

A Yes, it is.

MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, I would offer that into evidence as People's Number 9.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. DRANOVE: No.

THE COURT: All right, then People's 9 would be received in evidence.

COURT OFFICER: So marked, your Honor.

Q Detective Darino, would you please demonstrate the matter in which you read the plaintiff his Miranda Rights and include any responses that he gave to the questions that you asked him?

A Sure.

"You have the right to remain silent."

MR. DRANOVE: I want the record to reflect the detective is reading from the form. I would like to see if he could do it without reading from it.

THE COURT: No, he's testifying that he's duplicating what he did before, which is that he read it from the form originally, isn't it?

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

THE WITNESS: That is correct.

MR. DRANOVE: My misunderstanding.

THE COURT: He's duplicating the way he did it before. So, you may continue reading.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

A "You have the right to remain silent and refuse to answer any questions. Do you understand?" Enrique answered "Yes" and he wrote "Yes" on the form after the question and initialed E.R.

- Q Did you ask him to do that?
- A Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Did you ask him to do it after you read him the first question?
 - A Yes, I did.
- Q Did you then go on to the second question?
- 16 A Yes, I did.
- 17 O You can continue.
 - A "Anything you do say may be used against you in a court of law. Do you understand?" He responded "Yes," wrote "Yes" on the form and initialed E.R.

Then I went to the next question: "You have the right to consult an attorney before speaking to the police and to have an attorney present during any questioning now or in the future, do you understand?" He answered "Yes," and wrote the initials E.R.

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

"If you cannot afford an attorney, one will be provided for you without cost. Do you understand?" He answered "Yes," and wrote E.R.

"If you do not have an attorney available, you have the right to remain silent until you have an opportunity to consult with one. Do you understand?" He wrote "Yes," and wrote E.R.

"Now that I've advised you of your rights, are you willing to answer any questions? He responded "Yes," and wrote E.R.

Then he wrote underneath that, "I understand my rights," and initialed E.R.

- Q After you had him enter in all his answers and put his initials as to each question, did you then have him sign the form itself?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q Did he do that in front of you?
- 18 A Yes, he did.

- 19 Q After he signed it, did you then sign it?
- 20 A Yes, I did.
 - Q You had mentioned -- did he answer yes to each of the questions and then write yes?
 - A He answered, he responded "Yes" orally and then wrote it down his answer "Yes."
 - Q And then he was asked to initial that yes as well,

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

1 | is that correct?

- A That is correct.
- Q Now, after you read the defendant his Miranda Rights, did he agree to speak with you?
 - A Yes, sir, he did.
 - Q Can you tell us how the conversation started?
- A I explained to him that a incident occurred at the El Borinquen Bar at 39th and Third Avenue and people had placed him in the bar, and I wanted to know what happened, his version of what happened.
- Q And how did he begin to talk? Was it more of a narrative or did you have to keep asking him questions?
 - A It was more of a narrative.
- 14 | Q What did he tell you?
 - A He said he went to the bar with his brother and a couple of his friends, and he said he went to get a few drinks. While he was at the bar, he was getting looks from a individual who was in the bar, and that individual said to him "What's up," and he responded "What's your problem?"

 And Enrique said that he felt grabbing and punching and he took out a knife.
 - Q I'm sorry, did he tell you where -- did he tell you who was grabbing or punching on him?
 - A He didn't know. And he took out his knife and he said he started swinging at the crowd, and he didn't know

367 DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU that he actually hit anybody or hurt anyone. So he left the 1 2 location, jumped in his car and left. 3 Now, after the defendant made the statement to 4 you, what did you do? 5 I asked him if he would be willing to write it 6 down. 7 And what did he say? Q 8 Α He said yes. 9 0 Did you provide him with paper and pen to do that? 10 Yes, I did. Α 11 Did you have to exit the room in order to get him 12 paper and pen at all? 13 No, I did not. Α 14 Q You actually had it with you? 15 Yes, I did. Α 16 Did you remain in the room while the defendant Q 17 wrote out a statement for you? 18 Yes, I did. Α 19 Now, when the defendant was giving you his oral 20 statement, did you take any notes at all? 21 Α No, I did not. 22 And how soon after he gave the oral statement did 23 he then begin to write for you a written statement? 24 Α Right after that.

Now, what time was it about that you read him his

25

Q

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

1 | Miranda Rights?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

- A Approximately 5:15 a.m.
 - Q Now, once he began to write out his statement, did you have any conversations with him while he was actually writing it out?
 - A No, I did not.
 - Q Did there come a time when he actually finished or completed his written statement?
 - A Yes, there was.
 - Q And did you review it at all?
- 11 A Yes, I did.
 - Q And when you reviewed it, did you ask him to do anything once you reviewed it?
 - A Yes. I told him that I would like him to initial where he lined out on the form, put his initials next to it.
 - Q Meaning any where he crossed out something?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q He was to initial it?
- 19 A That's correct.
 - Q And you said that there were no conversations that were had between you and him when he was actually writing that out for you?
- 23 A That is correct.
- Q Did you have a chance to review it while you were talking to him -- I'm sorry, after he wrote it out for you?

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

A Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q After he wrote it out for you, did you ask him to do anything else with the actual statement itself?

A I asked him to sign his name and I signed my name on it on the bottom.

- Q And did he initial where -- the areas where he had crossed out things?
 - A Yes, he did.

MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, if I could have this marked People's Number 10 for identification.

(At this time, the document was marked People's 10, for identification.)

- Q Detective Darino, looking at People's Number 10 for identification, do you recognize what's in front of you?
- A Yes, I do.
 - Q And what do you recognize that to be?
- 18 A It's Enrique's written statement.
 - Q Is that what he wrote out for you on February 28, 2005 while you were in the interview room with him?
 - A Yes, it is.

MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, I would offer it into evidence as People's Number 10.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. DRANOVE: May I have a voir dire?

	II 37
	DET. DARINO - VOIR DIRE/DRANOVE
1	THE COURT: Yeah.
2	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. DRANOVE:
4	Q Detective, on exhibit 9 the Miranda Warnings
5	exhibit in evidence, this handwriting including 0515 hours,
6	you see that on exhibit 9?
7	A Yes, I do.
8	Q Is there any indication on what's offered as
9	exhibit 10 of when the writing was made?
10	A May I refresh my memory?
11	THE COURT: Take a look at the document.
12	Q Of course.
13	A No.
14	MR. DRANOVE: No further questions at this
15	time.
16	THE COURT: Any objection to the exhibit
17	coming into evidence?
18	MR. DRANOVE: No.
19	THE COURT: Then People's 10 would be
20	received in evidence.
21	COURT OFFICER: So marked, your Honor.
22	CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. CHU:
24	Q Detective Darino, would you please read for us
25	what the defendant wrote when he was in the interview room

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

on February 28, 2005.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Sure.

"On the night of February 27, 2005, I, Enrique Rivera, went out for a few drinks. The bar was located at 39th Street and Third Avenue. While I was there having a few drinks I had a small confrontation with a guy. It was just words, but as the night goes on, I'm getting these eyes contacting," looks like that's what it says, "eyes contacted but nothing to it. Now, as I go to the bar to get my second round, the guy is still looking at me and I happen to look back at him. So he said "What's up?" and I asked him what seems to be the problem. And right away the crowd rose. Then I felt punches and grabbing. So I take out a knife, used it in self defense, swinging it at the crowd not knowing that I really hurt anyone. I got out of there, got in my car and went home. I didn't know someone was hurt. It was self defense. I didn't mean it. I was just scared. I know by saying sorry is not going to bring that person back, but I really didn't mean this to go down this way. I'm very sorry."

- Q And then he signed it?
- A And then he signed it.
- Q Now, Detective, did you ask the defendant anything else with regard to his written statement?
- A No.

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

- Q Did you ask him whether that was all that he wanted to say?
- A That is correct. I asked him if he had anything else he wanted to add that was different from what he told me orally and than what he wrote down on the statement. He said "No."
- Q Did you ask him anything else about making any other statements?
 - A Yes, I did.

- Q What did you ask him?
- A I asked him if he was willing to speak to the District Attorney's office.
- Q What was his response to that?
- 14 A He said "Yes."
 - Q Now, about what time was it that he finished writing this written statement for you, if you can recall?
 - A Approximately, based on the time of the Miranda Warnings and the oral statement, the written statement and our conversation, approximately an hour after that time. So around 6, 6:15, around there, approximately.
 - Q And after he told you that he wished to speak to the District Attorney's office, did you then -- did you remain in the room or the interview room with him or did you leave?
 - A I left.

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

Q Where did you go?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

- A I went into my squad area where I prepared my paperwork, my DD5s.
 - Q Now, what is a DD5?
 - A A DD5 is a New York City Police Department form where we document interviews and any investigatory steps that we take.
 - Q Okay. And did you prepare a DD5 in connection with what the defendant had told you initially before he actually wrote out a statement?
- 11 A Yes, I did.
 - Q And you said initially you had not taken any notes while he was giving you this oral statement?
 - A No, I did not take notes.
 - Q Did you use anything to help you remember what he had said to you when you were actually typing out the form?
- 17 A Yes, I did.
- 18 Q What did you use?
 - A Enrique's statement.
- 20 Q What he wrote out for you?
- 21 A That is correct, his written statement.
- 22 | Q I'm sorry?
- 23 A His written statement.
- Q Why did you use his written statement when you were preparing the DD5 regarding his oral statement?

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

1 MR. DRANOVE: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

- A Because I didn't take notes.
- Q Was the oral statement consistent with what he had written for you earlier on?
 - A Yes, it is.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Now, did there come a time when you contacted the District Attorney's office to have them arrange for someone to come speak with him?
 - A Yes, I did.
- Q Do you recall about what time it was that you called to have someone come?
- A It was after the completion of the interview, somewhere between 8 and 9 a.m., because that's when all the district attorneys usually come in the office that I would be able to contact anybody.
- Q And did there come a time when an assistant district attorney actually came and spoke with the defendant?
 - A Yes, there was.
- Q About what time did the assistant district attorney arrive at your precinct?
 - A Approximately 10 a.m., I believe.
- Q And who was it that arrived?
 - A A.D.A. Cyprus.

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

- Q Were you present when she spoke with the defendant?
 - A Yes, I was.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

- Q And was this conversation recorded in any way?
- 5 A Yes, it was videotaped.
 - Q Now, before you actually -- I'm sorry, before the assistant district attorney arrived at your precinct, did you make arrangements to have a line-up conducted in this case?
- 10 A Yes, I did.
 - Q Did you contact witnesses in order to get them to come to look at the line-up?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - Q Did you make arrangements with them with regard to -- about the time the line-up would take place?
- 16 A Yes, I did.
- 17 \ Q And what arrangements did you make?
- A Based on interviewing, as well as speaking to the people on the phone, we determined the best time would be in the afternoon.
- Q And what time was it that you actually contacted them?
 - A It was in the morning. I do not recall the time.
- Q Now, can you just tell us who it was that you contacted?

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

- A It was -- the individuals' names?
- 2 Q Yes, please.

- A It was Jonathan Dominguez, Mr. Solomon, Carlos Solomon, and Enrique Navarette.
- Q Now, can you describe for the members of the jury what is a line-up?
- A A line-up consists of six individuals, it's the subject and five other individuals that fit similar characteristics as the subject, and they're placed in the same room together where we -- they hold up placards with numbers on it.
 - Q Now, who was the subject of this line-up?
- 13 A It was Enrique Rivera.
 - Q And are the other persons that in on the line-up known as fillers?
- 16 A That is correct.
 - Q Where did you arrange to get the fillers for this line-up from?
 - A Two individuals were from the neighborhood and the other three individuals were police officers from the precinct.
 - Q And did you make any arrangements with the police officers with regard to where they were to stay so that they weren't roaming around the precinct before the line-up actually took place?

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

1 A Yes, I did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

- Q And where did you have them stay?
 - A In the police officers' locker room, and it was located in the basement of the precinct.
 - Q Now, did there come a time when the witnesses arrived at the precinct?
 - A Yes, there was.
 - Q And once they arrived at the precinct, did you then bring them up to the second floor where your detective squad is?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - Q And did you bring -- I'm sorry, where did you put them once you were upstairs on the second floor?
 - A They were placed in separate offices on the second floor.
 - Q Did any of the witnesses have to pass by where the defendant was being held in that interview room?
- A No.
 - Q Did the defendant remain in the interview room from the time he was brought into the precinct until the time the line-up actually occurred?
- 22 A Yes, he did.
 - Q When did you actually get the two individuals, the two fillers that were not police officers? Did you get them before or after the witnesses arrived at the precinct?

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

- A It was prior to the witnesses arriving.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A They were placed in the interview room.
- Q Now, they didn't -- I'm sorry. Withdrawn.

The witnesses did not have to go by the interview room at all when they were secluded in their rooms?

- A That's correct.
- Q Did you give the instruction to the witnesses as to not wandering in the precinct?
- 10 A I explained to them that they had to stay in the 11 room that they were in.
 - Q Now, did there come a time when you assembled the line-up to begin?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - Q What position was the defendant in in this line-up?
 - A Position number four.
 - Q And how was it that the defendant came to be in position number four?
 - A He was given the opportunity to choose a number and Enrique chose number four.
 - Q Once he chose number four, what did you do with the other fillers?
 - A I had them sit accordingly and handed out the numbers randomly to the people.

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

- Q According to where they were sitting?
- 2 A Where they were sitting, right.
 - Q Did you take any photographs of this line-up?
 - A Yes, I did.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q And how was it -- I'm sorry, what kind of camera did you use?
- A It was a Polaroid camera.

MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, if I can have this marked as People's 11 for identification.

THE COURT: Exhibit 11.

- Q Can you -- I'm sorry, Detective Darino, do you recognize the photos that's in front of you?
- 13 A Yes, I do.
 - Q What do you recognize that to be?
 - A That's the Polaroid photographs that were taken at the time of the line-up.
 - Q Do those photographs fairly and accurately depict how the line-up appeared when the lineups were done on February 28, 2005?
 - A Yes, it does.
 - MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, I would offer them into evidence as People's Number 11.
 - THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. DRANOVE: No.
- 25 THE COURT: All right, then People's 11 will

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

- be received in evidence and shown to the jury.
- 2 COURT OFFICER: So marked, your Honor.
- 3 (At this time, the photograph is being
- 4 displayed to the jury.)
- 5 THE COURT: You want to ask him anything
- about this while it's on the screen or not?
- 7 Q You said the defendant was in position number
- 8 | four?
- 9 A That's correct.
- 10 Q Why was it that you took two photographs?
- 11 A The Polaroid camera I was using, I couldn't get
- 12 | the whole line-up in one photo.
- 13 | O All six?
- 14 | A Yes.
- 15 Q So you took three and three?
- 16 A That is correct.
- MS. CHU: Thank you very much.
- 18 THE COURT: We can take down the exhibit.
- 19 \ Q \ Who viewed the line-up first?
- 20 A It was Enrique Navarette.
- 21 | Q And what time did Mr. Navarette view the line-up?
- 22 A 4:20 p.m.
- 23 | Q And can you tell me what did you tell
- 24 Mr. Navarette, without telling us what he said back to you?
- 25 A I told him that he was going to view a line-up,

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

- that he was to look at the line-up, see if he recognized anyone. If he did recognize anyone, where did he recognize that person from and what did they do.
 - Q You asked him to tell you what number it was?
- A That is correct.
 - Q Did Mr. Navarette view the line-up?
- A Yes, he did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q After he viewed the line-up, where did he go?
- 9 A He exited the side exit door.
- 10 Q And was he allowed to speak at all with
- 11 Mr. Solomon or Mr. Dominguez?
- 12 A No, he was not.
- 13 | Q Who viewed the line-up next?
- 14 | A Carlos Solomon.
- 15 \ Q And what time did he view the line-up?
- 16 A 4:21 p.m.
- Q Now, let me ask you this: Before Mr. Solomon
 actually viewed the line-up, did you give the defendant an
 opportunity to change his position?
- 20 A Yes, I did.
- 21 \ Q And did he do it?
- 22 A No. He chose to stay in position number four.
- 23 \ Q Now, you said Mr. Solomon was next?
- 24 A That's correct.
- 25 | Q Did you give him the same instruction that you had

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

- 1 | given to Mr. Navarette?
- 2 A Yes, I did.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

- Q And did Mr. Solomon view the line-up?
- A Yes, he did.
 - Q After he viewed the line-up, what did you do with him?
 - A He exited the side exit door.
 - Q And was he allowed to speak to Mr. Dominguez before Mr. Dominguez viewed the line-up?
- 10 A No.
 - Q Did you then afford the defendant a opportunity to change his position at this point?
- 13 A Yes, I did.
 - O And did he choose to do so?
- 15 A No, he chose to stay in position number four.
- Q Now, who looked at the line-up next after
- 17 Mr. Solomon?
 - A It was 4:23 p.m. It was Jonathan Dominguez.
- Q After Mr. Dominguez looked at the line-up, did you give him the same instruction you had given to Mr. Solomon
- 21 | and Mr. Navarette?
- 22 A Yes, I did.
- Q After he was done with the line-up, what did
 you -- withdrawn.
- 25 What did you do with him next?

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

- A I asked him to exit the side door.
- Q Now, was the defendant afforded any opportunity to eat or drink anything while he was at the precinct?
 - A Yes, he was.

- Q And what and when did you give him something to eat?
 - A He ate at 9 a.m., and I believe -
 THE WITNESS: If you don't mind, just to refresh my memory?

THE COURT: You may.

- A At 9 a.m. he had a egg and cheese on a roll and a coffee, and at 3 p.m. he had three slices of pizza and a bottle of Sprite. And throughout the time of his stay, he had water and coffee throughout the day, and use of the restroom.
- Q Now, did you ever threaten the defendant before he made his oral or written statements to you?
 - A No, I did not.
- Q Now, during the course of your investigation, did you learn whether or not his brother Julio Rivera was at the bar, the El Borinquen Bar on the night of February 27, 2005?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - Q Did you ever speak with his brother?
- 24 A Yes, I did.
 - Q And what time was it that his brother was spoken

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

1 | to?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A It was approximately 1:20 a.m. on the 27th.
- Q And how was it that he came to be at the precinct?
- A He voluntarily came back to the 72 precinct with Detectives Heyward and Hopkins, I believe.
- Q Why were Detectives Heyward and Hopkins at Mr. Rivera's residence?

MR. DRANOVE: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled. If you know.

A It was because we believed Enrique may be in the house with --

MR. DRANOVE: I renew my objection.

THE COURT: I'll allow it. You may finish your answer.

- Q You were looking for the defendant?
- A That is correct.
- Q Now, while Mr. Rivera was at the precinct, is that when you actually got the information about Enrique Rivera's whereabouts about 3 a.m.? That's what you told us before?
 - A Yes.
- Q Did you tell Mr. Rivera, Julio Rivera, anything about being audio-taped by the District Attorney's office?
- A No.
- Q I'm sorry, Julio Rivera?
- 25 A I'm sorry, I thought you said Enrique. Julio,

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

1 | yes, I did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

- Q What arrangements did you make with regard to the audio-taping?
- A I told him it was going to be a while that we were going to audiotape, and if he wanted to, if he was willing to do that, and I asked him what he wanted to do and he said he was willing to stay in the precinct.
 - Q Where did he stay in the precinct?
 - A He stayed in the squad, detective squad's kitchen.
- Q In the kitchen?
 - A Yes.
- O Is there a door on this room?
- 13 A There's no door on that room.
- 14 Q Is there a lounge or couch in that room?
 - A There's two leather recliners and a television, typical kitchen stuff, refrigerator, toaster.
 - Q Did you check on him throughout the course of the morning?
 - A He was resting, and in passing I saw him resting.
 - Q When you passed the room?
- 21 A That's correct.
 - Q Now, at the time that you spoke with the defendant, did you ever give him any indication that his brother Julio was at the precinct?
- 25 A No.

DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU

- Q Did you ever give any indication to the defendant anything that the witnesses had told you with regard to what they had seen at the time of the incident?
 - A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

19

20

22

23

24

- Q Other than Edgar Ojeda, was anyone else injured during this altercation at the bar?
 - A No.
- Q Did the defendant have any injuries on him when he was apprehended on February 28, 2005?
- A No.
 - Q Do you know what pedigree information is?
- 12 A Yes, I do.
- 13 Q What is it?
 - A It is a person's name, date of birth, address, and basic description of the individual, height, weight.
- 16 And how is it that you obtained that information?
- A By asking the defendant and placing it on the online booking sheet.
 - Q So basically whatever they tell you is what you write?
- 21 A That's correct.
 - Q What was the height and weight that the defendant gave for you?
 - A Five, eleven, 160 I believe.
 - Q Did he tell you where he lived?

387 DET. DARINO - DIRECT/CHU Yes, he did. 1 Α 2 Where was that? 30 Bush Street. 3 Α Now, were you familiar with your investigation of 4 5 a name that came up of Jahaira? 6 Α Yes. 7 Did you learn if she had any relationship with any 8 people in this case? 9 Yes, I did. Α 10 What was that? 0 MR. DRANOVE: Objection. It's all hearsay. 11 12 THE COURT: Sustained. 13 MS. CHU: I have nothing further. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay, Detective, I'm going to ask 14 15 you to step down. We're going to have a recess. Don't 16 discuss the case. 17 Counsel, you want to approach, please? 18 Excuse me for a moment, ladies and gentlemen. (At this time, there was a sidebar held off 19 20 the record.) 21 THE COURT: Okay, after conferring with 22 counsel, we've decided to recess the trial for the 23 cross examination of the witness until Monday, if you have no objection. I just want to make sure it's okay 24 25 with you, of course. You okay with that?

COLLOQUY

1 THE JURY: Uh huh.

THE COURT: That means you have the rest of the day off, and we'll give you off Saturday and Sunday as well since you're such a nice group. That's the least I can do. The very least.

All right, we're going to resume the trial on Monday morning at 10 o'clock. And the schedule is that we expect to complete testimony by Tuesday and you'll get the case as I promised on Wednesday for your decision. That's the schedule barring any unforeseen problems. So don't discuss the case. Enjoy the rest of your day, have a very nice weekend, and return on Monday at 10 a.m., okay.

Have a nice weekend.

(At this time, the jury exited the courtroom.)

THE COURT: The trial is in recess until Monday morning at 10 a.m.

MR. DRANOVE: Your Honor, two points.

First, I failed to offer in evidence the exhibit that I questioned the witness from, the witness Razzano which was page five. I would like to know if there was any objection to that going in?

THE COURT: Ms. Chu.

MS. CHU: I have no objection.

COLLOQUY

- 1	~
1	MR. DRANOVE: I may have it enlarged as well.
2	And if I do, I'll bring it.
3	THE COURT: That would be marked as Defense
4	Exhibit A. That's the first defense exhibit at the
5	trial.
6	MR. DRANOVE: Can I have it back for the
7	weekend?
8	THE COURT: What we can do is make a
9	photocopy and we keep the original and give you a
10	photocopy.
11	MR. DRANOVE: Yes. And I don't know if the
12	Corrections Department would oblige, but I'd like to be
13	able to speak to my client. Now downstairs on the
14	third floor there is no privacy there. He's sitting
15	next to the next person being interviewed. Because
16	there's a solid lucite or plexiglass window, you have
17	to talk loudly. I would just like to be able to have,
18	maybe have 5 to 10 minutes of my client before he's
19	brought downstairs here in private.
20	THE COURT: I think we can accommodate that.
21	I don't think there's any problem.
22	MR. DRANOVE: That is great news.
23	THE COURT: We have a very accommodating
24	crew.

25

MR. DRANOVE: Very professional. Thank you

COLLOQUY very much. THE COURT: Okay. All right, they'll take care of that right now. (At this time, the proceedings were adjourned to May 11, 2009, Part 35, 10 o'clock.)

PROCEEDINGS

	PROCEEDINGS 392		
1	THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, your Honor.		
2	THE COURT: Good morning.		
3	COURT OFFICER: Your Honor, you're ready for		
4	the jury?		
5	THE COURT: Yes, we are.		
6	COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.		
7	COURT CLERK: Both sides waive the roll call?		
8	MS. CHU: Yes.		
9	MR. DRANOVE: Yes.		
10	COURT CLERK: Detective, you're reminded		
11	you're still under oath from Friday.		
12	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.		
13	THE COURT: Good morning.		
14	I want to apologize for the lengthy delay.		
15	It was beyond anyone's control. I'm going to have to		
16	make it up for you.		
17	When we left off last week, Detective Darino		
18	was on the stand. We had just finished his direct by		
19	Ms. Chu and I put over the cross examination until this		
20	morning. So I'm going to turn the floor over to		
21	defense counsel for cross examination.		
22	MR. DRANOVE: Thank you.		
23	THE COURT: You may proceed when you're ready		
24	with the cross examination.		
25	MR DRANOVE. Thank you.		

DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE

- 1 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 | BY MR. DRANOVE:

3

5

6

7

8

9

- Q Good morning, Detective.
- 4 A Good morning.
 - Q Just on a preliminary matter, on the night, I guess it was Sunday night of the 27th, going into Monday the 28th of February, 2005, did you meet a gentleman named Julio Rivera?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - Q During the course of your investigation?
- 11 | A Yes, I did.
- 12 Q In the precinct?
- 13 A That is correct.
- 14 Q The gentleman who you met whose name is Julio 15 Rivera, do you see him in this courtroom?
- 16 A Yes, I do.
- MS. CHU: Your Honor, may we approach?
- THE COURT: He's asking if he sees him in the
- 19 courtroom.
- 20 | Q Where do you see him?
- 21 THE COURT: You may answer.
- 22 A In the rear of the courtroom.
- 24 A Black leather jacket, brown shirt.
- 25 Q All right. Thank you.

	DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE			
1	THE COURT: You want to excuse him?			
2	MR. DRANOVE: You may step out.			
3	(At this time, Mr. Julio Rivera exited the			
4	courtroom.)			
5	Q On that night, your partner was Detective Gaynor,			
6	is that correct?			
7	MS. CHU: Objection. What night are we			
8	talking about?			
9	THE COURT: The same night he asked about.			
10	MR. DRANOVE: The only night I've asked about			
11	so far.			
12	THE COURT: I understood that.			
13	You may answer. Was your partner Detective			
14	Gaynor?			
15	A Detective Gaynor was one of my partners.			
16	Q You were in the homicide unit or detective's unit			
17	or which unit?			
18	A I was assigned to the 7-2 detective squad at the			
19	time, and Detective Gaynor was assigned to the Brooklyn			
20	South Homicide Squad.			
21	Q So in your parlance, who was assigned the			
22	investigation into the homicide involving Mr. Ojeda?			
23	A I was the assigned detective.			
24	Q Was this the first homicide investigation you were			

assigned?

DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE

- A As a detective, yes.
- Q Now, who was working with you on that investigation on Sunday the 27th from the detective squad?
- A Detective Rivera.
- Q Did there come a time when you were with Detective Rivera and the gentleman from the audience who you identified as Julio Rivera in the precinct that night?
- A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q Were you present when that individual was questioned?
- 11 A Yes.
 - Q Did there come a time during that questioning when you showed him a photo identification of the victim?
- 14 | A No.
 - Q Did Mr. Rivera, Detective Rivera show him a photo identification of the victim?
- 17 A Not that I'm aware of, no.
 - Q Do you have any notes about -- did you make any notes, written, typed or otherwise about what transpired in your presence with respect to Mr. Julio Rivera?
 - A No, I did not.
 - Q Were you present at any time when that gentleman, Mr. Julio Rivera, was questioned by the assistant district attorney named Sipress?
- 25 A Yes, I was.

DET. DARINO - C	ROSS/DRANOVE
-----------------	--------------

- 1 Q Approximately what time did that take place?
- THE WITNESS: Can I refresh my memory?
- THE COURT: You may.
 - A 1149 hours. That's 11:49 a.m.
- 5 Q On Monday the 28th?
 - A February 28th.
- 7 0 2005?

4

- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q At what time was that gentleman, Julio Rivera,
- 10 | first questioned in your presence in the precinct?
- 11 A It was approximately 1:25 a.m.
- 12 Q That would be Sunday morning, the 27th, is that
- 13 | correct?
- 14 A That's correct.
- 15 It would be the 28th actually, February 28th at
- 16 | 1:25 a.m.
- 17 Q Forgetting the number of the day, whether it's a
- 18 | Sunday or a Monday, if you remember?
- 19 A I don't recall the date.
- 20 Monday morning would be the 28th. So if it's the
- 21 28th, it would be 1:25 a.m. did you say?
- 22 A That's correct.
- 23 | Q Did you participate in any manner in causing
- 24 Mr. Julio Rivera to present himself in the precinct?
- MS. CHU: Objection.

397 DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE 1 THE COURT: Sustained as to the form of the 2 question. MR. DRANOVE: I'll try to rephrase it. 3 Did you bring Julio Rivera to the precinct? 4 5 No. Α Who brought him to the precinct? 6 7 Two detectives from Brooklyn South Homicide, 8 Detective Hopkins and Heywood. 9 Was Mr. Julio Rivera to your knowledge brought to the precinct in handcuffs? 10 Not to my knowledge. 11 12 When did you first see him at the precinct? 13 Α He was in the 7-2 detective squad. At what time? 14 Q Approximately 1:25, 1:20. 15 16 And did you participate in any questioning of Mr. Julio Rivera? 17 MS. CHU: Objection. Asked and answered. 18 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 19 20 Α Yes. Did Detective Rivera participate in any 21 22 questioning in your presence of Julio Rivera? 23 Yes, he did. At approximately what time did that questioning by 24 25 Detective Rivera conclude?

DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE

- 1 A It was approximately -- I don't know what time it concluded. I don't recall.
 - Q Do you have any recollection of whether you were in the room when Detective Rivera finished questioning Julio Rivera?
 - A I don't recall.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

- Q Do you recall being present at any time when Mr. Julio Rivera was told he can go home?
- A He left on his own.
- Q At 1:30 in the morning or 1:40 in the morning

 11 after you finished questioning him?
- 12 A It was upon completion of his audio-tape.
- Q Which was at 11, between 11:30 and 12 noon the next day, is that correct?
- 15 A That's correct.
 - Q He was questioned at about 1:30 and couldn't leave or didn't leave for at least another ten hours, is that right?
 - A He decided he wanted to stay in the precinct, that's correct, voluntarily.
 - Q That's your testimony, right?
- 22 A That is a fact.
- Q Did you -- were you present in the precinct when

 Detective Rivera and Mr. Julio Rivera discussed the homicide
- 25 of Mr. Ojeda?

			/
DET.	DARINO	- CROSS	/DRANOVE

- 1 A No, I wasn't.
- 2 Not at all?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

- A Can you rephrase that question?
- Q Yeah. I will.

Were you present -- I'll withdraw that.

Did you hear Detective Rivera discuss, for lack of a better phrase, the homicide in the bar on 39th Street?

A It was a conversation with Julio where he was told he was --

- Q Are you reading from something?
- A No, I'm not. Just looking down. If you like, I can close the folder.
- 13 Q Whatever makes you more comfortable.
 - A He was -- we spoke to Julio and we told him there was an incident that occurred at the bar and that someone had been killed.
 - Q And did you tell him that -- well, did you say that or Detective Rivera or don't you recall?
 - A I don't recall who said that.
 - Q This was at about 1:30 in the morning?
- 21 A That's correct.
 - Q And did he tell you "I had nothing to do with any killing in the bar" or words of that effect?
- MS. CHU: Objection.
- THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer it.

DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE

- A Not that I recall, no.
- Q Did he say he did kill somebody?
- 3 A Excuse me?

1

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Did he say "I did kill somebody?"
- 5 A No, he did not.
 - Q Was Detective Rivera assigned to the precinct detective squad or some other squad?
 - A The precinct detective squad.
 - Q Do you know if he made any notes of the interview with Julio Rivera?
 - A Not to my knowledge.
 - Q Do you have the case folder of the investigation into the killing of Mr. Ojeda with you?
 - A Yes, I do.
 - Q Would you just take a look in there and see if

 Detective Rivera made any -- if what you look at in there
 refreshes your recollection, I mean as to whether Detective
 Rivera made any notes of his interview with Julio Rivera?
 - A To my knowledge there is no notes from Detective Rivera in the case folder.
 - Q Were you present beyond the two occasions you discussed plainly, the first about 1:30 in the morning with Detective Rivera and Julio Rivera, and the second some time between 11:30 and noon of that Monday, assuming it's Monday, when Ms. Sipress took a statement from Mr. Julio Rivera when

401 DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE 1 Mr. Julio Rivera was again questioned? 2 Yes, I was. Α About what time did that take place? 3 4 Α I --5 THE WITNESS: Can I look at my notes? 6 Q Sure. 7 THE COURT: Yes. While you're doing that, there's a class that 8 9 they want to bring in here, so let them come in now. MR. DRANOVE: On a murder case? 10 11 THE COURT: Yes. They know. 12 MR. DRANOVE: I object. I want to go on the 13 record about this. THE COURT: You can do it at the next break. 14 15 MR. DRANOVE: I'm --16 THE COURT: This is a common practice in our 17 courts. We have classes coming in here every week and 18 they observe what goes on in our courts. It's a part 19 of our educational program. 20 MR. DRANOVE: Oh, they're getting an 21 education? 22 THE COURT: Did you refresh your memory? 23 MR. DRANOVE: Could you read back the 24 question please, and then we'll proceed, so everyone is

familiar with what the question was.

DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE

- 1 (At this time, the record was read.)
- Q About what time does that take place, referring to a third questioning of Mr. Julio Rivera?
 - A I don't recall three questionees.
 - Q So you recall two different times?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q Do you know where Mr. Julio Rivera was when Detective Rivera invited him, for lack of a more precise phrase, to accompany him to the precinct?
- 10 A 30 Bush Street, I believe.
- 11 O At home?

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

- 12 A That's correct.
- Q And two detectives removed him to the precinct, correct?
- 15 A That's what I was told, yes.
 - Q And about the time you spoke to Mr. Julio Rivera for the first time, about 1:30 in the morning, did you understand there were three suspects in this case?
- 19 A No, I did not.
- 20 | 0 Never?
- 21 A There was three witnesses that I wanted to speak 22 to. There was one suspect, and that was Enrique.
- 23 Q Really?
- 24 A That's correct.
- 25 Q Three witnesses. Was Enrique one of the

	DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE
1	witnesses?
2	A No, he was the suspect, the perpetrator.
3	Q Were you in the bar?
4	A No, I was not.
5	Q Did you see what happened?
6	A Based on my interview
7	Q Did you see what happened, sir?
8	MS. CHU: Objection, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: It calls for a yes or no answer.
10	Did you see what happened?
11	THE WITNESS: No, I did not.
12	Q Based upon your interview, isn't it a fact you
13	interviewed every witness you could and not a single one of
14	them saw a knife in my client's hand?
15	MS. CHU: Objection.
16	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
17	Q Yes or no?
18	A Can you repeat the question?
19	MR. DRANOVE: Please read back the question,
20	Ms. Reporter.
21	THE COURT: The question was based on your
22	interviews, isn't it a fact that no one saw a knife in
23	Mr. Rivera's hand?
24	A That is correct.
25	O Based upon your interviews, isn't it also a fact

DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE

- 1 | that nobody saw my client strike the victim in the back?
- 2 A That's correct.
 - Q And isn't it a fact that nobody, based upon your interviews, nobody saw my client stab the victim at all?
 - A That is correct.
 - Q And in your report did there come a time when -- strike that, for now.
 - Did you participate in a search of sewers?
 - A No, I did not.
 - Q Did anybody participate in a search of sewers?
- 11 | A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 12 Q Who was that?
- 13 A Detective --
- THE WITNESS: Can I refresh my memory with my notes?
- 16 0 Of course,
- 17 THE COURT: Yes.
- A Detective McCafferty and Detective O'Brian along
 with Detective Dunn.
- Q Were you there at the scene when the sewer was searched?
- 22 | A No.
- Q Did Police Officers Hersh and Lindsey conduct a sewer search of two sewers?
- 25 A I have to look at my notes.

	DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE		
1	Q Please do.		
2	Perhaps number 23?		
3	A That's correct.		
4	Q Were you present when the sewers were searched?		
5	MS. CHU: Objection, asked and answered.		
6	THE COURT: Sustained.		
7	Q Do you have any police reports that indicates the		
8	source of information that led to the search of two sewers?		
9	A No.		
10	Q Did Mr. Enrique Rivera ever tell you to search in		
11	a sewer?		
12	A No.		
13	Q Did he ever tell you he threw a knife in a sewer?		
14	A No.		
15	Q Did you have a confidential informant who was		
16	working with you or did work with you on this case?		
17	A Yes.		
18	Q Do you have any police reports that indicate that?		
19	A There's a DD5 saying that we received information		
20	from a confidential informant.		
21	Q May I see that?		
22	COURT OFFICER: (Handing.)		
23	Q Is it one page only? I don't want to		
24	A That's correct, one page.		
25	Q Is this one pink sheet which has a number 44 as a		

406 DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE follow up number the only sheet in which this reference to a 1 2 person known to the department is? 3 That is correct. 4 And is that the person you identify as the 5 confidential informant? 6 Α That's correct. 7 Does that sheet --8 Can you rephrase that question one more time when 9 you say "identifies?" 10 Well, you've shown me a form that talks about a person known to the department. 11 12 That's correct. 13 Is that a confidential informant? Yes, it is. 14 Α 15 Are there any reports that you have that indicates 16 anything about what the confidential informant saw? 17 MS. CHU: Objection. 18 THE COURT: Sustained. The confidential informant supposedly pointed out 19 20 two sewers, is that correct? 21 MS. CHU: Objection. 22 THE COURT: Sustained. What was found in the sewers of relevance to this 23 case? 24 There was nothing recovered. 25 Α

407 DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE What was the purpose of searching the sewers? 1 Q A knife, weapon was used in the commission of this 2 3 crime and we weren't able to locate the knife. So the 4 logical steps would be to look in the vicinity of the bar 5 which would be the sewer, the area of the bar, the vicinity of the bar. 6 7 The description of the sewer search was that there 8 were two sewers on the southeast corner of Third Avenue and 9 39th Street, correct? 10 Α Yes. 11 Did anyone point out these sewers as a place to 12 look? 13 Α No. Did you ever ask Mr. Rivera if he threw out the 14 Q 15 knife? 16 MS. CHU: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. 17 MS. CHU: Asked and answered. 18 19 Q Did he ever tell you he threw out a knife? 20 Α Yes, he did. 21 0 Did you believe he threw out a knife? 22 MS. CHU: Objection. 23 THE COURT: Sustained. 24 Q Did you ask him where he threw it? 25 Α Yes, I did.

408 DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE 1 Is that on videotape? Q 2 No. Α 3 Audio-tape? Q 4 Α No. 5 In your notes? 6 Α No. 7 Don't you think in the investigation of a murder 8 as the assigned detective keeping track of a description of where the alleged murder weapon is is something you would 9 reduce to writing? 10 MS. CHU: Objection. 11 12 THE COURT: Sustained. When my client made this, allegedly made this 13 Q statement telling you where he threw the knife, where was 14 he, my client? 15 16 Can you rephrase that question? Α Where was my client at the time he supposedly told 17 0 18 you where he threw the knife? In the 7-2 interview room. 19 Α 20 Q Was he being interviewed? 21 Α Yes. 22 Q Were you present? 23 Α Yes, I was. 24 And did you take any written notes about what he Q 25 was telling you?

ロだ山	DARTNO -	- CRUSS/	'DRANOVE

A No, I did not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

Q Were you aware of whether any other law enforcement personnel were in the room my client was in when you were interviewing him and you were not personally taking notes?

MS. CHU: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained as to the form of the question.

Q Who else was in the room with you when you were interviewing my client and you were not taking notes?

MS. CHU: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled. Was anyone else in the room?

- A Detective Gaynor.
- Q Do you have his -- strike that.

In your file which you brought with you, in there are there Detective Gaynor's notes?

- A No.
- Q Well, where are they?
- 20 A He did not take notes.

21 MS. CHU: Objection. Assumes a fact not in evidence.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q The two detectives did not take notes. About what time was this event where you did not take notes?

410 DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE 1 MS. CHU: Objection. THE COURT: Rephrase. You said two things 2 3 there. When did you first start questioning my client? 4 Approximately 5:15 a.m. 5 When -- and when did you stop questioning him with 6 7 respect to the questions that started at 5:15? 8 MS. CHU: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained as to form. 9 MR. DRANOVE: I'll try again. 10 How long were you questioning him when you started 11 12 at 5:15? He was read his Miranda Rights at approximately 13 Α 5:15. 14 Did you question him after that? 15 Yes, I did. 16 For how long did that process of questioning him 17 after you read him his Miranda Rights continue? 18 He was questioned and then he made a statement 19 orally and then written, and it took approximately an hour. 20 21 Now, there came a time -- strike that. 0 22 During that one hour period of time, did you record using a tape recording device the interactions 23 24 between you and my client?

No, I did not.

25

Α

411 DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE Was there a recording device in the precinct? 1 Q Not to my knowledge. I didn't check. 2 Α 3 Were you present when my client was videotaped? Q 4 Yes, I was. Α 5 Where did that videotape equipment come from? Q The district attorney's office. 6 Α 7 Now, is it your recollection that when you were in 8 the 7-2 precinct on February 28, 2005, there were no 9 recording devices? 10 MS. CHU: Objection. 11 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 12 Not to my knowledge. Α 13 MR. DRANOVE: May I have a moment, judge? 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15 MR. DRANOVE: It may take a while. I don't 16 want to interrupt now. 17 THE COURT: Whatever you want. 18 MR. DRANOVE: All right. 19 Did the source that you mentioned was the 20 confidential source view the line-up? 21 Α No. 22 Was this person who's the confidential source 23 someone who was supposedly present during the incident 24 involving Mr. Ojeda? 25 MS. CHU: Objection.

D 17 (F)	DADINO	00000	/DD A NIOTIE
DLT.	DARINO	- CROSS	/DRANOVE

1 THE COURT: Sustained.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Who, to your knowledge, spoke to the confidential source during the course of this investigation?

MS. CHU: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q Did you speak to the confidential source during the course of the investigation?

MS. CHU: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q In your police training and your training through the years, were you taught about the importance of taking notes of interviews with suspects?
 - A Can you rephrase that question?
- Q Well, when you went to the police academy, you learned how to be a police officer, correct?
 - A That's correct.
- Q You learned the importance of recordkeeping, right?
- 19 A That's correct.
 - Q Not only what time you checked in, what time you had lunch, but keeping notes of what you did, is that right?
 - A That is correct.
 - Q And in fact there's something called a memo book, is that right?
 - A That is correct.

- DET. DARINO CROSS/DRANOVE 1 What's a memo book? 0 It's a book that we maintain to show what jobs we 2 3 respond to. And that's to keep track of your schedule, right? 4 That is correct. 5 Now, did you learn at any time at any classes that 6 7 when you're interviewing a subject of an investigation you 8 should take notes of the interview? 9 Based on my experience, I felt it was better to listen to what Enrique had to say to me instead of being 10 distracted takings notes. 11 12 But you were not alone with Enrique, there was 13 another detective with you, Detective Gaynor, isn't that
 - correct?
 - That is correct. Α

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

- Haven't you learned in your experiences one detective takes the notes while the other does the questioning?
 - MS. CHU: Objection.
- When two detectives are present and another takes the interview --
- 22 THE COURT: Sustained. Argumentative.
 - Have you been present when in the presence of another detective you interview a subject and the other detective writes down the substance of the questions and

414 DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE 1 answers? 2 MS. CHU: Objection. 3 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. DRANOVE: Judge, I don't -- may we have a 4 5 sidebar? THE COURT: No. 6 7 Have you ever written down what a subject has told 8 you when being interviewed? 9 Α Yes. MS. CHU: Objection. 10 THE COURT: Sustained. 11 12 Did you do that in this case? 13 No, I did not. Α Is there a gentleman by the name of Angel Rivera 14 15 whose identity came to your attention between Sunday the 27th of February 2005 and Monday the 28th of February 2005? 16 17 MS. CHU: Objection. 18 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 19 Α Yes. 20 Do you understand him to be a brother of Julio 21 Rivera and Enrique Rivera? 22 Α Yes. Was he someone you saw at the precinct as well? 23 Q 24 Α No. Now, at about 5:15 in the morning your questioning 25 Q

I		415
		DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE
1	of my cli	ent began, is that correct?
2	A	That's correct.
3	Q	You told him you were investigating a incident in
4	the bar a	t 39th Street and Third Avenue?
5	А	That's correct.
6	Q	You told him there was a homicide there?
7	A	No.
8	Q	Murder?
9	A	No.
10	Q	Not at all?
11	А	No.
12	Q	Just an incident?
13	А	That is correct.
14	Q	And did you ask him if he knew why he was there?
15	А	Yes, I did.
16	Q	Did he tell you he wasn't sure?
17	А	No.
18	Q	Did he tell you that he was involved in a fight?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	Did he tell you he didn't hurt anybody?
21	A	He didn't know if he did.
22	Q	What did he say, he didn't know if he did?
23	А	That's correct.
24	Q	Did he tell you he didn't shoot anybody?
25		MS. CHU: Objection.

DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE

- 1 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
- 2 A Can you repeat that?
- THE COURT: Did he tell you he didn't shoot anybody?
 - Q Are you having troubling understanding? Did he tell you he didn't shoot anybody?
- 7 A I don't recall him saying that, no.
 - Q Did you tell him nobody was shot, it was a stabbing?
- 10 A No.

5

6

8

- 11 Q Did you tell him his brother Julio was in the 12 precinct?
- 13 | A No.
- Q Did you tell him his brother Julio was going to be charged with the murder and he was, Enrique was also going to be charged with the murder?
- 17 A No.
- Q Did you tell Enrique that both of you were going
 to be charged with the murder unless one of you says you did
 it?
- 21 A No.
- Q Did you tell Enrique "Your brother's never done time before, you have to say it's self defense, you'll only get eight to ten years and we'll let your brother go?"
- 25 A No.

417 DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE Did you tell my client that you knew that his 1 2 brother was in the bar fighting? 3 Α No. Did you tell my client that you knew that his 4 5 brother was in the bar after Mr. Enrique Rivera had left the bar? 6 7 Α No. 8 How long did it take Mr. Enrique -- strike that. 9 Strike that. 10 When you went to the house in Queens of Ms. Glasgow, it was 4 in the morning or so, is that correct? 11 12 That's correct. And you handcuffed Mr. Rivera outside the house, 13 Q 14 am I right? That's correct. 15 Α Was he under arrest at that time? 16 0 17 Yes, he was. Α 18 Did you tell him he was under arrest? Q 19 Α No. 20 Once he's under arrest -- strike that. 21 No one had identified him in the line-up, am I 22 right, at that time, correct? 23 Α Yes. 24 He'd given you no statement at all, whatsoever, at 25 4 in the morning, am I also correct?

DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE

- A That's correct.
- Q And he's under arrest?
- A Yes, he is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

19

20

- Q Did you bring him to central booking after you arrested him?
- A Later in the evening after all the identifications were made, yes.
 - Q Well, he had already been arrested, am I right?
 - A He was placed in handcuffs, that's correct.
 - Q You said he was arrested?
- 11 A He was arrested, yes.
- 12 Q Now, did you have a warrant for his arrest?
- MS. CHU: Objection.
- 14 THE COURT: Sustained.
 - Q Once he was arrested, when is the first time -- strike once he was arrested.
- When was it that you first told Mr. Rivera he was under arrest?
 - A When he was being transported. I'm sorry, when he was being transported to central booking.
- 21 Q That's about how many hours after you arrested 22 him?
- A Late in the evening, approximately 5, 1700.
 That's 5 p.m.
 - Q So approximately eleven or twelve hours after

DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE

- 1 | arresting him you told him he was under arrest?
- 2 A That's correct.
 - Q Did he appear tired to you when you brought him into the precinct?
 - A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q And you brought him into what you call the interview room or interrogation room?
 - A Interview room, that's correct.
 - Q The interview room has bare walls, correct?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 0 Cinder block walls?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Any couches in the room?
- 14 | A No.
- 15 Q Any chairs with cushions in the room?
- 16 | A No.
- 17 Q Any windows to the outside world in that room?
- 18 | A No.
- Q Did you leave him alone in that room for any period of time before you started questioning him?
- 21 A A short time.
- Q When did you first -- when did you take the handcuffs off?
- 24 A When he was brought into the precinct.
- Q When you put him in the interview room, was he

	DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE
1	A Yes, he did.
2	Q And to whom did he say that?
3	
	A Me.
4	Q Do you have any notes about that?
5	A No, I do not.
6	Q Do you have any handwritten notes about any aspect
7	of this investigation?
8	A Yes, I do.
9	Q Where are they?
10	A In the folder.
11	Q Would you show them, with the Court's permission
12	to the prosecution, and then let me take a look?
13	THE COURT: He can show them to you right
14	now.
15	COURT OFFICER: Which one?
16	THE WITNESS: It's the whole book?
17	COURT OFFICER: The whole book?
18	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
19	COURT OFFICER: (Handing.)
20	MR. DRANOVE: Judge, may we approach?
21	THE COURT: Okay. Excuse us for a moment.
22	MR. DRANOVE: Thank you.
23	(At this time, a sidebar was held off the
24	record.)
25	Q You were present when Mr. Rivera wrote out a
-	

DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE

1 | statement, correct?

2

3

5

- A Yes, I was.
 - Q He was clearly tired, am I right?
- 4 A He look tired.
 - Q He was tired when you put him in this cinder block room, am I right?
- 7 A Yes.
- MR. DRANOVE: I just want to get an exhibit,
- 9 number 10. Where is exhibit number 10?
- 10 THE COURT: Talking about the written
- 11 statement?
- MR. DRANOVE: Yes.
- COURT OFFICER: Do you want it, counsel?
- 15 Q Please, take a look at 10. You testified about 16 that on Friday.
- That's the written statement Mr. Rivera made, correct?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q That was made at about what time?
- 21 A After the Miranda Warnings were read.
- 22 Q About 5:15 in the morning?
- A After the completion of the Miranda Warnings, sometime after that.
- 25 Q Between 5:15 and 6 in the morning?

DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE

- A 5:15, whatever it took to read the Miranda Warnings.
 - Q He just immediately wrote out this statement?
- A He gave a oral statement and then wrote it out.
- Q No prompting from you?
- A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

13

19

- Q No suggestions from you to say self defense?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Now, he misspelled the word Enrique on this
 10 Exhibit 10, his own name, is that correct?
- 11 A It looks like he spelled it correctly. It looks
 12 like the Q, he flipped it the other way.
 - Q He wrote a G instead of a Q?
- 14 A That's how he wrote it.
- 15 Q Did you see him write E-N-R-I-G-U-E?
- 16 MS. CHU: Objection, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Sustained as to form of the question.
 - Q Were you present throughout the time Mr. Rivera was writing this statement?
- 21 A Yes, I was.
- Q Did you see him cross out his own first name and then rewrite it?
- A I didn't know what he was crossing out. He did it on his own. I wasn't reading while he was writing.

DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE

- Q Did you ask him to write on this Exhibit 10 the time he made his statement?
 - A No, I did not.

Q Is it your common practice to not note on the statement the time it is written?

MS. CHU: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

A At the time, I asked him to write his written statement and it wasn't written down, so common practice or not, I don't have a common practice.

Q When you were in the process of your interviewing of Mr. Rivera after 5 in the morning, had you already received any information about the nature of the wounds to the victim, information from for example Officer Harriman or the hospital?

A Yes.

Q Do you have any notes about what information you had in your possession at the time you started questioning Mr. Rivera?

THE WITNESS: May I look at my DD5?

THE COURT: Yes.

- Q Do you have any?
- A I have a DD5 from Detective Seroute (ph) stating the interview with the doctor at Lutheran Medical Center, Dr. Moon (ph).

DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE

- So, did you understand the victim had more than one stab wound in him?
- Yes. Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

19

20

21

- Did you at any time, did you at any time ask my client if he stabbed anyone?
 - Yes, I did. Α
 - Is that recorded on a videotape, for example?
- Yes, it was a interview with Enrique on a 8 9 videotape.
- 10 And you were present?
- For the interview? 11 Α
- 12 Yes.
- 13 That's correct. Α
- And you asked him if he stabbed somebody? 14
- 15 No, I didn't. Α
- 16 Did the prosecutor in your presence ask him if he 17 stabbed anyone?
- 18 I don't recall.
- MR. DRANOVE: Judge, can I have about -- I could finish before lunch if you give me a brief break. I'm just going to look for something. I don't want to burden everybody, but if you want me to look right 23 here, I --
- 24 THE COURT: Whatever you want. If you want 25 me to excuse the jury --

426 DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE MR. DRANOVE: Yes. 1 THE COURT: Counsel has asked me to excuse 2 3 you for a moment while he looks through his papers. As 4 soon as he's finished, we'll resume. Thank you. 5 (At this time, the jury exited the 6 courtroom.) 7 (At this time, there was a short break in the proceedings and the matter subsequently resumed.) 8 THE COURT: You all set? 9 10 MR. DRANOVE: Yes. THE COURT: Okay, we're ready to bring the 11 12 jury in. Thank you. 13 COURT OFFICER: Your Honor, you're ready for the jury? 14 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 COURT OFFICER: Jury entering. 17 THE COURT: Okay. COURT CLERK: Both sides waive the roll call? 18 19 MS. CHU: So waived. 20 MR. DRANOVE: Yes. 21 THE COURT: You may proceed Mr. Dranove. 22 CONTINUED CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. DRANOVE: 23 24 Did there come a time when you told Enrique Rivera

you were investigating a murder?

- DET. DARINO CROSS/DRANOVE 1 No. Α 2 Do you recall testifying in a prior proceeding, 3 yes or no? 4 Α Yes. 5 Do you recall, page 283, 284, line 2 being asked 6 the following questions and giving the following answers: 7 "QUESTION: Did you at any time tell my client he 8 was facing serious charges? "ANSWER: Yes, I did." 9 10 Do you remember that? 11 Α That is correct. 12 "QUESTION: What charges did you tell him he was 13 facing? "ANSWER: Murder." 14 15 "QUESTION: That was after he was arrested, 16 identified, and paper was submitted and he was fingerprinted? 17 18 "ANSWER: Yes, he was, not during the time of the interview." 19 20 Let me put this into a context. 21 Do you recall being questioned in another 22 proceeding about the transactions, the words spoken between 23 you and my client when he made his oral statement? 24
- Α Yes, I do.
- 25 MR. DRANOVE: Judge, I'll move on.

DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE

- Q Now, Detective, did my client ever admit he stabbed anyone?
 - A He said he didn't know if he did or didn't.
 - Q Did my client ever admit stabbing anyone?

 MS. CHU: Objection, asked and answered.
 - THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer it.
 - A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

25

- Q Did you put into an official report that at 5:15 in the morning, Enrique Rivera, after being advised of his rights made an admission to stabbing the victim in this case?
- 12 | A Based --
 - Q Did you put that in a report?
- 14 A Yes, I did.

close quotes.

- Q That was your conclusion, not what my client said, is that right?
- A It wasn't a conclusion. It was based on the fact.
- 18 Q But the fact is, correct me if I'm wrong, the fact
 19 is you wrote, "Enrique Rivera, after being advised of his
 20 rights made an admission to stabbing the victim in this
 21 case?" And I'm going to repeat it with the quotes in place.
 22 Open quote, On February 28th 2005 at approximate 0515 hours
 23 Enrique Rivera after being advised of his rights made an
 24 admission to stabbing the victim in this case, period,

DEI. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE	DET.	DARINO	-	CROSS	/DRANOVE
-----------------------------	------	--------	---	-------	----------

- 1 MS. CHU: Objection, asked and answered.
- 2 | Q It's a fact that you wrote that?
- MS. CHU: Objection, asked and answered.
- 4 | THE COURT: I'll allow it. Overruled.
 - Q Is it a fact, yes or no, that you put in an official report, that you put in an official report the quoted words I just read to you?
 - A Yes, I did.

6

7

8

9

- Q And clearly that's your conclusion, not Mr. Rivera's words, is that correct?
- 11 A Based on the fact --
- 12 MS. CHU: Objection.
- 13 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
- 14 MR. DRANOVE: I'm going to withdraw that,
- 15 judge. Let me --
- 16 | THE COURT: So you don't have to answer it.
- 17 MR. DRANOVE: Thank you.
- 18 THE COURT: Question withdrawn. Next
- 19 question.
- 20 Q My client never admitted stabbing the victim in 21 this case, correct?
- 22 MS. CHU: Objection, asked and answered.
- 23 THE COURT: Sustained. It's already been
- 24 | asked and answered.
- 25 MR. DRANOVE: All right.

430 DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE 1 Now, isn't it true that my client in his words did 0 not say he pulled out a knife and swung the knife at the 2 3 victim? MS. CHU: Objection. 4 5 THE COURT: Sustained. 6 Before my client was interviewed by Ms. Sipress, 0 7 did you speak with Ms. Sipress? 8 Α Yes, I did. 9 And Ms. Sipress is an assistant district attorney, 10 correct? 11 Yes, she is. Α 12 Did you show her the reports you prepared prior to 13 that time? Yes, I did. 14 Α 15 Did you show her my client's written statement? 16 Yes, I did. Α Did you show her the hospital reports showing the 17 18 victim's wounds and the words of the doctor who reported 19 them? 20 She saw the photographs. 21 Did she at any time ask my client any questions in 22 your presence before or after the videotape was on? 23 Α No. 24 Were you present when my client was read his

Miranda Warnings and the videotape was running?

431 DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE Yes, I was. 1 Α 2 Did you hear my client say "What's going on?" 3 Α Yes. 4 Was there a videotape operator in the room at the 5 time? 6 Α Yes, there was. 7 Ms. Sipress, the prosecutor, and yourself as well, 8 correct? 9 That is correct. Α 10 And he said "What's going on?" 0 Yes, that's correct. 11 Α 12 How did he appear to you at that time with respect 13 to his energy level? 14 MS. CHU: Objection. 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 Did he appear even more tired at that hour than he 17 had at 5:15? 18 MS. CHU: Objection. 19 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 20 I don't recall. It was a while ago looking at the Α 21 video. 22 Was he kept in that cinder block wall 23 interrogation room after you questioned him through the time 24 he was videotaped? 25 Α Yes.

DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE

- Q Do you recall that when the warnings were -- the Miranda Rights were read to him and the prosecutor asked him if he wants to make a statement, do you recall what his answer was?
 - MS. CHU: Objection.
 - THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
- A I don't know exactly. It's like "I'm here, we're here," something along in that effect.
- Q And do you recall whether the prosecutor repeated the question, "Do you want to make a statement?"
 - A I don't recall the context of the conversation.
- Q Do you recall if Mr. Rivera had any wounds on his hands or recent injuries on his hand visible to you?
- A Not that I recall.
 - Q Do you recall -- well, have you seen the video tape in the last 48 hours?
- 17 A Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

- Q You seen my client put his hands on his head in the videotape?
- 20 A I didn't study the tape. I don't remember.
- 21 Q You agree his hands are visible on the tape, 22 right?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q And you didn't notice any injuries on him that you put in any of your reports, am I right?

DET. DARINO - CROSS/DRANOVE

A That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q Are you aware he said on the tape he had a pocket knife, correct?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q And that he opened the pocket knife, correct?
- A That's correct.
 - Q Are you familiar with the medical examiner's testimony describing the blade that entered the body of Mr. Ojeda?
- 10 MS. CHU: Objection.
- 11 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 12 MR. DRANOVE: No further questions.
- 13 THE COURT: Any redirect?
- 14 MS. CHU: No.
- THE COURT: Thank you very much, Detective
- Darino. You are excused. You may step down from the
- 17 | witness stand.
- 18 (At this time, the witness exited the
- 19 courtroom.)
- 20 THE COURT: You may call your next witness
- 21 Ms. Chu.
- 22 MS. CHU: People call Jennifer Sipress.
- 23 (At this time, the witness entered the
- 24 courtroom.)
- 25 COURT SERGEANT: Step up and raise your right

$\alpha \alpha \tau$	T (\wedge	777
(() L	ьLС)()	1 Y
\sim	\sim	\sim	J

	COLLOQUY 434		
1	hand.		
2	COURT CLERK: Solemnly swear the testimony		
3	you are about to give will be the truth, the whole		
4	truth, and nothing but the truth?		
5	MS. SIPRESS: I do.		
6	COURT CLERK: Be seated, please.		
7	State your name.		
8	MS. SIPRESS: Jennifer Sipress,		
9	S-I-P-R-E-S-S.		
10	COURT CLERK: And your employer and your		
11	title.		
12	MS. SIPRESS: I work for the Kings County		
13	District Attorney's office. I'm an assistant district		
14	attorney.		
15	COURT CLERK: Thank you.		
16	THE COURT: You may examine the witness		
17	Ms. Chu.		
18	MS. CHU: Thank you.		
19	JENNIFER SIPRESS, having been duly sworn,		
20	testified as follows:		
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
22	BY MS. CHU:		
23	Q Good afternoon Ms. Sipress.		
24	A Good afternoon.		
25	Q How long have you been working for the Kings		

- SIPRESS DIRECT/CHU County District Attorney's Office? 1 2 Α Almost ten years. Where are you currently assigned? 3 The investigation bureau. 4 5 How long have you been in that unit, the 0 investigation bureau? 6 7 About eight, eight years. 8 Can you just explain to the members of the jury what are your duties and responsibilities as a member of the 9 investigation unit? 10 I travel to precincts to audiotape witnesses and 11 12 take videotaped statements of defendants. 13 And I want to direct your attention to February 0 the 28th of 2005. Did there come a time when you traveled 14 15 to the 7-2 precinct for the purpose of speaking to someone by the name of Enrique Rivera? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 And how was it that you were notified to go to the Q precinct? 19 20 Α Well, either of two ways. A boss from our office 21 would have notified me or the case detective would have 22 called the office. And did there come a time when you actually --23

24 THE COURT: Excuse me Mr. Dranove.

25

MR. DRANOVE: I apologize to everybody. My

SIPRESS - DIRECT/CHU

1 | fault.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

THE COURT: You may proceed.

- Q Did there come a time when you actually arrived at the 7-2 precinct?
 - A Yes.
- Q Do you remember about what time it was that you got there.
 - A Approximately 10 o'clock in the morning.
- Q In the morning. And were you with anyone when you arrived there?
- A Yes, with the videotape technician.
 - Q Now, can you tell me, when you speak with -- I'm sorry, when you with speak defendants, is there an office policy with regard to whether or not those conversations are recorded in anyway?
- 16 | A Yes.
- 17 Q And what is the policy?
- A That I do not have a conversation with a defendant only if the tape recorder is on, the videotape is on.
 - Q So the only time you can engage in any kind of conversation with the defendant is when it's being videotaped?
- 23 A Absolutely.
- Q When you arrived at the precinct you said there
 was a video technician with you?

- SIPRESS DIRECT/CHU Yes. 1 Α Did you speak with the case detective in the case? 2 3 Yes. Α Were you aware of any statements that Enrique 4 5 Rivera may have made prior to your being there? 6 Yes. I believe he made a written statement. Α 7 Did you review that written statement before you 8 actually spoke with him? 9 Α Yes, I did. I'm just going to ask you to take a look around 10 the courtroom and see if you see Enrique Rivera here in the 11 12 courtroom today? 13 Yes, I do. Α 14 Would you please point to him and indicate 15 something he's wearing? 16 Α The striped tie. 17 THE COURT: She's indicating Mr. Rivera, the 18 defendant. 19 MS. CHU: Thank you. Once you got to the precinct, what did the video 20 21 technician do when you arrived there? 22 It usually takes them a few minutes to set up and
 - I would have probably had a conversation with the detective about the case and we would start right away.
 - Did there come a time you actually spoke with

23

24

	SIPRESS - DIRECT/CHU
1	Enrique Rivera?
2	A Yes.
3	Q About what time did the conversation begin?
4	A I believe about 10:30.
5	Q Now, was that conversation recorded as is the
6	office policy on videotape?
7	A Yes, it was.
8	Q Was it given a number?
9	A Yes, it was.
LO	Q And what was the number that was assigned?
L1	A R050027.
L2	Q And I'm sorry, when is that number actually
L3	assigned to the videotape?
L4	A At that time, right before the video starts.
15	Q So as it's being created?
16	A Yes.
L7	MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, I would
L8	ask that this be marked People's number 13.
L9	THE COURT: 12.
20	MS. CHU: I'm sorry, 12.
21	COURT OFFICER: 12.
22	(At this time, the videotape was marked as
23	Exhibit 12, for identification.)
24	MS. CHU: And I'm going to play it.
25	THE COURT: Show it to the witness.

SIPRESS - DIRECT/CHU

- Q Ms. Sipress, just take a look if you can at the outside jacket of that videotape. Do you recognize what's written on the envelope that contains that videotape?
 - A Yes, I do.

- O What is that?
- A It reflects the tape number, the date and the defendant's name and my name.
- Q And is that a customary packaging that the video tape is actually placed in once it's created by the technician?
 - A Yes.
- Q Who's -- I'm sorry. Was anyone else present for the conversation you had with the defendant?
- A Yes, two other people were present, the case detective and video technician.
 - MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, I ask that it be played for the witness.
 - THE COURT: Are you moving it into evidence?

 MS. CHU: After she views it, she can

 authenticate it.
 - THE COURT: I think it has to be authenticated first.
- Q Is that the videotape that was assigned for this defendant on February 28, 2005?
- A Yes.

SIPRESS - DIRECT/CHIL

	440
	SIPRESS - DIRECT/CHU
1	MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, I would
2	offer it as People's Number 12.
3	THE COURT: Any objection?
4	MR. DRANOVE: Can I just see it for a moment?
5	THE COURT: Certainly.
6	COURT OFFICER: The packaging or the tape?
7	MR. DRANOVE: I'm going to leave that in
8	there. I can't get it out.
9	Thank you.
10	THE COURT: Any objection?
11	MR. DRANOVE: No.
12	THE COURT: Then People's 12 would be
13	received in evidence and we'll play it for the jury.
14	COURT OFFICER: So marked, your Honor.
15	MR. DRANOVE: Judge, I think I'll move over
16	here.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	(At this time, the videotape is being played
19	for the jury.)
20	MR. DRANOVE: Judge, I just want the record
21	to reflect the tape is running and people are talking
22	in the courtroom.
23	(At this time, the videotape ended.)
24	Q Ms. Sipress, other than the conversation you just
25	saw on this videotape, did you have any other discussion

SIPRESS - DIRECT/CHU

- with the defendant at all on February 28, 2005?
- A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q Now, I heard a male voice during the course of the interview asking the defendant, saying that he had to answer out loud. Whose voice was that?
 - A The videotape technician's.
- Q And I think there was one time during the Miranda Warnings where he just said "uh huh" and nodded his head and someone said he had to answer out loud?
- A He listened to make sure he could hear everything the defendant says, so he told him to speak up.
- Q Does the videotape technician also indicate to you when the sound is on?
 - A Yes, he does.
- Q Did you listen to it on the videotape?
- 16 A Yes.
 - Q And at the end when you showed the defendant his written statement, there was a male voice there. Whose male voice was that?
 - A The technician's.
 - Q Were there any additions or deletions to the tape of your conversation that you had with Enrique Rivera on February 28, 2005?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q I have nothing further. Thank you.

Was it video recorded?

25

- audiotape many witnesses. I don't recall.
- 3 THE COURT: If you could have Mr. Rivera to step out of the courtroom, please.
 - MR. DRANOVE: Yes, if there's a concession that's Mr. Rivera, because I'll ask that gentleman to step out. That is Mr. Julio Rivera.
 - Q Before you started the interview of Enrique Rivera, did you review any materials provided to you by Detective Darino?
 - A Yeah, the written statement that he gave.
- 12 | Q Okay.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

- MR. DRANOVE: May I see Exhibit 10?
- 14 THE COURT: You may.
- MR. DRANOVE: Could you show this to the
- 16 witness, please?
- 17 COURT OFFICER: Sure.
- Q Counsel, would you take a look at Exhibit 10 and tell me if that's an item that you recognize?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | 0 What is it?
- 22 A It's the written statement from Mr. Rivera.
- Q Is that the only report, writing or written item
 you reviewed before questioning Mr. Rivera?
- 25 A I couldn't say. If the detective had some DD5s

SIPRESS - CROSS/DRANOVE

- already prepared, I could have reviewed them. I don't recall.
 - Q Now, when you questioned my client, it was after he spoke some words, and the response I heard, I don't know what you heard, it was something like you're going to give a statement or this is a video or words to that effect. Do you recall when that was said?
 - A On the beginning of the tape?
 - Q Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

- A It seems like he turned to the detective and asked "What is this," and the detective responded, "It's an interview."
- Q When you entered that room, was my client already in the room?
- 15 | A Yes.
 - Q And did you enter the room separately from your technician?
- 18 A I would assume that I did.
- 19 Q Do you remember?
- 20 A I don't recall.
- 21 Q Do you recall how long you were in that room with 22 my client before you questioned my client?
 - A Maybe thirty seconds before the video started.
- Q Now, during the reading of the rights for my
 client, there came a time when you asked him if he wanted to

- make a statement. Do you recall that?
- A Yes, I do.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

- Q You saw his response on the tape, correct?
- 4 A Correct.
 - Q You saw him pause, put his head in his hand, nod left and right and say "we're here?"
 - A He says, "Well, we're here."
 - Q What does nodding left and right mean to you?
- 9 MS. CHU: Objection.
- 10 THE COURT: Sustained.
 - Q Do you have an office, a written office policy in the Brooklyn DA's office with respect to how the procedures involved in -- I'll ask this again.
 - Are you aware of any written policy in your office which was in effect in February of 2005 with respect to the steps to take to assure that an accused understands his rights when he's read his Miranda Warnings?
 - MS. CHU: Objection.
- 19 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
 - A I don't know of any written policy. I know I underwent some training when I first was assigned to the investigation bureau.
 - Q Did that training include informing you that it was important to confirm the accused understood his Fifth Amendment privilege against self incrimination?

- 1 MS. CHU: Objection.
- THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
 - A Yes. I read him Miranda.
 - Q I beg your pardon?
 - A I read him his Miranda Rights.
 - Q And one of those sentences you read him was "Now that I read you your rights do you want to make a statement?"
 - A "Do you wish to speak with me?"
- 10 Q Right.
- 11 A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

- Q And in order for you to determine if he wishes to speak to you, correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Or wants to speak to you?
- 16 A Correct.
- Q How do you determine from an answer given by
- 18 Mr. Rivera whether he wants to speak to you?
- A Well, he did not ask for a lawyer and he did not say no. And the response he gave was "Well, we're here.
- 21 Let's get on with it."
- 22 | Q He didn't say "Let's get on with it," did he?
- 23 A But he could have said no.
- 24 | Q He could have said yes?
- 25 A He could have said no, I would have stopped the

7777 - 747
rview.

- Q Were you waiting for a yes before questioning him any further?
 - A No, I took his response --

MR. DRANOVE: No further questions.

THE COURT: Did you finish your answer?

THE WITNESS: I took his response as a yes.

MR. DRANOVE: Well, then may I continue?

THE COURT: Well, it's always a good idea to let the witness finish an answer before you say no further questions. You started walking away while she was talking.

- Q You took his response to be a yes?
- A Yes, as a confirmative.
- Q Did you speak to confirm he understood you correctly?
- A Well, I started to ask him questions and he responded.
- Q Did you see him pause before speaking to you in response to your question about what happened and not respond directly?

MS. CHU: Objection.

SW

- $\,$ THE COURT: Sustained as to the form of the question.
- Q Do you recall he was told to answer out loud?

SIPRESS - CROSS/DRANOVE

1 A Yes. 2 O Was

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

19

20

21

- Q Was he speaking very softly in your opinion?
- A No, but I'm sitting right next to him, and it's the job of the technician to be able to make sure that his equipment is working properly.
- Q Ms. Sipress, when you asked my client did you, open quotes, did you see the person you cut with the knife close quotes, at that moment had you seen any police reports which said Mr. Rivera said he stabbed somebody or cut somebody?
 - A Can I have a moment to read this?
- 12 | Q Sure.
 - A I would have to say yes.
 - Q And it's in Exhibit 10?
- 15 A His written statement.
- 16 Q The handwritten report?
- 17 THE COURT: That's been marked as Exhibit 10.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes.
 - Q Somewhere in there it says he cut somebody, is that your conclusion?
 - A Well, he said he had a knife and used it in self defense swinging it at the crowd.
- 23 Q Right. That's it?
- 24 A Pretty much.
- 25 Q There came a time when you asked him what he did

SIPRESS - CROSS/DRANOVE

- with the knife, am I right?
- A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

- Q Now, the time that you questioned my client you knew that Mr. Ojeda was dead, clearly?
 - A Yes, I did.
- Q And you do basically what they call assigning homicides?
 - A My job description.
- Q How many years experience do you have as an assistant district attorney in February of 2005?
- A About six.
- Q When you questioned my client, you understood the victim had been stabbed, am I right?
- A Yes.
 - Q Did you have any interest in this investigation in pursuing the location of the knife --
- MS. CHU: Objection.
- 18 Q -- that was allegedly swung by my client?

 19 THE COURT: Sustained.
 - Q Were you prevented from asking my client where he threw the knife?
- 22 A No, I was not.
- Q Did you have any interest professionally at that time in asking my client where he threw the knife?
- MS. CHU: Objection.

	COLLOQUY 45
,	THE COURT: Sustained.
1	
2	Q At what time did you interview Julio Rivera?
3	A I'm I don't recall, but I know it was sometime
4	after the videotaped statements.
5	Q Where did you find Mr. Julio Rivera when you
6	interviewed him?
7	A At the precinct.
8	MR. DRANOVE: No further questions.
9	THE COURT: Any redirect Ms. Chu?
10	MS. CHU: No, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Thank you Ms. Sipress. You're
12	excused. You may step down from the witness stand.
13	(At this time, the witness exited the
14	courtroom.)
15	THE COURT: You may proceed, Ms. Chu.
16	MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, I would
17	offer into evidence a certified copy of the Lutheran
18	Medical Center records of Mr. Ojeda as People's Number
19	13, and then may I approach?
20	THE COURT: Any objection to the exhibit?
21	MR. DRANOVE: No.
22	THE COURT: It will be received in evidence
23	as People's 13.
24	Excuse us for one moment.
25	(At this time, there was a sidebar held off

COLLOQUY

	COLLOQUY 452
1	the record.)
2	THE COURT: Okay, you may proceed Ms. Chu.
3	MS. CHU: At this time, I'm going to read a
4	sworn statement of the defendant at a prior proceeding
5	from June of 2006.
6	"QUESTION: What were you wearing?
7	"ANSWER: Camouflage jacket, jeans, boots,
8	hoodie, hat.
9	"QUESTION: Were you asked to remove your hat
10	at some time?
11	"ANSWER: Yes, a few times, a couple of
12	times.
13	"QUESTION: Had you removed it?
14	"ANSWER: Yes.
15	"QUESTION: As the witness testified,
16	correct?
17	"ANSWER: Yes.
18	"QUESTION: Did you remove the hat?
19	"ANSWER: Yes.
20	"QUESTION: Do you recall if you were wearing
21	it at the time the bouncers got into the brawl?
22	"ANSWER: I don't remember. I kept putting
23	it back on. I didn't want to hold it in my hands. I
24	kept forgetting. I put it back on. That is why he
25	keeps telling me a few times. Last time I said don't

COLLOQUY

	COTTOĞOL
1	worry about it. I'm leaving anyway.
2	"Direct examination you said that night you
3	were wearing a army jacket, army hat, and hoodie?
4	"ANSWER: Yes, ma'am.
5	"QUESTION: With some jeans?
6	"ANSWER: Yes, ma'am.
7	"QUESTION: These clothes that are in
8	evidence, this is a hooded sweatshirt. Is this yours?
9	"ANSWER: Yes, ma'am.
10	"QUESTION: This is what the detective had
11	testified what was found at your mom's house, right?
12	"ANSWER: Yes, ma'am.
13	"QUESTION: This is the jacket that you were
14	wearing that night?
15	"ANSWER: Yes, ma'am.
16	"QUESTION: Isn't that correct?
17	"ANSWER: Yes, ma'am.
18	"And this also was found in your home
19	well, at your mom's house as you said?
20	"ANSWER: Yes, ma'am.
21	"QUESTION: And this hat here was the hat you
22	were wearing that night, isn't that correct?
23	"ANSWER: Yes, ma'am.
24	"QUESTION: But yet and still, the hat that
25	is in evidence under People's Number 12, is the hat

	COLLOQUY 454
1	that belongs to you, right?
2	"ANSWER: Yes.
3	"QUESTION: That hat has Mr. Ojeda's blood on
4	it, doesn't it?
5	"ANSWER: Yes."
6	At this time, your Honor, the People rest.
7	THE COURT: Okay, ladies and gentlemen, the
8	prosecution has rested its case. I'm going to give the
9	defense a chance to decide now if they wish to present
10	a case. I'm going to give them until tomorrow morning
11	to do that and make up to you the delay this morning by
12	giving you the afternoon off, if that's okay with you.
13	We're going to resume tomorrow. I'm going to do some
14	business, we'll start at 10:30. Hopefully we can get
15	started on time. Hopefully I hope to wrap up. You're
16	going to get the case on Wednesday for your
17	determination.
18	Don't discuss the case, have a nice
19	afternoon. Thank you again for your patience today.
20	10:30 tomorrow.
21	(At this time, the jury exited the
22	courtroom.)
23	THE COURT: Do you wish to make a motion at
21	the close of the People's case Mr. Dranove?

MR. DRANOVE: Yes, to dismiss on the grounds

COLLOQUY

they failed to make out a prima facie case.

THE COURT: Motion is denied. We'll put the case over until tomorrow morning for any defense case, and I've asked Mr. Dranove to contact Ms. Chu as a courtesy by the end of the day to let her know what the prognosis is for a defense case.

MR. DRANOVE: Judge, as a request, a personal favor, could we say anything but prognosis?

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm sorry.

MR. DRANOVE: Predict.

THE COURT: Okay, what the intention of the defense is for tomorrow morning so that -- she needs to know whether to prepare a summation or not.

MR. DRANOVE: Sure. Well, I think she will be preparing a summation.

THE COURT: All right, we're in recess, and I apologize.

MS. CHU: I just -- I did when we were putting the video, when we were actually playing it for the jurors, I did notice that Mr. Julio was in the courtroom. I don't know how long he had been in here, and then I saw him leave. I guess like towards the -- I don't know, sometime after the video started and when the defendant started talking on the video, and then he left and then I did not see him come back in I guess

COLLOQUY

until right before Ms. Sipress was asked questions.

And I know he's a witness. I just want to note that
for the Court. He's not really supposed to be in here
when other people are testifying, so.

MR. DRANOVE: I did not know he had returned. And when the witness finished, I didn't see -- when Ms. Sipress finished, I did not see him.

THE COURT: I cannot say anything other than if he's called as a witness, you're free to question him as to when he may have been in the courtroom. It's a fair question to ask. Even if he saw part of the defendant's statement, I don't think that would prejudice his ability to testify about things that occurred that affected him in this case. So I do not see it as a real problem right now.

All right, we're in recess until tomorrow morning, 10:30.

(At this time, the matter was adjourned to May 12, Part 35, 10:30 a.m.)

		457
1 2	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF KINGS: CRIMINAL TERM: PT 35	
3	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	
4	IND.#1453/05	
	- against - Murder 2	
5	ENRIQUE RIVERA,	
6	Defendant.	
7	X	
8	320 Jay Street Brooklyn, New York	
9	Brooklyn, New Tork	
10	May 12, 2009	
11		
12	B E F O R E : HONORABLE ALAN MARRUS, presiding	
13		
14	APPEARANCES: (Same as previously noted)	
15	MICHELE J. WALKER,	
16	SANDRA WILKES, OFFICIAL SENIOR COURT REPORTERS	
17		
18	COURT OFFICER: Your Honor, ready for the	
19	jurors?	
20	THE COURT: Yes, we are.	
21	COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.	
22	COURT CLERK: Both sides waive the roll	
23	call?	
24	MS. CHU: So waived.	
25	MR. DRANOVE: Yes.	

	J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	458
4		
1	THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and	
2	gentlemen. Did you have pleasant afternoon	
3	yesterday?	
4	Now, as we left off, as you recall, the	
5	prosecution rested its case yesterday. And I	
6	put the case over today for any defense case.	
7	You may proceed, Mr. Dranove.	
8	MR. DRANOVE: Thank you, Judge.	
9	I'd like to call Mr. Julio Rivera to the	
10	witness stand. Should be out in the hall.	
11	JULIO RIVERA, having been called as a	
12	witness, having been duly sworn, testified as	
13	follows:	
14	COURT CLERK: State your name?	
15	THE WITNESS: Julio Rivera.	
16	COURT CLERK: What county do you live?	
17	THE WITNESS: Bronx.	
18	THE COURT: You may examine the witness,	
19	Mr. Dranove.	
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
21	BY MR. DRANOVE:	
22	Q Good morning.	
23	A Good morning.	
24	Q Do you know Enrique Rivera?	
25	A Yes.	

		J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	459
1	Q	Is he your brother?	
2	А	Yes.	
3	Q	Do you have any other brothers?	
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	How many?	
6	A	Three more.	
7	Q	One of them Angel Rivera?	
8	A	Yeah.	
9	Q	How old are you?	
10	A	I'm 37.	
11	Q	Have you ever been convicted of a crime?	
12	А	No.	
13	Q	Are you currently employed?	
14	А	No.	
15	Q	When were you last employed?	
16	А	January, 2008.	
17	Q	For how many years were you hired by that	
18	employer?		
19	A	Seventeen.	
20	Q	Were you laid off?	
21	А	Yes.	
22	Q	I want to direct your attention back to	
23	Saturday	night, February 26, I believe. 2005. Was	
24	there som	etime when you met your brother, Enrique,	
25	that even	ing or night?	

		J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	460
1	А	Yes.	
2	Q	Where did you meet him?	
3	А	I met him at the barbershop.	
4	Q	What barbershop are you talking about?	
5	А	Thirteen 13 Street and Fifth Avenue.	
6	Q	What is the name of it?	
7	А	Diamond Cuts.	
8	Q	What is Mr. Enrique Rivera's relationship	
9	to the		
10	А	He's co-owner with my brother, Angel.	
11	Q	What time did you meet Mr. Rivera at the	
12	barbersho	p?	
13	А	About ten o'clock.	
14	Q	Was there a purpose to going to the	
15	barbersho	p that evening?	
16	А	Yes.	
17	Q	What was it?	
18	А	Watch a fight. A recording fight.	
19	Boxing.		
20	Q	On TV?	
21	А	Yes.	
22	Q	Did there come a time when you watched	
23	that figh	t?	
24	А	Yes.	
25	Q	Was Mr. Enrique Rivera with you at the	

		J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	461
1	time?		
2	А	He was there.	
3	Q	Did it come sometime when you left the	
4	barbersho	op that night?	
5	А	Yes. Yes.	
6	Q	Was that by agreement with Mr. Enrique	
7	Rivera?		
8		MS. CHU: Objection.	
9		THE COURT: Overruled.	
10		You may answer.	
11	А	No.	
12	Q	Tell me what happened?	
13	А	I had got phone call from my cousin,	
14	Jahaira.	She wanted to be she was going to be at	
15	a bar at	Third Avenue, and she wanted to speak to	
16	Enrique.		
17	Q	Did you hand the phone to Enrique?	
18	А	Yes.	
19	Q	Did he talk?	
20	А	Yeah.	
21	Q	Did he hand the phone back to you?	
22	А	Ah huh.	
23		THE COURT: Please, yes or no.	
24		THE WITNESS: Yes.	
25	Q	Sometime did you leave Diamond Cuts?	

		J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	462
1	А	Yes, we did.	
2	Q	Did you leave at about the time as Mr.	
3	Enrique R	ivera?	
4	A	About the sometime.	
5	Q	Where did you go?	
6	A	I went to 39 Street and Third Avenue.	
7	Q	How did you get there?	
8	A	I drove my own car.	
9	Q	Did you see your brother, Enrique I am	
10	going to v	withdraw that question.	
11		Did Enrique Rivera enter your car?	
12	A	No.	
13	Q	Did you see how he arrived at Third Avenue	
14	and		
15	A	No.	
16	Q	Did you meet him at Third Avenue?	
17	A	Yes.	
18	Q	Where did you meet him?	
19	A	I met him 39 and Third Avenue in front of	
20	the bar.		
21	Q	You met him, you remember the name of the	
22	bar?		
23	A	No.	
24	Q	Do you recall what happened when you met	
25	him at the	e bar?	

		J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	463
1	Α	In front of bar, yes.	
2	7 Q	What happened?	
3	A	I met him, Little Julio and JP.	
4	Q 1	Little Julio and JP?	
5	A	Correct.	
6	Q ^r	Then what happened?	
7	A	So we proceded to go inside the bar. I	
8	was the la	st one in line. There was searching us	
9	Q	Did you see anybody searched?	
10	A	Yes. Security guard.	
11	Q	Did you see your brother searched?	
12	A	Yes.	
13	Q	What did you tell the jury what the	
14	search con	sisted of?	
15	A	Okay. We had put our hands out	
16	Q	Your brother Julio your brother,	
17	Enrique.	Did you see him searched?	
18	A	Yes.	
19	Q	Why is that?	
20	:	MS. CHU: Objection.	
21	A	I was last one on the line.	
22		THE COURT: Overruled.	
23	Q	What did you see done to your brother,	
24	Enrique, d	uring the search?	
25	A	He put his hands out. Straight out.	

J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove 464 (Indicating) 1 In front of him? 2 In front of him. Excuse me. Checked his 3 arms. Open up his jacket. Checked inside his 4 5 waistband. Put around waistband -- (Indicating) 6 Stand up, please, start again? 7 They checked -- they checked down his waistband. Checked his pants, all the way down. 8 Down to his ankles. Checked inside jacket. Wrist, 9 10 and patted the back. (Indicating) 11 What about his pants? Checked inside the back pocket, he had to 12 go underneath, inside and just check. They were 13 14 actually just holding on to see what -- any materials, whatever. That's it. (Indicating) 15 16 Now, were you searched? 17 Yes. Α Describe the search that was conducted on 18 19 you? Same thing. 20 Α Describe it again? Stand up and show us 21 Q 22 the search? Put his hands out. They checked. My 23 jacket. Went inside my jacket. They -- waistband. 24 They patted me down. All the way down to my ankles. 25

	J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	465
1	Came back up and checked my pockets. My back	
2	pockets, then we went in. (Indicating)	
3	Q What about your front pockets?	
4	A Yeah, front pockets, back pockets.	
5	Q What about jacket?	
6	A Jacket my jacket. They checked my	
7	jacket. Patted me down. My wrist. Everything.	
8	All way down to my ankles. (Indicating)	
9	Q Do you recall what you were wearing?	
10	A Yes. I had a gray jacket. A football	
11	jacket. Like championship jacket.	
12	Q What else? Were you wearing anything	
13	under the jacket?	
14	A Red shirt and jeans.	
15	Q Dress shirt?	
16	A Red shirt. A red shirt.	
17	Q What JP, do you recall what he was	
18	wearing?	
19	A No.	
20	Q Do you recall what Little Julio was	
21	wearing?	
22	A He had jeans. He had camouflage jacket	
23	and a solid hat camouflage.	
24	Q Solid camouflage hat?	
25	A Yes.	
	I	

J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove 466 Do you recall what your brother was 1 0 2 wearing? 3 A Yes. 4 0 What was he wearing? He had a camouflage jacket and a hat. 5 A Do you remember if he was wearing a 6 Q 7 sweatshirt? Could have been a hoodie. 8 9 Could have been or certain or not certain? 10 Not certain. 11 Q Do you recall if Little Julio was wearing an hoodie? 12 13 Α Yes. 14 Was he wearing a --0 15 Yes, a black hoodie. Α 16 When you entered the bar, what took place? Just tell us what happened? 17 Okay, we walked in. We met Jahaira and 18 Α 19 her boyfriend in front of the bar. We walked in. 20 She had ordered her drinks. So we proceeded inside. 21 We ordered our drinks. As we got our glass, we went towards the back -- of the bar. 22 23 Who is the we? Me, JP, Little Julio and Enrique. 24 Α 25 Q Then what happened?

467

A We went to the back and started drinking. And dancing. And, you know, listening to music.

- Q Was there music playing?
- A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Can you describe how loud the music was?
- A Loud. We had talk to each other, you had to yell a little bit.
 - Q Please, continue telling us what happened?

Okay. After we had the first drink, Little Julio had to go and get another drink. Next round it was his round to pay. So I went with him. We brought the round. We continued dancing. Just drinking. Then I went and bought the -- the next round. Did the same. Then Enrique had went, bought the next round and Little Julio went with him. He was at the bar when I last looked, and I was talking to JP. Pointing out Jahaira because she was making fun of her boyfriend. We just started, you know, having a good time. The second, I just looked back, I was looking for my drink pause I was done with mines. So I look to see where Enrique was at. So when I look, he was being surrounded, there is three guys in front of him, and there are two guys. I don't know if they were part of the group, they standing in front of the bar, I don't know.

Q What about those two guys?

2.0

2.2

A I don't know if they was standing either behind him. I know they were behind him, just don't know if they were at the bar or with the guys.

- Q Where was Little Julio?
- A Right next to Enrique.
- Q What did you observe?

A All right. So I see -- when I -- I looked, and he was surrounded by -- there was -- I didn't think nothing of it, but then when I seen the hand gestures just pointing fingers and I tapped JP and I told -- I pointed out over there towards them. I proceeded towards them, and I just got in the middle. I pushed Enrique back. All right. Then I gave -- push around towards the guys, and I told them what the F wrong with you guys? Then I turned back, and I told Enrique the same. And as soon as I turned back, I got punched in the face.

- Q Did you see who punched you?
- A Yes.
- Q Can you describe him?
- A He was dark and skinny and tall.
 - Q Had you seen him before that night?
- A No. As soon as he punched me, that is when all the punches started going off. I punched

back. There was punches thrown over my back. Then it just kept -- everybody just punching and somebody grabbed me hard. I was ready to swing back, I look back, it was JP. JP grabbed me, trying to get my out bar.

Q When you look back, where was your brother?

A When I look when JP grabbed me, then I said, I'm not leaving my brother. When I look, my brother was almost out of the door.

O Excuse me?

A He was almost out of the door with one of the bouncers.

Q Please continue?

A Then I just proceeded out the door with JP. Then I said I have to go back in.

MS. CHU: Objection, your Honor. He is -THE COURT: Let him finish.

Your answer?

MR. DRANOVE: I apologize.

THE COURT: Finish your answer.

A I told JP I had to go back in, I had my jacket, my car keys, my car in front of the shop -- the bar. And then they closed the door. So I got in JP car, went around the corner. The cops stopped

		J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	470
1	us, and h	e asked us some questions, then he let us	
2		id	
3		MR. DRANOVE: I'd like to interrupt, with	
4	The	Court's permission?	
5		THE COURT: Go ahead.	
6	Q	You said someone swung over your shoulder?	
7	А	Yes.	
8	Q	How many times did that happen?	
9	А	One time.	
10	Q	Did you recall what that clothing on that	
11	arm		
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	Color of it?	
14	А	It was dark. Dark jacket.	
15	Q	Or just dark?	
16	А	It was a dark jacket.	
17	Q	Was a camouflage jacket?	
18	А	Could have been. I am not sure.	
19	Q	How many times did that	
20	А	One time.	
21	Q	Only once?	
22	А	One time.	
23	Q	Did you see a knife?	
24	А	No.	
25	Q	Were you at all, or your clothing, cut at	

	J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	471
1	all?	
2	A No.	
3	Q Now, in before we continue through the	
4	night, was there anybody in that bar who you	
5	observed who was wearing white?	
6	A The guy on the left-hand side.	
7	Q Guy left-hand side of	
8	A Of me.	
9	Q On your left side?	
10	A There's three guys. The dark skin, tall	
11	dude was in the middle. There is a guy next to him.	
12	Q Was wearing white?	
13	A Wearing white.	
14	Q Beg your pardon?	
15	A Huh?	
16	Q Wearing white?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q Can you tell us if it was what item of	
19	clothing he was wearing that was white?	
20	A Looked like a sweatshirt or something.	
21	Q Had you ever seen him before that night?	
22	A No.	
23	Q When you left the bar, did you hear any	
24	sounds coming from inside the bar?	
25	A As I am leaving the bar, there was a chair	

		J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	472
1	thrown, a	almost hit me.	
2	Q	Were you still in the bar at that time?	
3	А	Yeah. Heading out the door. There is a	
4	chair. T	There was bottles rolling towards the bar.	
5	Didn't br	reak, I didn't see it. You know, I seen it	
6	rolling.	I just went out.	
7	Q	Did you hear any sounds	
8	А	There was still music playing.	
9	Q	Did you hear any words spoken?	
10	А	They were cursing.	
11	Q	What did you hear? What words did you	
12	hear?		
13	А	"Motherfucker." Those words.	
14	Q	Now, music was still playing?	
15	А	Yes.	
16	Q	When you got outside the bar, did you see	
17	your brot	ther Enrique?	
18	А	No.	
19	Q	Did you see him at all that withdrawn.	
20		About what time is it that you recall you	
21	got to th	ne bar?	
22	А	It was about 11:30. Twelve o'clock, I	
23	believe.		
24	Q	And do you have if you're not certain,	
25	tell us y	you're not certain.	

	J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	473
1	A No, it was about after the boxing.	
2	Usually like about 11:30. We got there about that	
3	time. Quarter to, twelve o'clock.	
4	Q And about what time did you recall it was	
5	that you left the bar?	
6	A He was there for about an hour. Maybe one	
7	o'clock.	
8	Q Did you see your brother that Sunday?	
9	A Yes, I did.	•
10	Q Where did you see him?	
11	A I met him on Nelson Street.	
12	Q That's in Brooklyn?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q Why Nelson Street?	
15	A Because I called him, and I asked him	
16	where he was. And I was headed that way.	
17	Q Do he have a residence in Brooklyn?	
18	A Hum, my mom, I believe.	
19	Q What about Nelson Street?	
20	A Nelson, that is where my other brother	
21	lives.	
22	Q Which brother?	
23	A At that time. Angel.	
24	Q You had a conversation at that time?	
25	A Yes, we did.	

		J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	474
1	Q	How did he appear to you at that time?	
2	А	He was fine.	
3	Q	What did you talk about?	
4	А	We talked about what happened in the bar.	
5	I asked h	im what caused the fight? What happened?	
6	And he wa	s just telling me.	
7	Q	What did he tell you?	
8	А	Just telling me these guys just kept on	
9		MS. CHU: Objection.	
10		THE COURT: Sustained.	
11	Q	What was he strike that.	
12		How long were you talking with your	
13	brother?		
14	А	Just five minutes.	
15	Q	Then what did you do?	
16		MS. CHU: I am sorry, didn't hear that	
17	last		
18		THE COURT: Then what did you do.	
19		MR. DRANOVE: "Just five minutes."	
20	Q	Then what did you do?	
21	A	I went home.	
22	Q	Did your brother, Enrique, go to your	
23	home?		
24	А	No.	
25	Q	When's the next time did you meet all	
	ı		

	J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	475
1	again on that Sunday?	
2	A No.	
3	Q That night, Sunday night into Monday	
4	morning, did there come a time when you had some	
5	encounter with law enforcement?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q Where were you when that started?	
8	A I was home sleeping.	
9	Q And what happened?	
10	A They knocked on the door. Detectives. I	
11	let them in.	
12	Q Did you see any of those detectives who	
13	came to your door that night here in court	
14	yesterday?	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q A Detective Darino?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q Did you learn the identity of the other	
19	detectives who came to your apartment?	
20	A Yes.	
21	Q What's the name?	
22	A Rivera.	
23	Q Did you see them do anything in your	
24	apartment?	
25	A Yes, they asked me what I was wearing, and	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

Α

or four minutes.

Yes.

your jacket to you?

Yes. Music.

Q

Α

Q

Α

J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove I described what I was wearing. I told them it was in the hamper. And I said I'll go get it if you want. They said, no, we'll go. They went to the hamper, searched my clothes, what he had. Then what happened? They put on the table, they checked the clothes that I had on. The jacket. Go ahead. The jacket, and they left it on the table. How did you get your jacket back from inside the bar? Jahaira -- I had called Jahaira, she was still at the bar. And I called her cause my key was there, my truck was still in front of the place. About how many minutes had passed since

you left the bar before you called Jahaira?

Did you talk to Jahaira?

Right after I got into the JP's car, I

Did you hear any sounds in the background.

Did there come a time when Jahaira brought

started calling Jahaira. Maybe few minutes -- three

		J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	477
1	А	Yes.	
2	Q	Now, back in your apartment, did there	
3	come a t	ime when you left the apartment with the	
4	detective	es?	
5	А	Yes.	
6	Q	Did they handcuff you?	
7	А	Yes.	
8	Q	Did they tell you you were under arrest?	
9	А	No.	
10	Q	Did they tell you why they were	
11	handcuff	ing you?	
12	А	No.	
13	Q	Where did they take you?	
14	А	They took me to the precinct.	
15	Q	Approximately what time, do you remember,	
16	it was w	hen you were taken to the precinct?	
17	А	About 11:30.	
18	Q	On Saturday night?	
19	А	Sunday. It was Sunday night.	
20	Q	Sunday night. Okay.	
21		Did they do anything to the clothing you	
22	were		
23	A	No, they just left it there.	
24	Q	Beg your pardon?	
25	A	They just left it there.	

		J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	478
1	Q	Did they take clothing from your	
2	apartment?		
3	A	No.	
4		MS. CHU: Objection.	
5		THE COURT: Overruled.	
6	Q	When you say they just left it there, what	
7	do you mea	n by that?	
8	А	They just left spread it on the table.	
9	They looke	ed at it and just left it where it was.	
10	Q	In the precinct?	
11	A	No. In my house.	
12	Q	Let's move forward to the precinct.	
13	А	Ah-huh.	
14	Q	When you were in the precinct. Did they	
15	take any c	clothing from you?	
16	А	No.	
17		Oh, yes, they took off my shoe laces.	
18	Just my sh	noe laces and my phone.	
19	Q	What about your belt?	
20	А	Yeah, the belt.	
21	Q	They took your belt and shoe laces and	
22	your phone	2?	
23	A	Ah-huh.	
24	Q	Were you in a room at the time they did	
25	this?		
	l		

J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove 479 1 Α Yes. 2 Q Approximately, what time did that take 3 place? 4 Α About part -- after midnight, probably. 5 After -- had you been in the precinct for Q how long before they took your shoe laces and belt? 6 7 Α As soon as I got there. Now, did there come a time when any law 8 enforcement people interviewed you? 9 10 Α Yes. 11 0 Approximately, what time did -- well, how many times were you --12 Twice. 13 Α 14 With respect to the first interview, about 15 what time did that take place? 16 Α 12:30. One o'clock in the morning. Was it in a room? 17 0 18 Α Yes. 19 Can you describe the room? 0 20 I had -- I believe -- it was a glass Α Yes. 21 window there. Large table. Small. 22 Small room? 0 23 Α Ah-huh. Who was in the room with you when you were 24 25 interviewed?

		J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	480
1	A	Two detectives.	
2	Q	Do you remember their names?	
3	А	Darino and Rivera.	
4	Q	Did they discuss with you what you would	
5	be charged	d with the murder?	
6		MS. CHU: Objection.	
7		THE COURT: Overruled.	
8		You may answer.	
9	А	Yes.	
10	Q	Who told you you could be charged with the	
11	murder?		
12	А	Rivera.	
13	Q	What did he tell you?	
14	А	He told me he's looking for my brother,	
15	Enrique.	I told him, I don't know where he's at.	
16	And he to	ld me that if I don't find him help them	
17	find him,	I could be charged with the murder.	
18	Q	Was Detective Darino in the room at the	
19	time?		
20	А	Yes.	
21	Q	Were you asked by Detective Rivera or	
22	Detective	Darino what you saw take place in the bar?	
23	А	Yes.	
24	Q	Did you tell them?	
25	A	Yes.	

		J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	481
1	Q D	oid they have a recording machine in the	
2	room with y	rou?	
3	A T	hat was the second time they asked	
4	they took m	me back to the other room and they brought	
5	me back.		
6	Q I	n first interview, just with two	
7	detectives?		
8	A Y	es.	
9	Q A	at one, 1:30, whatever hour, did a tape	
10	recorded		
11	A N	Io.	
12	Q T	hat you were aware?	
13	A N	Jo.	
14	Q D	oid they ask you anything about whether	
15	there was a	weapon that you observed during this	
16	incident?		
17	A N	Jo.	
18	М	MS. CHU: Objection, leading.	
19	т	THE COURT: Sustained. Answer stricken.	
20	Y	You have to ask him a more general	
21	questi	on.	
22	Q W	What did they ask you about?	
23	A T	They asked me about the what happened?	
24	First they	asked me do I know showed me I.D.,	
25	asked me do	you know this person?	

		J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	482
1	Q	What type of I.D. were you shown?	
2	А	A driver's license.	
3	Q	Did it have a photo on it?	
4	А	Yes.	
5	Q	Do you recall any name on that driver's	
6	license?		
7	А	No.	
8	Q	Did you respond to the questions as to	
9	whether yo	ou recognize the person?	
10	A	Yes.	
11	Q	What did you say?	
12	A	I said, I don't know him.	
13	Q	What else were you asked?	
14	A	Excuse me?	
15	Q	What else were you asked?	
16	А	They asked me what happened, and I told	
17	them what	happened. And they stopped and they just	
18	said they	asked me, can we locate your brother? And	
19	I told him	m, I don't know where he's at. I need my	
20	phone, I	can try call him on his phone.	
21	Q	What happened then?	
22	A	They told me no. I couldn't use my phone.	
23	Q	How long was it that they interviewed you	
24	at that t	ime?	
25	A	About how long?	

483

1 Q Yes. 2 Maybe ten, 15 minutes. When the interview was finished, what did 3 they tell you? 4 5 They didn't say anything. They just put Α me in another room. 6 7 MS. CHU: I am sorry, didn't hear --THE COURT: "They didn't say anything, 8 9 they just put me in another room." For how long were you in that room before 10 you had another encounter with the police? 11 I was there for a while. 12 13 Do you recall -- is there anything that 14 happened that you can recall that would help you 15 identify what time it was you had your next dealings 16 with the police? That was when I had looked at the clock, 17 there was a clock there. Outside the office. I had 18 to go to work at seven, so I asked him could I use 19 the phone to call my job and let them know that I am 20 not coming in since I am stuck here. 21 What time was? 22 That was about six o'clock in the morning. 23 And what was the response when you asked 24 25 six in the morning?

J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove 484 They said, yes. 1 Α What did --2 3 I called -- instead of calling my job, I called my wife. 4 5 Q Did you ask them if you could leave the precinct? 6 7 Α No. Why not? 8 9 They told me I ain't leaving until they 10 catch Enrique. 11 MS. CHU: I am sorry --THE WITNESS: They said they -- they are 12 not going to release me until they catch 13 14 Enrique. How many times did Detective Rivera tell 15 you they're going to charge you with the murder if 16 you don't give up your brother? 17 Α About three times. 18 Was Detective Darino present for more than 19 one of those times? 20 Every time. 21 Α Now, did there come another time when you 22 were interviewed in the precinct? 23 24 Yes. Α 25 Was there a lady present? 0

		J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	485
1	A Y	Yes.	
2	QI	Did she have a tape recording device with	
3	her?		
4	A Y	Yes.	
5	Q V	Was Detective Darino with Detective	
6	Rivera?		
7	A Y	Yes.	
8	Q V	Was anyone else in that room beside those	
9	three and y	you?	
10	A 7	That's it.	
11	QI	Did you observe anybody operate that tape	
12	recorder?		
13	A S	Yes.	
14	Q V	Who operated it?	
15	A 7	There was a lady there.	
16	Q I	Did you observe her push a button and	
17	start it?		
18	A S	Yes.	
19	Q A	And she asked you	
20	Α Σ	Yes.	
21	QI	Did there come a time when she pushed the	
22	button and	stopped it?	
23	Α 7	Yes.	
24	Q V	Were you questioned at all after she	
25	pushed the	button to stop the recorder?	

	J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	486
1	A Yes.	
2	Q Who questioned you after the record	ler was
3	turned off?	
4	A It was Rivera. Detective Rivera.	And
5	herself.	
6	Q When they finished questioning you,	was
7	the recorder turned back on?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q By whom?	
10	A By the lady.	
11	Q What did Detective Rivera asked you	ı after
12	the lady turned off the recorder?	
13	A Was it a camouflage jacket? That p	ounched
14	me when letting them know someone threw a punch over	
15	my shoulders.	
16	Q And what was your response?	
17	A I said, it could have been. It was	dark.
18	It was dark jacket. He kept on asking me could have	
19	been.	
20	Q Who was asking you could have?	
21	A Rivera.	
22	Q How many times did you say, could h	nave
23	been?	
24	A About four or five times.	
25	Q And what was your response?	

		J. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	487
1	А	I said, could have been, could have been.	
2	Q	Did you describe the color besides saying	
3	it was a	dark	
4	А	Yeah. Then I just said jacket could have	
5	been camo	ouflage. Green. Black.	
6	Q	His response consistently could have	
7	been		
8		MS. CHU: Objection.	
9		THE COURT: Sustained.	
10	Q	What time were you released?	
11	А	About 11:30. Twelve o'clock. Noon.	
12	Q	Was your belt returned to you at that	
13	time?		
14	А	Yes.	
15	Q	Shoe laces?	
16	А	Yes.	
17	Q	And your phone?	
18	A	Yes.	
19		MR. DRANOVE: Thank you. I have no	
20	furt	ther questions.	
21		THE COURT: Cross-examination, Miss Chu?	
22		MS. CHU: Thank you.	
23	CROSS-EXA	AMINATION	
24	BY MS. CH	HU:	
25	Q	Mr. Rivera, you said you had a cousin name	

	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	488
1	Jahaira, right?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q In fact, she is the one that called you to	
4	get you guys to come over to the bar, 39th and	
5	Third, right?	
6	A Correct.	
7	Q While you guys were at the bar, you,	
8	Jahaira and I think it was her boyfriend, Rudy was	
9	there too, right?	
10	A Yes.	
11	Q You guys were in the back?	
12	A Ah-huh.	
13	Q According to your testimony, you said you	
14	guys got around four rounds of drinks, at least your	
15	brother was getting the fourth round?	
16	A Correct.	
17	Q I believe you guys got a round together?	
18	A Ah-huh.	
19	Q And then who was it that got it next?	
20	A It was JP.	
21	Q JP got it next?	
22	A Little Julio, JP, me.	
23	Q Little Julio, me	
24	A JP.	
25	Q Then the defendant was the last to get a	

		J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	489
1	rounds?		
2	А	Yeah.	
3	Q	You said you got to your brother's	
4	barbershop	about ten o'clock that evening, right?	
5	А	Yes.	
6	Q	How did you get there?	
7	А	I drove over there.	
8	Q	Were you by yourself or were you with	
9	anybody el	Lse?	
10	А	By myself.	
11	Q	Was Little Julio there?	
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	What about JP, was he there too?	
14	А	Yes.	
15	Q	Now, both Little Juilio and JP are shorter	
16	than you a	and your brother; isn't that correct?	
17	А	JP about my size. Little Julio, shorter.	
18	Q	JP, about your size?	
19	А	Yes.	
20	Q	Only one that was wearing a camouflage	
21	jacket, in	addition to your brother, in your group	
22	was Little	e Julio, correct?	
23	А	Yes.	
24	Q	Reason why Little Julio because, in	
25	fact, Litt	cle	

		J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	490
1	А	Correct.	
2	Q	He is littlier than you? Yes?	
3	А	Not too little.	
4	Q	He is five-foot five; isn't that correct?	
5	А	I'm 5'7".	
6	Q	Well, how tall are you?	
7	А	5'7"	
8	Q	You're 5'7?	
9	А	Ah-huh.	
10	Q	What about your brother?	
11	А	He's about six.	
12	Q	He's about six feet?	
13	А	Ah-huh.	
14		THE COURT: Please, yes or no?	
15		THE WITNESS: Yes.	
16	Q	You wouldn't mistake Little Julio with	
17	your brot	ther, he's considerably shorter than him;	
18	isn't tha	at correct?	
19		He is shorter and wider than your brother;	
20	wouldn't	that be fair to say?	
21	А	Yes.	
22	Q	Now, you said when you got to the bar that	
23	you were	last on line to get searched?	
24	А	Yes.	
25	Q	Anyone else on line besides the four of	

	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	491
1	you?	
2	A Just us four.	
3	Q Wouldn't it be fair to say that the bar	
4	wasn't that crowded that night?	
5	A It was already crowded inside.	
6	Q But inside the bar, there weren't that	
7	many people; isn't that correct?	
8	A You talking 25 people, maybe. The bar	
9	wasn't that big.	
10	Q But it wasn't that crowded; isn't that	
11	true?	
12	A There was people there.	
13	Q There was people there, yeah. But I am	
14	asking you. It wasn't that crowded; isn't that	
15	true?	
16	A No.	
17	Q It wasn't that crowded?	
18	A No.	
19	Q Now, when you go inside, say you're last	
20	in line to get searched. And who was that doing the	
21	search of the bouncers that were there?	
22	A One of bouncers had braids. Like two	
23	braids.	
24	Q Two braids?	
25	A Yeah.	
	1	

```
J. Rivera - Cross/Chu
                                                                492
1
               How tall was he?
          0
                Maybe -- he was about my size, little
2
3
      thicker.
               Little thicker than you?
4
          0
5
          Α
             Yeah.
                You're saying about your size, you say
6
7
     you're 5'7"?
                Yeah.
8
           Α
                What about the other one, taller or
9
10
      shorter?
11
                He was taller. Bigger.
          A
           Q
               Which one was searching the four of you?
12
             The bigger guy.
13
          A
                The bigger one was searching.
14
           Q
                Now, there is no metal detectors in front
15
     of this bar, right?
16
17
                No.
           Α
               Just basically a pat down?
18
           Q
                Yeah.
19
           Α
                So it's not making you take your pockets
20
           Q
      inside --
21
22
           Α
                Yes.
                They didn't cut open your jacket to see if
23
           Q
24
      there was --
25
           Α
                No.
```

	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	493
1	Q They do a pat down?	
2	A They touch, go around like this, he touch	
3	bottom, go around waist, go each leg, down to your	
4	ankles?	
5	A Correct.	
6	Q You're not taking an hour on each person,	
7	correct?	
8	A No.	
9	Q They didn't hold you guys up side down see	
10	if anything falls out of your pocket, nothing like	
11	that?	
12	A No.	
13	Q You get inside, you guys at the bar,	
14	right?	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q You talked about the fact that when your	
17	brother was getting his rounds, this is first round	
18	of drinks. Now what were you guys drinking that	
19	night?	
20	A Heinkens.	
21	Q Heinkens. You mentioned, I think, getting	
22	glasses. Did they give you glasses to pour Heinkens	
23	in?	
24	A No.	
25	Q They did not?	

		J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	494
1	7	No	
	A	No.	
2	Q	So what were you talking about when	
3	А	JP had bought drink for himself.	
4	Q	You got a drink for himself?	
5	A	JP for JP and Jahaira.	
6	Q	Bought drinks for themselves?	
7	A	Yes.	
8	Q	Those are the glasses that you were	
9	talking a	about?	
10	A	Yes.	
11	Q	Or otherwise	
12	А	Just Heinken.	
13	Q	Drinking Heinken wait for me to finish	
14	so she ca	an take down everything you're saying, all	
15	right?		
16		You had a Heinken?	
17	A	Yes.	
18	Q	Did you need glass to pour it	
19	А	No.	
20	Q	Drink right out bottle?	
21	A	Yes.	
22	Q	What was your brother drinking?	
23	A	Heinkens.	
24	Q	What about Little Julio?	
25	A	Heinken.	
	I		

		J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	495
1	Q	He was drinking Heinkens.	
2		Only person of the four of you that wasn't	
3	drinking	Heinken was JP?	
4	А	JP had Heinkens as well.	
5	Q	Little I thought you said JP had a	
6	drink?		
7	А	He had a drink and Heinken.	
8	Q	He had two drinks?	
9	А	Ah-huh.	
10	Q	You have to answer yes or no.	
11	А	Yes.	
12	Q	You said that Jahaira got a drink?	
13	А	Yes.	
14	Q	After you guys all got your first drink, I	
15	you guys	went to the back?	
16	А	Ah-huh.	
17	Q	A DJ there?	
18	А	Yes.	
19	Q	You guys fooling around, drinking, trying	
20	to have a	good time, would that be fair to say?	
21	А	Yes.	
22	Q	And you guys were dancing, yes?	
23	А	Yes.	
24	Q	Was your brother dancing?	
25	А	Yes.	

		J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	496
1	Q	You were dancing?	
2	А	Yes.	
3	Q	JP?	
4	А	Yes.	
5	Q	Little Julio?	
6	A	Yes.	
7	Q	Jahaira?	
8	A	Yes.	
9	Q	Now, did Jahaira tell you who she was	
10	coming wit	th when she got on the phone with you?	
11	A	Yes.	
12	Q	Who was suppose to come?	
13	A	Well, Rudy, which is her boyfriend. Her	
14	brother m	ight show up and his wife. And that's it.	
15	Q	Weren't there suppose to be other girls	
16	coming to	the party or coming to the bar?	
17	A	No. I didn't know.	
18	Q	There was nothing going on in the bar,	
19	wasn't lil	ke someone's birthday, or they wasn't	
20	throwing a	a party at that bar?	
21	A	No.	
22	Q	So, now, when you get into the bar, how	
23	many other	r people were there when you got there?	
24		I'm sorry. It's four of you. Gentleman	
25	name Rudy	, who, Jahaira and Rudy?	

	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	497
1	n mbanala libble boother wise wise and	
1	A There's little brother. His wife. And	
2	that's it, that I know of.	
3	Q So, it's eight of you?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q Yes?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q Anybody else at the bar when you got	
8	there? At the bar area?	
9	A Yeah, there were few people there. There	
10	is only two chairs open. That's when we went and	
11	got the drink.	
12	Q Would it be fair to say, the bigger	
13	bouncer was kind of by the bar mostly?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q Yes?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q He was there with a woman, right?	
18	A I did not see.	
19	Q Did you see a woman at the bar?	
20	A Yes.	
21	Q In fact, there was a woman bartender as	
22	well; isn't that correct?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q There was a male bartender, right?	
25	A Yes.	

498

J. Rivera - Cross/Chu When you guys went towards the back where 1 0 2 the dance floor was, anybody back there? 3 Just the DJ and us. Α Just the DJ and you guys? 0 4 5 Α Yes. Did you see anybody -- I am sorry. 6 7 Withdrawn. You said that when the defendant was 8 9 getting his round, the fourth round, that you guys 10 were there you saw him surrounded by some people? 11 Α Yes. Had you seen those people when you first 12 got to the bar? 13 14 When we first got to the bar, there was people there. I didn't look at them. 15 While you were at the inside of this 39 16 Street and Third Avenue bar until the time you got 17 there, until the time you saw your brother getting 18 19 surrounded, had you ever seen those other people before? 20 Yeah, they were inside. 21 Α They were inside. Were already inside 22 when guys got there. Did you see them? What made 23 you notice them before your brother was surrounded? 24

I don't know. They were there. I don't

25

Α

	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	499
1	know when they came in, before or after. I know	
2	there was people there when we came in.	
3	Q And where were you there standing, do you	
4	remember	
5	A They few people, the right-hand side by	
6	the door. There was few by the bar, and there is	
7	around the bar towards the left by the bathroom.	
8	Q Okay. How many people were by the	
9	right-hand side?	
10	A I couldn't tell you.	
11	Q How had you mentioned something about a	
12	tall guy, tall, dark skin guy?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q Do you recall what he was wearing?	
15	A No.	
16	Q Now, had you ever been to this bar before?	
17	A No.	
18	Q You never been there before?	
19	A No.	
20	Q Do you remember what you said you	
21	didn't remember what the tall, dark skin guy was	
22	wearing?	
23	A No. He had dark clothes, dark colors.	
24	Q He had dark colors on?	
25	A Yeah.	

			J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	500
1	(Q	There was a shorter guy with him, right?	
2	ī	A	Yes.	
3	(Q	And he was wearing he was wearing	
4	baseba	all i	Jersey, wasn't he?	
5	i	A	Don't recall.	
6	(Q	You don't recall.	
7			Now, Little Julio, is he Puerto Rican?	
8	1	A	Yes.	
9	(Q	He's Puerto Rican, he is fair skin, isn't	
10	he?			
11	1	A	He's what?	
12	(Q	He's fair skin?	
13	i	A	Yes.	
14	(Q	Lighter than you?	
15]	A	Yes.	
16	,	Q	He's lighter than your brother?	
17		A	He is my complexion.	
18		Q	He is your complexion, or he is lighter	
19	than			
20		A	He's not lighter than me. He's my	
21	compl	exio	n.	
22		Q	Well, when you saw when you saw your	
23	broth	er ge	etting surrounded, you said something that	
24	drew	your	attention to look over there?	
25		A	Yes.	

	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	501
1	Q What was that?	
2	A When he went to the bar to get the beers,	
3	that drew my attention was my bottle was empty. So	
4	I looked to see where Enrique, and he was grabbing	
5	the beers.	
6	Q So he was at the bar?	
7	A Yes.	
8	MS. CHU: If I could just have People's	
9	number 6.	
10	(pause)	
11	MS. CHU: May I approach the exhibit?	
12	THE COURT: Yes.	
13	Q Mr. Rivera, you see this diagram here?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q That's the way the bar is kind of set up	
16	inside, right?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q Would the name Amanacer or El Borinquen	
19	Bar ring a bell, as far as name?	
20	A The Borinquen Bar.	
21	Q Now, curvy line on the right-hand side of	
22	People's number 6; that is where the bar was	
23	(indicating)?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q Where you get the drinks?	

J. Rivera - Cross/Chu 502 1 Α Yes. 2 Dance floor would be back here 3 (indicating)? Α Ah-huh. 4 5 Q That is where the bathrooms are (indicating)? 6 7 Α Right. And then you remember there was a side 8 9 door when you walk in? 10 Α Yes. 11 Where were those people that you saw surrounding your brother, in relation to where that 12 side door was? 13 14 Α Right by the sidebar. 15 Q Right? 16 Right in front of side door. Could you just tell us how they were 17 surrounding your brother? Who was closest to the 18 door, who was closest to the bar? 19 You see door left-hand side, the bar on 20 21 right-hand side (indicating)? Who was closer to 22 where? He was closer. See where right in front 23 of door, right in the middle of the -- right here in 24 the middle (indicating). 25

	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	503
1	THE COURT: Jurors can't see if you're	
2	turning like that.	
3	Q You said right where	
4	A Right in the middle (indicating).	
5	Q Right here (indicating)?	
6	A Yeah.	
7	MR. DRANOVE: The record doesn't reflect	
8	what he is pointing to.	
9	Q I am just	
10	A Right in the middle of the door	
11	(indicting).	
12	Q Right in the middle of the door. Between	
13	jukebox and where that table is?	
14	A Yeah.	
15	Q In that area on the diagram was who?	
16	A That was where my brother was surrounded.	
17	Q That was where your brother was	
18	surrounded?	
19	A Right.	
20	Q Who was closest to the door and closest to	
21	the other side where the bar is?	
22	A Enrique was close to the door, and the	
23	other guys were like close towards the door.	
24	Q So they're closer to the door?	
25	A Correct. Yes.	
	I .	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

504

J. Rivera - Cross/Chu So, would it be fair to say, that the guy -- the two guys -- I am sorry -- you said how many guys surrounding your brother? There was about three in front of him. Α Q Three in front of him? Correct. Α 0 In that little area between the chair and the --They wasn't in between the chair. It was middle of the door. Right in the middle. They were towards the door. My brother was towards the bar. But it was right in the middle. Okay. And how far away were the other Q people from this door? Were right up on the door? Not upon the door. Maybe three feet. About three feet, maybe. Three feet away from the door? Α Yeah. And your brother, when say surrounded, I mean one was in front of him, one was on the side and one towards the back? How was it? All three in front. Just spreaded all Α three.

There was another two that I said they

were behind him. Little Julio and him. But I don't

	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	505
i		
1	know if they were with the group or not. But they	
2	were facing towards Rique, which was behind him.	
3	Q So everybody was looking at your brother	
4	in the middle?	
5	A Yeah. They were all cursing and	
6	everything.	
7	I am just saying two guys behind, they	
8	were turned around towards the crowd	
9	Q Isn't it fact that one of the guys that	
10	were behind him was Rudy, Jahaira's boyfriend?	
11	A I didn't notice.	
12	Q You didn't notice?	
13	A No.	
14	Q So Rudy was cursing at him?	
15	A It wasn't Rudy. Cause Rudy was on the	
16	dance floor.	
17	Q What they look like then?	
18	A They just they were tall. Dark	
19	everything wearing dark clothes.	
20	Q Everything was tall, wearing dark clothes?	
21	A Tall, wearing dark clothes.	
22	Q What about the guy that was standing next	
23	to the tall, dark skin guy near the jukebox or	
24	closer to	
25	A They were two. One shorter.	

		J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	506
1	Q	One of them was quite short; isn't that	
2	true?		
3	A	Yes.	
4	Q	Five foot five?	
5	A	Yes.	
6	Q	Skinny guy?	
7	А	Yeah.	
8	Q	And I mean there was big difference	
9	between h	nim and the taller guy?	
10	А	Yes.	
11	Q	As far as height is concerned?	
12	A	Yes.	
13	Q	And those two were the ones standing in	
14	front of	your brother?	
15	А	Yeah.	
16		THE COURT: Let him finish, then ask your	
17	ques	stion.	
18	А	There was another person there.	
19	Q	There was another person there?	
20	А	Yes.	
21	Q	So, basically, like face off, that is what	
22	you're de	escribing?	
23	А	Yes.	
24	Q	Where was Little Julio with respect to	
25	your brot	ther?	

	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	507
1	A He was towards Rique's right-hand side.	
2	Q Was Rique's right-hand side?	
3	A Yeah.	
4	Q Him and Rique standing side by side?	
5	A Yeah. Right next to each other.	
6	Q So then the three guys in front of them	
7	would be standing side by side as well?	
8	A Not side by side. Just like a circle.	
9	Three guys in front. Little Julio here, Enrique	
10	here. (Indicating)	
11	Q So if you were looking at that and not	
12	knowing who anybody else, you could think that your	
13	brother and Little Julio were surrounding the guys	
14	in front of them? Right?	
15	A No.	
16	Q The way it looked to you was that your	
17	brother was being surrounded, that is what you're	
18	trying to tell us?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q So you immediately went over?	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q In fact, you were wearing a gray jacket	
23	that night?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q And you weren't wearing a camouflage	
	l .	

	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	508
1	jacket, were you?	
2	A No.	
3	MS. CHU: If I can have People's number 7	
4	in evidence. The clothing.	
5	I will move on to something else until	
6	they get it.	
7	Q When you first looked over and you saw	
8	them having words, was anybody touching yet?	
9	A No.	
10	Q How long did it take you to get from where	
11	you were to over where they were?	
12	A Few seconds.	
13	Q It's not very big bar?	
14	A No.	
15	Q You didn't have to plow through people,	
16	anything, just walked right over; isn't that	
17	correct?	
18	A Right.	
19	Q When you walked over, you were the only	
20	one that was walking over; isn't that fair?	
21	A There was already people there.	
22	Q That's not what I asked you.	
23	The only one going over to assist your	
24	brother; isn't that true?	
25	A Yes and JP. I tapped him.	

	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	509
1	Q You tapped JP?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q Do you remember what JP was wearing that	
4	night?	
5	A No.	
6	Q He wasn't wearing camouflage though,	
7	right?	
8	A No.	
9	Q In fact, Little Julio was the only one in	
10	your group that was wearing the exact same jacket as	
11	your brother; isn't that true?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q Now, you said your brother had a hat on	
14	too, correct?	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q In fact, he kept having to take it on and	
17	off while he was at the bar?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q Yes. Now, tell me what happens when you	
20	walk over to where you said three guys are	
21	surrounding your brother?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q What happens?	
24	A I walked towards them when they was	
25	showing hand gesturing, pointing out, they getting	

	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	510
1	closer to their face.	
2	Q When you say they making	
3	A Hand gestures. You know, like pointing	
4	fingers and putting hands like in people's face.	
5	Q What were they saying?	
6	A Just cursing.	
7	Q About what?	
8	A I couldn't hear it.	
9	Q You said they're cursing, you need	
10	A Yes.	
11	Q Did they say what they were cursing about?	
12	A No.	
13	Q Did they say, you stepped on my f'g toe,	
14	what?	
15	A When I there, you hear the word "fuck.	
16	"Fuck off" and stuff like that. I walked in, then I	
17	asked. I said, what the fuck is your brother I	
18	came in the middle. Pushed them back.	
19	Q In fact, you were the one that said	
20	something to the tall, dark skin guy, correct?	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q You initiated conversation with him, not	
23	the shorter guy?	
24	A No.	
25	Q You were talking to him?	

		J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	511
1	А	Yes.	
2	Q	And you used the F word when you spoke to	
3	him?		
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	Yes?	
6	А	Yes.	
7	Q	And then after the first time someone	
8	made conta	act, who was it I'm sorry. Withdrawn.	
9		Who was it that you saw had physical	
10	contact f	irst?	
11	А	Well, the tall guy had hit me first.	
12	Q	He hit you?	
13	А	Yes.as soon as I turned around, curse at	
14	my brothe	r, I turned back, when I turned back,	
15	that's wh	en he threw the first punch.	
16	Q	You cursed your brother?	
17	А	Yes.	
18	Q	When you did that, you turned you	
19	turned yo	ur head to the side?	
20	А	Yes. I just turned this way (indicating).	
21	I pushed	curse my brother out, when I turned	
22	around, w	hen I went to turn back towards the tall	
23	dude		
24	Q	The tall guy hit you?	
25	A	Yes.	

J. Rivera - Cross/Chu 512 1 In fact, you said that the bouncer were Q involved somehow? Did the bouncer come over? 2 3 They came over when he took Rique. When JP grabbed me --4 5 Q They took him out, right? Ah-huh. 6 Α In fact --7 Q MR. DRANOVE: Objection to "him". 8 9 THE COURT: What do you mean when you say "him"? 10 THE WITNESS: Enrique. 11 12 They took your brother out, right? O They took -- they took my brother out, 13 Α 14 yes. He is only one they took out from this 15 whole -- they took only your brother; isn't that 16 17 correct? 18 Α No. They --You just said --19 0 THE COURT: Let him finish his answer. 20 After the fight. When everything was 21 throwing punching, they tried to break up, JP tried 22 to grab me. All seen -- I told JP, look, where's my 23 24 brother? When I looked, the bouncer had my brother. I did not see Little Julio. And I just started 25

	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	513
1	going out the bar.	
2	Q So, my question to you is, isn't it true	
3	that the bouncer only got your brother to remove	
4	MR. DRANOVE: To his own objection to	
5	the form of the question.	
6	THE COURT: Overruled.	
7	You may answer. Is it true the bouncer	
8	only had your brother?	
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
10	Q Now, you described someone throwing a	
11	punch over you?	
12	A Ah-huh.	
13	Q And you described it, as you said, it was	
14	a dark colored jacket?	
15	A Ah-huh.	
16	Q Probably camouflage?	
17	A Ah-huh.	
18	THE COURT: Yes or no?	
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
20	Q Now, you were asked, you said that when	
21	you that when you were at the precinct that the	
22	detectives kept telling you about this kept	
23	asking you	
24	A Jacket, yes.	
25	Q In fact, when you say the detectives were	

	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	514
1	at your house, they actually looked at your clothes	
2	that you were wearing that night?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q And you weren't wearing camouflage	
5	clothes, were you?	
6	A No.	
7	Q You weren't wearing a green camouflage	
8	type of a hat, were you?	
9	A No.	
10	Q And you didn't have on a brown hoodie, did	
11	you?	
12	A No.	
13	Q Your brother was the first of your group	
14	to leave the bar, true or not true?	
15	MR. DRANOVE: Objection to his own	
16	personal knowledge only.	
17	THE COURT: Sustained as to the form.	
18	Restate your question.	
19	Q Your brother, from I am sorry.	
20	Withdrawn.	
21	The group of your four. You, Little	
22	Julio, JP and your brother. Your brother was the	
23	first one you saw leave the bar; isn't that correct?	
24	A He was at the door.	
25	Q Being led out; isn't that true?	

J. Rivera - Cross/Chu 515 1 Α Yes. 2 And, in fact, you didn't leave right when he left; isn't that true? 3 4 Α No. 5 In fact, you remained in the bar and you were however -- I am sorry. 6 You didn't leave until a little while 7 after your brother; isn't that true? 8 9 Just seconds. You left after your brother; isn't that 10 true? 11 12 Yes. Α Now, when you spoke with the detectives at 13 the precinct, in fact, you told us during direct 14 examination that you spoke with detective, I believe 15 it was Darino and -- I am sorry -- Detective Rivera 16 17 at the precinct; isn't that correct? 18 Α Yes. And when they spoke to you, it was 19 sometime early, I believe it's Monday morning, on 20 21 February 28, 2005, right? 22 Α Yes. And when he spoke to you, they spoke with 23 24 you at the precinct, correct? 25 Α Yes.

516

J. Rivera - Cross/Chu In fact, when they -- when detectives came 1 0 2 to your house, they asked you to come to the precinct and you said, yeah, I'll come to the 3 precinct, I got nothing to hide; isn't that true? 5 Yes. Α Now, isn't it true that when you spoke 6 7 with the detectives at the precinct that you said that when you went to break up dispute, as you were 8 9 breaking the dispute up, someone started to punch the bald headed male? Do you remember telling them 10 11 12 Punching the bald headed male? Α 13 Yeah. Q 14 Α No. You don't remember telling them that. 15 Q Well, did you also tell the detective --16 MR. DRANOVE: Objection to "also". 17 THE COURT: Sustained. 18 Did you tell the detectives that you saw 19 Q 20 the jacket of the puncher and that jacket was 21 camouflage jacket? No. Told them dark, yes. 22 23 Q Dark?

It could have camouflage. It was dark

24

25

Α

jacket.

		J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	517
1	Q	But you did mention	
2	А	He mentioned it, I continue	
3	Q	You agree it could have been?	
4	А	Could have been.	
5	Q	Yes?	
6	А	Yes.	
7	Q	You also state I am sorry.	
8		Isn't it true that you told the detective	
9	the only	people at the bar that had camouflage on	
10	were your	brother, Kique and his little and his	
11	friend, L	ittle Julio?	
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	That's true, right? Did you ever tell	
14	I'm sorry	. Withdrawn.	
15		You said that you recall being interviewed	
16	a second	time with the assistant district attorney.	
17	The femal	e lady, you said was in the room with the	
18	detective	?	
19	А	Yes.	
20	Q	You recall that, right?	
21	A	Yes.	
22	Q	And you recall being audio taped by her?	
23	A	Yes.	
24	Q	Where she put tape recorder in front of	
25	you?		

		J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	518
1	А	Yes.	
2	Q	She pressed it down?	
3	A	Yes.	
4	Q	You remember that?	
5	А	Yes.	
6	Q	And you, when she put the tape recorder	
7	on, do yo	ou remember her also having to swear you in?	
8	А	Yes.	
9	Q	She asked, right she told you she was a	
10	notary public?		
11	А	Don't recall.	
12	Q	She said, do you swear to tell truth,	
13	whole truth, nothing but the truth, right?		
14	A	Yes.	
15	Q	She asked you that?	
16	A	Yes.	
17	Q	And you said, yes?	
18	A	Yes.	
19	Q	You swore?	
20	A	Yes.	
21	Q	Now, do you recall telling her that the	
22	camouflage jacket was swinging towards the dark skin		
23	guy and the short guy in between both of them, and		
24	JP just grabbed me and I mean the bouncer, I just		
25	left? Do	you remember telling her that on audio	
	I		

	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	519	
1	tape?		
2	A No.		
3	Q You don't remember?		
4	A No. Just telling her that the punch came		
5	over my shoulders.		
6	Q Well, would anything refresh your		
7	recollection if in fact you told the assistant		
8	district attorney that the camouflage jacket was		
9	swinging towards the dark skin guy and the shorter		
10	guy?		
11	A It went over my shoulders.		
12	Q It went over your shoulders?		
13	A Yes.		
14	Q Towards the short guy and the tall dark		
15	skin guy, correct?		
16	A Yeah. Towards them, yeah.		
17	Q That was person that was wearing that		
18	camouflage jacket?		
19	A Dark jacket. I don't know camouflage or		
20	not.		
21	Q Would anything		
22	MR. DRANOVE: Would you allow him to		
23	answer the question.		
24	THE COURT: Yes. Let him finish the		
25	answer.		

į	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	520	
1	A Like I said, it could have been dark		
2	jacket, camouflage. I didn't see it.		
3	Q Now, you're saying I am sorry.		
4	Now, you're saying you didn't see it?		
5	A No. I said, it was a dark jacket. It was		
6	dark in that area.		
7	MS. CHU: People's number 8, I believe it		
8	is.		
9	THE COURT: 7.		
10	COURT OFFICER: Which item?		
11	MS. CHU: The jacket, please.		
12	Q Do you recognize that jacket, Mr. Rivera?		
13	A Yes.		
14	Q In fact, that is your brother's jacket;		
15	isn't that true?		
16	A Yes.		
17	Q Yes?		
18	A Yes.		
19	Q In fact, that is the jacket he was wearing		
20	that night on February 27, 2005?		
21	A Yes.		
22	Q Isn't that correct?		
23	A Yes.		
24	Q Now, in that jacket there are a number of		
25	colors?		

		J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	521
1	А	Yes.	
2	Q	Would it be fair to say that those colors	
3	are dark colors?		
4	А	Yes.	
5	Q	And included in those dark colors is	
6	black; isn't that true?		
7	А	Yes.	
8	Q	Isn't that the same I am sorry.	
9	Withdrawn.		
10		You said Little Julio was wearing the	
11	jacket pattern of camouflage?		
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	Same type jacket?	
14	А	Yes.	
15	Q	But the exact same pattern?	
16	А	Yes.	
17	Q	Now	
18		THE COURT: Can she put it back now?	
19		MS. CHU: Yes, she can put it back.	
20		Thank you very much, Officer.	
21	Q	Now, let me ask you this, Mr. Rivera. At	
22	the time	you were interviewed by the police at the	
23	precinct	on February 28, 2005, had you already	
24	spoken with your brother, the defendant, Enrique;		
25	isn't th	at true?	

J. Rivera - Cross/Chu 522 1 A Yes. 2 In fact, you spoke to him that very night 3 that everything happened at the bar; isn't that 4 true? 5 Yes. Α 6 0 Yes? 7 Α Yes. 8 In fact, you met up with him on Nelson 9 Avenue, is what you testified to earlier; is that 10 true? 11 Α Yes. 12 0 Yes. 13 when you met up with him, in fact, you 14 were pretty angry, weren't you? 15 Α Yes. 16 In fact, the first words to him you spoke 17 were yelled at him; isn't that true? 18 Α Yes. 19 In fact, you cursed at your brother when you saw him after you left the bar; isn't that true? 20 21 Α Yes. 22 Now, you also said that you had called 23 Jahaira because you had left your car keys and your 24 jacket inside the bar? 25 Α Yes.

		J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	523
1	Q	Isn't that correct?	
2	А	Yes.	
3	Q	In fact, when you met with them, you met	
4	them on N	inth Street and Fifth Avenue? That is	
5	where you	met them?	
6	A	Yes.	
7	Q	In fact, she had to drive your car to you	
8	so you ca	n get it; isn't that true?	
9	А	Yes.	
10	Q	Yes?	
11	А	Yes.	
12	Q	Isn't it also true that you told her	
13		MR. DRANOVE: Objection.	
14	Q	Kique had stabbed	
15		MR. DRANOVE: Objection. Objection.	
16		May we approach?	
17		THE COURT: Excuse me a moment, ladies and	
18	gent	lemen.	
19		(Whereupon, there was a discussion off the	
20	reco	ord, at sidebar at this time.)	
21		THE COURT: Start the question again,	
22	plea	ise?	
23	Q	And isn't it true, Mr. Rivera, that when	
24	you saw J	ahaira on Ninth Street and Fifth Avenue	
25	that you	told her that Kique had stabbed the guy	

	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu 524
1	several times; isn't that true?
2	A No.
3	Q You never told her that?
4	A No.
5	Q You did meet her on Ninth and Fifth
6	Avenue, didn't you?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Kique is your brother, that is his
9	nickname; isn't that true?
10	A Yes.
11	Not Kique, Rique.
12	Q Not Kique, Rique?
13	A Not Kique.
14	Q When you met with Jahaira, when you were
15	getting your car from her, didn't you tell her that
16	Rique had went up to him and got him jigged in the
17	arm which is stabbed and in the neck; that is
18	what you told her jigged him in the neck and the
19	arm?
20	A No.
21	Q You never told her that?
22	A No. She was the one that told me what
23	happened.
24	Q That Rique had jigged
25	A She is one that told me what. I told

	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	525
1	she asked I knew about the fight. She told me	
2	that somebody got stabbed.	
3	Q But you didn't tell her Rique was the one	
4	that stabbed the guy several times?	
5	A No.	
6	Q You didn't tell her that Rique was	
7	jigged him in the arm	
8	A No.	
9	Q and the neck? You didn't tell her	
10	that?	
11	A No.	
12	Q And Rudy was there when you met Jahaira?	
13	A Rudy was and his brother.	
14	Q Isn't it a fact when you met with them,	
15	you told, Kique went crazy and start stabbing the	
16	guy?	
17	A I didn't say nothing like that.	
18	Q You didn't tell them that when you were on	
19	9th and Fifth?	
20	A No.	
21	Q You didn't tell Rudy, I don't know why he	
22	did it?	
23	A No.	
24	Q You didn't tell Rudy that Kique was crazy,	
25	he stabbed the guy few times, he was bugging out?	

	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	526
1	You didn't say that to him?	
2	A No. No.	
3	Q Now, Mr. Rivera, Enrique is your brother	
4	right?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q In fact, he's your little brother, right?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q And you don't want to see anything bad	
9	happen to him, right?	
10	A No.	
11	Q You don't want to see him go to jail,	
12	right?	
13	A No.	
14	Q And you'd do anything to make sure that	
15	didn't happen; isn't that true?	
16	A Not anything.	
17	Q Not anything?	
18	A Not anything.	
19	Q Now, you testified earlier that you were	
20	at the precinct, right?	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q And isn't it true that you were given the	
23	option to leave and come back because there was	
24	going to be a while between the interview that the	
25	detective had with you and the audio tape by the	

	-	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	527
1	assistant	district attorney; isn't that true?	
2	А	No.	
3	Q	That is not true?	
4	А	No.	
5	Q	Well, you said you never asked to leave;	
6	isn't tha	t true?	
7	А	They never told me I couldn't leave.	
8	Q	Well, I actually on you didn't tell us	
9	just earl:	ier that you didn't ask	
10	A	I didn't ask because they already had told	
11	me that I	cannot leave until they catch my brother.	
12	Q	But they already had you in custody,	
13	right?		
14	A	They had me in custody.	
15	Q	That is what you're saying, they had you	
16	custody?		
17	A	Ah-huh.	
18	Q	You were handcuffed while you were at the	
19	precinct?		
20	A	No.	
21	Q	Were you in a room?	
22	A	Yes.	
23	Q	The room didn't have a door, did it?	
24	A	It had a door.	
25	Q	It had a door?	

		J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	528
1	А	Yes.	
2	Q	Was the door open or closed?	
3	A	Closed.	
4	Q	And there was microwave in there?	
5	А	Don't recall.	
6	Q	TV in there?	
7	A	No.	
8	Q	Was there a couch in there?	
9	A	No.	
10	Q	No couch in there?	
11	A	No couch.	
12	Q	You said you were placed in several rooms	
13	though,	you said?	
14	A	Yes.	
15	Q	You weren't just in one room?	
16	A	Yes.	
17	Q	Were you ever in a room that had a couch?	
18	A	No.	
19	Q	What about a TV?	
20	A	No.	
21	Q	What about a refrigerator?	
22	A	No.	
23	Q	You weren't in a kitchen?	
24	A	No.	
25	Q	Now, when the detectives came to our	

:	J. Rivera - Cross/Chu	529
1	house, they were there to look for your brother;	
2	isn't that true?	
3	A No.	
4	Q They were there to look for your brother?	
5	MR. DRANOVE: Objection, asked and	
6	answered.	
7	THE COURT: Sustained.	
8	Q Well, you told us that the detective said	
9	they wanted you to help them find Enrique, right?	
10	A They asked me when they were in the	
11	precinct. They said we were looking for Rique.	
12	Q The were looking for Enrique?	
13	A Correct.	
14	Q And you said it was Darino and Rivera was	
15	the one that came to your house?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q There weren't	
18	A There is other detectives, about five	
19	detectives.	
20	Q About five detectives?	
21	A About five.	
22	Q But Darino and Rivera were the ones first	
23	came	
24	A No. They were there. They all came in.	
25	Q But you let them in, right?	

J. Rivera - Cross/Chu 530 1 Α Yes. 2 In fact, you were willing to talk to them, 3 right? 4 Α Yes. And the first time the detectives spoke to 5 Q you at the -- the first interview you said that you 6 7 had, you only spoke about ten, 15 minutes; isn't that true? 8 9 Α Yes. They didn't have you in there for hours 10 11 just grilling you, grilling you, they asked you what happened; isn't that true? 12 13 Α Yes. And isn't it true also that while you were 14 at the precinct, you never tried to leave at all? 15 16 You never asked them, can I leave? I'm leaving? You never said anything like that, did you? 17 Yes. When I heard them, they are not 18 Α going to let me go, I told them I had to go to work. 19 20 Q They let you make a phone call? 21 I told them I have to go to work. They said, you are not leaving until we catch Rique. I 22 23 said, I have to call my job. And they let you call? 24 25 Α Yes.

	J. Rivera - Redirect/Dranove	531
1	MS. CHU: If I can have one more moment,	
2	your Honor.	
3	THE COURT: Yes.	
4	MS. CHU: I have nothing further. Thank	
5	you.	
6	THE COURT: Any redirect?	
7	MR. DRANOVE: Yes, sir.	
8	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
9	BY MR. DRANOVE:	
10	Q Mr. Rivera, can we return to the bar.	
11	When you turned and noticed that your	
12	brother was with a bouncer, how far was your brother	
13	from you at that time?	
14	A Maybe five feet.	
15	Q How close was he to the door?	
16	A Was heading towards the door.	
17	Q How fast?	
18	A Like maybe another three feet, four feet.	
19	Q Where was Little Julio at that time?	
20	A I did not see Little Julio.	
21	MR. DRANOVE: One moment please, sir.	
22	MR. DRANOVE: I have no further questions.	
23	Thank you.	
24	THE COURT: Anything else on that, Miss	
25	Chu?	

	J. Rivera - Redirect/Dranove	532
1	MS. CHU: No.	
2	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Rivera.	
3	You're excused. You may step down from	
4	the witness stand.	
5	You may proceed, Mr. Dranove.	
6	(WITNESS EXCUSED)	
7	MR. DRANOVE: May I have a five minute	
8	recess?	
9	THE COURT: Okay. Please return to the	
10	jury room. We will resume shortly.	
11	Don't discuss the case.	
12	(Jury Excused)	
13	(Whereupon, there was a short	
14	recess at this time.)	
15	* * * *.	
16	(Whereupon, the official Senior Court	
17	Reporter, Michele Walker, was relieved by	
18	official Senior Court Reporter, Sandra Wilkes	
19	after the recess.)	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	COLLOQUY 53
1	MR. DRANOVE: Judge, I would like to recall
2	Mr. Julio Rivera.
3	THE COURT: First of all, he's sitting here.
4	If you're going to ask him to do anything, he shouldn't
5	be in here.
6	MR. DRANOVE: With respect to the
7	conversation with Jahaira and my client and the
8	sequence who was spoken to first, I think it's actually
9	critical to presenting the facts, the testimony, and
10	the correct framework and chronology so that this
11	Jahaira who the prosecutor has already said has
12	recanted, and that's why she's not being called at
13	least be identified in a manner based on the direct
14	testimony under oath to evaluate the now words of
15	Jahaira in effect.
16	THE COURT: I'm not sure what it is you want
17	to recall him on.
18	MR. DRANOVE: Did you speak to Jahaira before
19	you spoke to your brother or your brother before you
20	spoke to Jahaira.
21	THE COURT: That's what you want to ask him?
22	MR. DRANOVE: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Ms. Chu, what's your position on

MS. CHU: My position is that he had his

that?

COLLOQUY

	COLLOQUY 534
1	opportunity after I did my cross examination.
2	THE COURT: That is correct. The question is
3	whether or not I'll allow him that one question.
4	If you want to ask him that question, I'll
5	allow you to put him on the stand to ask him that one
6	question.
7	MR. DRANOVE: Thank you.
8	THE COURT: Let's bring the jury out and
9	bring that witness back in and you can tell Mr. Rivera
10	to come back in, we'll put him on the witness stand.
11	And then if you want to ask him anything about that,
12	you may, Ms. Chu.
13	COURT OFFICER: You ready for the jury?
14	THE COURT: Yes.
15	COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.
16	COURT CLERK: Both sides waive the roll call?
17	MR. DRANOVE: Yes.
18	MS. CHU: So waived.
19	COURT CLERK: Mr. Rivera, you're still under
20	oath.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Dranove asked for
22	permission to reopen his questioning of this witness,
23	and I granted it. You may proceed Mr. Dranove.
24	CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. DRANOVE:

J. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE

- Mr. Rivera, with respect to your conversations Q with Jahaira and your brother that you testified to, who did you speak to first, Jahaira or your brother?
 - Α Jahaira.
 - Was your brother -- thank you. Thank you. 0

6 THE COURT: Do you want to ask him anything 7 else about that Ms. Chu?

8 RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MS. CHU:

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

- Just to clarify the time period, you're talking about after everything happened when you guys left the bar?
- When we left the bar, JP dropped me off at Ninth 13 Street.
 - That's my question, the time period after everyone left the bar, after everyone left?
 - After I left. Α
 - And you said you spoke to Jahaira first? Q
- 18 First. Α
- 19 Okay, thank. Q
- 20 MS. CHU: Nothing further.
- 21 THE COURT: Thank you. You can step down.
- 22 (At this time, the witness exited the stand.)
- 23 THE COURT: You may proceed Mr. Dranove.
- 24 MR. DRANOVE: The defense calls Mr. Enrique
- 25 Rivera.

ı	I 536
	J. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE
1	(At this time, the defendant takes the
2	stand.)
3	COURT CLERK: Raise your right hand.
4	Solemnly swear the testimony you are about to give will
5	be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
6	truth?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
8	COURT CLERK: Be seated. Please state your
9	name.
10	THE DEFENDANT: Enrique Rivera.
11	COURT CLERK: And what county do you live in?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Kings.
13	COURT CLERK: Thank you.
14	MR. DRANOVE: May we approach for a moment?
15	THE COURT: It's okay with me.
16	MR. DRANOVE: Thank you.
17	THE COURT: You may examine the witness Mr.
18	Dranove.
19	MR. DRANOVE: Thank you.
20	ENRIQUE RIVERA, having been duly sworn,
21	testified as follows:
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. DRANOVE:
24	Q Enrique Rivera, how old are you?
25	A 34.

539 E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE Did you watch it? 1 0 2 Α Yes. 3 Do you recall who was boxing? Q 4 Α A boxer by the name Cotto. 5 After the event ended, did you go anywhere? 0 We went to 39th Street and Third Avenue. 6 Α 7 To the El Boringuen Bar? Q 8 Α Yes. 9 Q What were you wearing? I was wearing, as we already heard, camouflage 10 Α jacket, the hoodie, the hat, jeans, boots. 11 12 When you got there, did you meet any friends? 13 Α We were supposed to meet up with Jahaira, my cousin, and she supposed to have bring some friends. 14 15 Q Lady friends? 16 Lady friends. Α 17 Do you know somebody by the name of J.P.? Q 18 Α Yes. Little Julio? 19 Q 20 Α Yes. 21 Q Do you recall if they got to the bar that night? 22 Α Yes. 23 What, if you recall, was Little Julio wearing? Q 24 Α Camouflage jacket. I'm not sure if he had a 25 hoodie on.

- Q What about a hat?
- 2 A Or hat, not too sure.
- 3 Q Did you enter the bar at some time?
- 4 A Yes.

1

5

6

8

9

11

12

14

15

17

18

- Q Did you go to any bars after you left your barbershop before you entered the Borinquen?
- 7 A No, sir.
 - Q Were you searched before entering the Boringuen?
 - A Yes.
- 10 Q Stand up and tell the jury how you were searched?
 - A I was patted, frisked up here, waist, back -- excuse me.
- 13 Q Step back a little.
 - A Stand out, hold your hands out, you get pat first, check you back.
- 16 O Check what?
 - A Check your collar, your back, around the waist, around your belt, waist, your inner thighs, down to your ankles, and you pull out everything in your pockets.
- 20 O You what?
- A You pull out everything in your pockets, your
 money, your change, flip your inside pocket out. They
 check, make sure you don't have nothing, and they let you go
 in.
- 25 Q What about your jacket?

E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE

- A They check your jacket.
- Q How do they check your jacket?
 - A They pat it down. If there's something in there, they want to see what it is. And you put it back in your pocket and they check it. That's it.
 - Q Did you bring a knife into that bar that night?
- 7 A No, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

- THE COURT: Just pull the mike back down, please.
- 10 | Q Do you recall what time you got to the bar?
- A About 12. 12. Around 12. Not too sure. About 12 | 12, after the fight was over.
- 13 | Q With Cotto?
- 14 A Yeah. I had closed the shop down and everything.
- 15 | Q What did you do once you were inside the bar?
- 16 A We had sat at the bar and got the first round.
- 18 A I'm not sure.
- 19 Q First rounds of what drink?
- 20 A Heinekens.
- 21 Q Did you drink the first Heineken?
- 22 **A** Yes.
- 23 Q Where did you go with it?
- A We -- the first drink we stood at the bar and drank it.

- Q And where did you go from there?
- A We went to the back area of the bar.
 - Q What did you do there?
 - A $\mbox{We stood around between the DJ}$ and the restroom and a small dance floor.
- Q Were people dancing?
- A You might have two. It was not like a Dancing With The Stars. It was no party, like it was not crowded like that. People probably bopping.
- 10 Q Do you recall the lighting?
- 11 A It was dim.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

15

16

18

- Q Did you see -- from where you sat, did you see last week's pictures of the inside of the bar?
- 14 A Excuse me?
 - Q Did you see pictures of the inside of the bar that were put into evidence?
- 17 | A Yes.
 - Q Did any of them accurately display how dark it was in the bar?
- 20 A The only lights you have is the light from the
 21 bar. So when people was there, they try to keep it a little
 22 dark.
- 23 Q What about music, how loud is the music?
- 24 A Pretty loud.
- 25 Q Could you and I have a conversation like this?

E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE

- A No. I would have to come close to you and still shout.
- Q Now, was there a second round of beer that was gotten and consumed?
- MS. CHU: Objection, your Honor. He's leading.
 - THE COURT: What happened next?
 - Q What happened next?

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- A We sat around drinking, and one of the other fellows got a round. I was still drinking. Came a time when I had to go to the bathroom because I received a phone call. So I tried to go to the bathroom to at least hear the phone.
 - Q Tell us what happened?
- A And this young man walked in the bathroom. I wasn't using the bathroom, I was just talking on the phone. So he just continue --
- 18 Q Politely describe what he did.
- MS. CHU: Objection, your Honor.
- THE COURT: I'm sorry, I didn't hear the question.
- MR. DRANOVE: I said politely describe what he did.
- 24 THE COURT: I'll allow it. Give your answer.
- 25 A He walked in the restroom and nobody was using the

toilet, and he began urinating. I was thinking nothing of it. Then he made a comment, "This ain't no phone booth."

So I told the person, call you back.

- Q Did you leave the bathroom?

 MS. CHU: Objection.
- A I just walked out the bathroom.
- Q Can you describe that person?
- A Not really.

- Q Tell us what happened next?
- A I stepped out the bathroom. I was by -- I went back to the group I was with. We were sitting there, standing there having drinks. I still had my drink, but J.P. was so called thirsty. He wanted another round. I said wait until I finish my drink, I'll get the next round. So while we sitting there, I'm talking to Little Julio, my friend Little Julio. I'm telling him we got to get out of here. This place is corny. But I was waiting for that phone call to make other plans. If the plan came through, I was going to leave my car with Little Julio and somebody was going to pick me up.
 - Q Male or female?
- A Female. And through that time while I was talking to Little Julio, that same young man that was in the bathroom had walked out the bathroom and brushed into me.

 He had enough room, but I figure he drunk, whatever the case

- may be. But he had a stare in his face when he was walking by me, looking back. It was a little aggressive, like -- but he's just drunk, pay it no mind. But I let Little Julio know, and that was that.
- Q About what time do you think it was at this moment?
 - A Maybe about 12:30, 45, I'm not sure.
 - Q How many beers do you think you consumed by this time in the bar?
- 10 A Me?

- 11 | Q Yeah.
 - A Probably about my third beer or my second, I'm not sure.
 - Q Please continue telling us what happened.
 - "What's up with the next round man? Can we get the next round? We already got a round. We already paid for another round. When you gon get our beers?" So I go to the bar, I get the two, I ask for the four Heinekens, paid for it, tipped the lady. But behind you have the kid that was, that I had the little thing in the bathroom with was sitting behind me with his friends. So after I paid for the Heinekens and everything, I tried to wait for one of them to come get the beers. By the same token, I turn around to see what was going on behind me, and when I looked and I seen

that same young man, he like bopped his head like "What's up?" So I was just like, this kid, he really got a problem. So I go over to him and I asked him what seems to be the problem? He said "I ain't got no problem. You got a problem? So at the same time, Little Julio coming. So I'm trying to talk to him. I had a business card in my back pocket. So I see how the young man is a little hostility, so I'm trying to talk to him. I'm just, give him a business card, come see me. I got a new barbershop in the neighborhood. But his friend, the gentleman that testified earlier --

- Q Do you recall the name or appearance of the one who testified?
 - A Solomon, the taller guy.
 - Q Right.

A He approached, he was real close. So then, so as I was telling Little Julio what was going on, there already was a little tension. Before it got out of hand, I'm like, chill, hold on. Let me just talk to this kid, you know what I mean?

- Q You have your hands up like you're gesturing now?
- A Yeah.
- 23 | Q Palms open, facing outwards?
 - A Yes, because his man came over like "What's up?"
 So now Little Julio, he's like a little Tasmanian devil.

E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE

1 | You know, like what up? He's little, but he --

2 MS. CHU: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled. Finish your answer.

A He's little, but he's tough. So I said, yo, chill, chill. Let me talk to this kid. But now my brother is coming over, and it gets a little too out of the hand, you know what I mean?

Q No. Why don't you tell us what you mean?

A The little cursing going back and forth, and we ain't going to talk this way. We not going to be able to talk. So when I'm telling him, chill, let me talk to this kid, the taller kid took a swing. He hit me. My reaction was to hit back.

- Q Did you?
- 15 A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 16 Q Who did you hit?
- 17 A The kid in front of me, which I was trying to talk
 18 to, and the taller kid.
- 19 Q So you hit -- how many people did you hit?
- 20 A Two.
 - Q Tall guy being Solomon?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And the other guy, do you know who it is?
- A The kid I was talking to. The gentleman I was talking to.

- Q Do you recall when you talked to him if he was tall or short or not?
 - A About the same height, maybe shorter.
- 4 Q Can you be any more definite than that?
- A I don't know his height like that. He was a little shorter, but just about the same height.
- 7 Q Do you recall what he was wearing?
 - A No.

1

2

3

- 9 Q So you hit Solomon first or the other guy first?
- 10 A I don't recall who I hit first. I know I swing
 11 back on the defense.
- 12 | Q You hit both of them?
- 13 **|** A Yes.
- Q One punch or more than one punch that hit both of them?
- 16 A I threw a few punches.
- 17 | Q How many people did you hit?
- 18 A I believe I hit the two that were there.
- 19 Q Did you have a knife in your hands when you hit 20 the two people?
- 21 A No.
- 22 | Q Did you have a knife with you?
- 23 | A No.
- 24 | Q Did you pick up a knife in the place and use it?
- 25 A No.

E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE

- Q After you hit -- you punched him with a closed fist, is that right?
- A Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

- 4 Q Then what happened?
 - A You know, my brother came, J.P. came. So the rush, the crowd was moving towards where I was at. The bouncer came, got between us, one rushed me out the door.
 - Q A bouncer?
 - A A bouncer.
- 10 Q How did he do that?
- 11 | A Manhandling me. He manhandled me to the door.
- 12 Q Do you recall what that bouncer looked like?
- 13 A A little stockier. He had braids at the time.
- Q Did he testify in this courtroom during this trial?
- 16 | A No.
- Q Did he get -- was this the door on the Third

 Avenue or 39th Street side?
- 19 A 39th Street.
- 20 Q Did he take you outside?
- 21 A Yes. The door swings open, so all you got to do
 22 is force me out.
- Q When you were throwing the couple of punches, were you able to observe where Little Julio was?
- 25 A Not really, no.

	E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE)		
1	Q When you got outside, where did you go?			
2	A I was trying to get back in, really.			
3	Q What did you do?			
4	A I was trying to get back in, but he locked the			
5	door.			
6	Q Someone on the other side locked it?			
7	A Yes, the bouncer locked the door.			
8	Q Did you hear anything?			
9	A They were still fighting in there. They were			
10	still fighting.			
11	Q Well, what did you hear?			
12	A Excuse me?			
13	Q What did you hear?			
14	A You know, people screaming, shouting, the chairs			
15	being, scratching the floor. So then I went to my car. My			
16	car was on 39th Street like three, four cars up.			
17	Q On 39th Street?			
18	A Yes.			
19	Q Between which two avenues?			
20	A Between Third and Fourth.			
21	Q Okay. What did you do?			
22	A I went towards my car to turn it on.			
23	Q Yes? Then what happened?			
24	A Then they opened the door and more people came			
25	out. But I was trying to go to that direction but they			

		E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE
1	didn't le	t me go over there
2	Q	Did you see
3	A	the bouncer.
4	Q	I'm sorry.
5		Did you see Little Julio at the time they opened
6	the door	or later?
7	A	Later.
8	Q	When?
9	A	When I went back to the car.
10	Q	Where was he?
11	А	He was coming out.
12	Q	He was let out after they reopened the door?
13	А	Yeah.
14	Q	Where did he go?
15	А	He came to my direction.
16	Q	Beg your pardon?
17	А	He came in my direction.
18	Q	Did you get into your car?
19	А	Yeah. I was asking where my brother was at.
20	Q	I'm sorry?
21	А	I asked him where's my brother.
22	Q	What did he say?
23	A	He said he should be coming out. He probably out
24	already.	
25	Q	Then what happened?

E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE

- A I stood there for a few seconds trying to get my brother T.O. I tried to radio my brother.
 - Q What? Slow down a little.
 - A I tried to radio my brother.
- Q What happened?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- A I wasn't getting no response.
- Q So then what did you do?
- A I had my car on still, but I'm not trying to leave until I know what's going on with my brother.
- 10 | Q Slow down. Tell us again.
 - A But I wasn't trying to leave until I know what's going on with my brother, so I know.
 - O Please continue.
- 14 A He radioed me. He radioed me back.
- 15 Q Your brother?
 - A Yes. I said yo, where you at? He said "I'm about to go to Ninth Street. Meet me at Ninth Street and Fifth Avenue. I said you all right? He said, "Yeah, I'm all right."
- 20 | Q I'm sorry. Slow down. What?
 - A I asked him was he all right. He said, "Yeah, I'm all right. You all right?" I was like yeah. He said just meet me on Ninth Street and Fifth Avenue. I'll meet you right there now. I'm with J.P.
 - Q He said he's with J.P.?

E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE

- A Yeah, he's with J.P. He said "I'm going to meet Jahaira on 39th Street -- I mean on Ninth Street." So when I go over there, then Jahaira pull up in this car.
 - Q Before you left 39th Street --
- A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

- O -- what did Little Julio do?
- 7 A He got in the car with me.
 - Q What clothing was he wearing?
 - A He was wearing camouflage.
- 10 Q A jacket?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Did you notice anything about his jacket?
- 13 A It had blood on it.
- 14 Q Did you ask him anything about the blood?
- A I said where you get that blood from? Were you bleeding?
- 17 Q What did he say?
- 18 A He said nah, he wasn't bleeding.
- 19 Q What did you do?
- 20 A Just drove off.
- 21 Q Where did you go?
- 22 A To Ninth Street and Fifth Avenue.
- Q What did you do there?
- A Then I met with my brother and J.P. and he got his
- 25

car.

E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE

- 1 Q Who got in whose car?
 - A Julio. I call him T.O. T.O. got his car and he said he was going to drop Jahaira and her boyfriend and her brother home.
 - Q So when you met --
 - A Then he said he was going home after that.
 - Q So when you met your brother, he already had his car keys?
 - A No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

- 10 Q After the incident you said your brother got into 11 this car?
 - A Yeah, he got in his car. When I pulled up, they was pulling up too.
 - Q Okay, so he pulled up by your car?
- 15 A Yeah, in J.P. car.
- 16 Q Okay, all right. Then what did you do?
- 17 A I asked him what they gonna do. I thought the
 18 night was too young. They said -- Julio said he was going
 19 home, J.P. said he was going home.
- 20 Q What did you do?
 - A I made a phone call.
- 22 O To a female?
- A A female friend, and I just dropped Julio off,
 Little Julio at Nelson Street.
- 25 | Q Where on --

E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE

MS. CHU: Objection.

THE COURT: I think he was going to say something else. Finish your answer.

Q Please continue.

A And I dropped Little Julio on Nelson Street and when we sat there for a little while. And then I, when I was ready to leave, my brother was pulling up, I guess he was going to come and get gas because the gas station is right across the street where Little Julio live. And I saw him, we had words.

- Q You and your brother?
- 12 A Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

21

22

23

24

- Q Did Little Julio leave you at that time?
- ∥ A Yes.
- 15 | Q How did he proceed away?
- 16 A He went to his building.
- 17 | Q He lives over there?
- 18 A Yeah.
- 19 Q Where on his jacket did you see the blood?
- 20 A On his jacket. It was on his jacket.
 - Q Well, tell us where on Little Julio's jacket you saw the blood?
 - A On the chest part, on his shoulder part. You couldn't tell in the beginning because the jacket is camouflage. And you could tell that's not a camouflage

E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE

- 1 pattern, it's blood.
 - Q Did he tell you about how the blood got on there?
- 3 | A No.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

- Q Do you know where your hat was when you were in the car with Little Julio?
- A I'm not sure. I wasn't sure. I usually put it on. Like if I'm indoors, I try to have it in my hand, but I don't like having it in my hands. If I have to put it on, I'll put it on. Probably had it on.
- Q You're not sure?
- A I'm not sure.
 - Q Do you recall being hear sitting over at the table there when Ms. Chu read some prior questions and answers which were questions put to you and answers by you?
- 15 A Yes.
 - Q And one of them was "So, that's the victim's blood on your hat" in effect, and you said in affect "Yes?"
 - A I said, yes, but that's from --
- MS. CHU: Objection.
- 20 A From hearing --
- 21 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 22 I'm going to have to interrupt this. I have 23 some other business I need to take care of. So we'll 24 have to resume this after the lunch break.
- Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to excuse you

됴	RIVERA .	- DIRFCT	/ DRANOVE

until 2:30 when we resume the trial. Don't discuss the case. So please be back in the jury room by 2:30.

Take charge of the jury.

(At this time, the jury exited the courtroom.)

THE COURT: The trial is in recession until 2:30.

THE DEFENDANT: Enjoy your hundred.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(At this time, there was a luncheon break and the matter subsequently resumed.)

AFTERNOON SESSION

THE COURT: Mr. Dranove says he has an application before we continue.

What is it, Mr. Dranove?

MR. DRANOVE: With respect to examination of my client to preclude the prosecutor from asking my client if he ever possessed a knife on another occasion.

THE COURT: Do you have any intention to ask that question?

MS. CHU: Well, I was going to ask him regarding what was the statement he gave to the detective and ask him about a knife, and that I was going to ask him whether he ever had a knife before.

COLLOQUY

THE COURT: Well, the statement that he made indicated he had a knife that night.

MS. CHU: Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: The question was were you ever going to ask whether he ever had a knife.

To the extent you're asking me to preclude her from asking about a knife on the date other than the date in question, I'll grant that application.

It's probably moot, but I'll grant it.

MR. DRANOVE: The second half of this application, sir, addresses the subject of the other camouflage jacket, which is presenting himself in a jacket which appeared to have blood on it. I think the subject was not at all mentioned in the last trial, direct, cross, redirect, recross, whatever. I think the silence about that in the last trial is not permitted to be raised in this trial from impeachment grounds under the case of People versus Vaughn Halt, and I'd like that to be put on the record by this counsel and request the Court instruct the prosecutor if you can point to where this was testified to or asked in the prior trial, do so. If otherwise unable to do so, to not question my client with respect to this prior proceeding and failure to testify to it at that proceeding.

COLLOQUY

THE COURT: Ms. Chu?

MS. CHU: Yes, your Honor. I do believe that would be fair game as far as cross examination to ask the defendant whether or not on any prior occasion he ever made mention of the fact that Mr. Julio, Little Julio had a camouflage jacket that had blood all over it, that there were several opportunities he had to speak to people and he never mentioned Mr. Julio had blood all over his jacket. I think that's fair game. I don't think I should be precluded from asking him questions about that.

THE COURT: I agree with Ms. Chu. It's a material part of the account. And just as the defense counsel can ask a witness who testified in the Grand Jury about an omission, that's material to the account. Same thing is true for the prosecutor when the defendant testifies. That would not be something that she would be precluded from asking about.

MR. DRANOVE: Will I be given some opportunity to return to that subject during my examination as long as the Court thinks it's in the realm of reason in light of your Honor's ruling?

THE COURT: I'm not precluding you from asking about it either, the fact that he didn't mention it before.

SW

560 COLLOQUY Okay, let's bring the jury out. 1 COURT OFFICER: Your Honor, you're ready for 2 3 the jury? 4 THE COURT: Yes, we are. 5 COURT OFFICER: Jury entering. COURT CLERK: Both sides waive the roll call? 6 7 MR. DRANOVE: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Rivera, you understand you're still under oath? 9 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Good afternoon ladies and 11 12 gentlemen of the jury. We'll now continue with the 13 direct examination of Mr. Rivera. 14 You may proceed. 15 MR. DRANOVE: Thanks. 16 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DRANOVE: 17 18 Mr. Rivera, what I'd like you to do is step over 19 to exhibit --20 MR. DRANOVE: What number is the exhibit? 21 COURT OFFICER: It's 6. 22 -- exhibit Six which is the diagram, please. Just 0 23 step down. 24 Now, with respect to the time when you were having 25 words with several people and putting your hands out and

E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE

- said chill, at that time point on the chart to where you were?
 - A I was around this area right here.
- Q That's an area you're pointing to which has the words "Blood Swab, S3," over in that area.
- A In that area, all this area right here is where the fight took place at, the rumble took place at (indicating).
- MS. CHU: I'm sorry, I can't see.
- 10 THE COURT: Just point to it again.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Along this area right here.
- Q Where in that area was the tall guy who you punched?
- 14 A He was right about right here (indicating).
- 15 Q Somewhere towards the left side of the print?
- 16 A Yes, over here (indicating).
- 17 | Q Where were you?
- 18 A I was right here.
- Q So you were somewhere closer to the bar than to the exit door, is that correct?
- 21 A In between.
- 22 | O In between?
- 23 | A Yes.
- Q Where were the other people you testified to
- 25 | located?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

The picture inside the bar.

25

Α

THE COURT: That's People Exhibit number 4.

Q Using Exhibit 4, and if you're referencing a picture A, B, C or D, let us know which one you're talking about, and speak slowly please.

A B, Exhibit B, I was just right over here in this area right here.

Q At the bottom middle of the picture, is that correct?

A Yes, right here. That would be like this area too.

Q Now, you're pointing -- when you say this area, picture C?

A On picture C.

Q In the lower right?

A The lower right --

O Yes?

A -- area. Maybe -- you can't even probably see it from the picture. A little back more.

Q Please continue.

A Okay, I was right here on Exhibit D right over here, right in the middle, between the middle of the bar, and the two kids were right here. There were two other kids by this chair between the jukebox and the table when I was talking to the kid right here. When the taller guy approached, the other mens came a little closer, Little

E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE

Julio came. And when I seen everybody was trying to gather up, cursing and all that, that's when I tried to break it up, like listen, this ain't got to go this far. That's when the taller kid -- how do you say, sucker-punched me.

- Q Where was your brother Julio when you got sucker-punched?
- A He had came too. That's when he came and everything got out of hand, everybody was cursing.
- Q Do you recall when you got sucker-punched if before that moment you had any bouncers in your vision?
- A No. The last bouncer that told me about my hat, he was on the dance floor.
 - Q He talked to you about your hat?
 - A He told me about my hat, take my hat off.
- 15 Q Speak a little more slowly.
 - A In this picture here, it's more like the dance floor. He's not in the picture.
 - Q And the dance floor with respect to picture D would be over here towards where I'm standing?
 - A Yes. And the off duty bouncer, he was at this area. He testified he was in this area. I didn't see him here, he was over here.
 - Q Please say that again.
 - A He was on this area where there's like a slot machine on this corner.

565 E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE 1 All right. Now, what happened when it all broke Q 2 out? The rush was -- people was going towards like my 3 Α 4 direction. 5 0 From where? From by the jukebox, they was moving towards this 6 Α 7 way. 8 Towards? 9 Towards the door, the 39th Street door. Α Towards the 39th Street door? 10 Q 11 They were just fighting. Α 12 The door in the picture in C opens to which, the Q 13 street or the --This door right here (indicating)? 14 Α 15 Yeah. 0 That opens to 39th -- to Third Avenue. 16 Α 17 Please continue referencing the picture. Q 18 When the bouncer came, he got between us, and I Α 19 was forced out the door. The door swings open right here in 20 this area. 21 Do you know where J.P. was at that moment? 0 22 No. Α 23 Your brother Julio? Q 24 Α He was in the rumble. 25 Q And what about Little Julio?

When you were outside, did you see Mr. Little

567 E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE Julio outside? 1 2 Α No. At the time you tried to get back in --3 4 Α No. 5 -- did there come some time after you tried to get 0 back in where you observed people exiting the door in 6 7 picture A? 8 Α When I went to my car and turned the car on. 9 When you went --0 And when I looked back, people was coming out. 10 Α Were you in your car when you looked back? 11 Q 12 I had to go inside my car to turn it on, yeah. Α 13 Was anyone in the car with you at that time? Q No. 14 Α Where is it you next saw Little Julio? 15 Q On the sidewalk. 16 Α What did he do? 17 Q 18 I was asking where was my brother at. Α 19 Q Speak more slowly. 20 I asked him where was my brother. He said he Α 21 still in side or he probably coming out right now. 22 Then what happened? Q 23 I wasn't trying to leave until I see my brother. Α 24 0 Until what?

I see my brother and make sure he was all right.

25

Α

E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE

- Q Please try to speak more slowly.
- A Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

21

22

23

24

- Q And then what happened?
- A Then I said just get in the car, get in the car, and I started radioing him and I was getting no answer.
 - O You started what?
 - A Radioing him, Nextel, walkie talkie.
- Q Who?
 - A My brother T.O. Didn't get no answer.
- 10 Q You didn't?
- 11 A Didn't get no answer.
- MS. CHU: Objection. He's interrupting.
- A I didn't get no answer when I started radioing him.
- 15 Q What did you then do?
- 16 A Few seconds later, I hear his voice on the radio.
- 17 | O Whose voice?
- A My brother's, Julio. I was like, yeah, where you at? I'm right here on 39th Street. Where are you? He said "I'm going at Ninth Street and Fifth Avenue right now."
 - Q Is there anything on Ninth Street and Fifth Avenue you're aware of?
 - A It's a diner, there's a newsstand. But usually -it's a diner there where the neighborhood usually meets up
 at after they go clubbing. Be a young crowd there all the

E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE

- 1 | time, 3 o'clock in the morning, 4 o'clock in the morning.
- 2 | Q Did you drive to Fifth Avenue and Ninth Street?
- 3 A Did I drive?
 - Q Yeah.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

- A Yes, I did.
 - Q Had you noticed anything unusual about Little Julio's camouflage jacket by the time you got to Fifth Avenue and Ninth Street?
 - A No.
- Q What happened at Fifth Avenue and Ninth Street?
- 11 A I arrived at Fifth Avenue and Ninth Street, I
 12 pulled up behind J.P. car.
- 13 || Q Yes?
 - A My brother stepped out. I'm like, where your car?

 He was like "Jahaira got it. She's coming right now," and she pulled beside me.
- Q Your brother said Jahaira has it and then what did he say?
- 19 A He said she had his car.
- 20 Q Then what happened?
- 21 A And then when he said that, they pulled up beside 22 of me.
- Q Who pulled up?
- 24 A Jahaira with my brother's car.
- 25 Q Then what happened?

- A He stepped in the car. I said, where you going?

 He said "I'm going to drop them off home." I said, where

 you going after that? He said "I'm going home." I said all

 right.
 - O Then what?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A And I left. My brother went his way, J.P. had left already.
 - Q And what did you do.
 - A And I went to Nelson Street and Hampton Avenue.
 - Q Tell me what happened then?
- A I dropped Little Julio off.
 - Q What was the lighting like at Nelson Street and Hampton Avenue?
 - A Under the gas station bright like this.
 - Q Did you observe anything at that time with respect to Little Julio's clothing?
- A That's when I seen the blood on his jacket.
- Q Now, at any time when you were questioned by the detectives, were you asked if you observed anything on Little Julio's jacket?
- A No.
- Q In a prior proceeding when you were questioned by Ms. Chu, were you asked by anybody if you saw anything on Little Julio's jacket?
- 25 A I don't believe so.

573 E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE My wife, yes. 1 Α 2 And where did you go? 3 And went to her place and she cooked dinner for my 4 son and her daughter, for us. 5 Did there come a time when you left her place? 6 Yes. Α 7 When was that? 0 About 8, 9 o'clock. I'm not sure. 8 Α 9 Q What did you do at that time? I had to bring my son back to my mother's house. 10 Α 11 Did you? Q 12 Yes. Α Where is that located? Where was that location? 13 Q 14 Α 34th Street. And from there where did you go? 15 Q 16 I had to stay there, put him to sleep, and I was Α 17 heading back to Patty's house. 18 Did you get to Patty's house? 19 Α Yes. 20 Q Did she live alone at that time? 21 Α Yes. Well, her daughter. 22 Q Beg your pardon? 23 Α With her daughter. 24 Did you stay at her house until the police Q 25 arrived?

574 E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE Yes. 1 Α 2 How did you come to learn the police were there? 3 Α Were where? At Patty's house? 4 0 5 Oh, Patty woke me up and told me. Α 6 What did you say or do? 0 7 Let them in. Α 8 Then what happened? Q 9 Α They arrested me right in the living room. 10 Where did they take you? Q 11 To 72nd precinct. Α 12 Now, they took you to the 72nd precinct in Q 13 Brooklyn from what location? 14 Α Excuse me? 15 Where is Patty's house? Q 16 Α Queens. When they took you to the 72nd precinct, did they 17 Q 18 talk to you at all in the car? 19 Α Not really, no. It was a quiet drive to the 20 precinct. By the time they had picked you up at her house, 21 22 had you heard anything about the end result of the incident 23 in the bar? 24 MS. CHU: Objection. 25 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

A I had heard about a incident. I know the -- I wasn't in a incident. I was in a bar fight. But when I was in the barbershop, one of my clients which is J.P.'s partner -- J.P. have a detail shop. They clean cars and -- O Yes?

A He was getting a hair cut and he had radioed, J.P. had radioed him, asked him where he was at. He said he was at Rique's getting a hair cut. He said "Oh, you there with Rique?"

Q Speak a little slower.

MS. CHU: He's interrupting him.

A He said "Oh, tell Rique I just drove by that bar and they got a police line."

MS. CHU: Objection. This is hearsay, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained. This is hearsay.

- Q About what time on Sunday did you have that conversation?
 - A That was about 3, 3 in the afternoon.
 - Q Did you stay at work?
 - A Yes.

- Q Now, when the police brought you to the precinct, about what time was it?
 - A Sometime in the morning.
 - Q Do you remember?

1 MS. CHU: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may finish your

answer.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A That me and my brother was being charged with a murder.

O Yes? Please continue.

A All right. He told me that --

MS. CHU: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

A He told me that my brother -- that he found out what happened.

Q Please speak more slowly.

A The detective told me that they know that I was kicked out the bar and my brother was left behind, and you know, that -- first I told them what happened. They asked me what happened and I told them. He said well, this is not what happened. He said somebody got murdered. I said yeah, but I got know -- I didn't hear no gunshots. He said nobody got shot.

- Q Who was talking to you?
- A Detective Darino.
- O Please continue.

A So as I'm talking, he keep interfering. He said "Listen, we have your brother here being charged with murder, and you're going to be charged with murder too if

E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE

you don't tell me what really happened." That's what happened. I don't know nothing else. So he left the room. He kept coming back and forth. Then one time he told me that your brother's about to face life in jail just cause he tried to save your ass at a fight that you had and when you can easily just plead self defense and take the weight for it, your brother goes home. And you -- it's a bar fight. It happens all the time. Get a little eight to ten years instead of y'all both facing life in prison.

MS. CHU: Objection, your Honor. Move to strike as all being hearsay.

THE COURT: Overruled.

Q Did you respond when you were told that by Detective Darino?

A I told him I can't take that, I didn't do nothing.

And I didn't never see my brother do nothing.

- Q Then what happened?
- A They just kept leaving the room, kept coming back.
- 19 Q When they would come back, what would happen?

A He would come back and "What it's going to be? I don't have time. I gotta charge you. What you gonna do? You gonna take the weight for your brother? You got felonies already. You been up north, you know how it goes. You did time before." I was like I don't know, I can't answer that right now. "Be back, give you some time to

1 | think about it."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

- Q Please continue.
 - A Then he came back and said "Listen man, this is gonna to be -- you're pulling no time. Just take the plea, your brother go home, eight to ten years the most. It's self defense."
 - Q Yes? Then what happened?
 - A And I told him how I'm going to plead self defense. "Just say what happened, you had a knife and you struck the guy, you know, in self defense."
- 11 Q Right?
 - A So he said "You got to write it down. You write it down and you sign and everything, then we could just go with that and I'll let your brother go."
- 15 Q Did you write down a statement?
 - A I wrote it, but I'm like, what you want me to say?

 He said say what happened, you know, "When you had the chance you pulled out a knife and you swung it," and that's what I did.
 - Q When you signed your name, your first name Enrique, you misspelled it, is that right?
- 22 A Yes.
- A No. I was nervous. I was about to take the weight for this. I just got a business, I got a son, you

580 E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE 1 know, I was nervous. I couldn't -- I wasn't thinking. 2 wasn't in the right state of mind at this time. 3 What was your physical condition at the time? Tired, exhausted, drinking the day before, a 4 5 hangover. 6 Do you know was there a clock in the room you were Q 7 in when Darino and you had these conversations? 8 Α No, no clock in there. 9 Did you have any way to determine how long this 10 back and forth between Darino and you took place? 11 Α No. 12 After --Q 13 Α I had a watch on. 14 Excuse me? 0 15 I had a watch on. Α 16 Did they take it from you? 0 17 Α No. 18 Did they take your shoe laces? 0 19 Α No. 20 Or your belt? 0 21 No. Α 22 After you wrote the statement, what next happened Q 23 to you? 24 I thought that was going to be it. Then he said Α 25 now you got to sign these papers, the Miranda Rights. I had

to sign that and he said you got to talk to the DA.

- Q Which came first, the signing of the Miranda or writing the statement?
- A When I agreed to writing the statement, he said we gotta do this first. So he read me my rights, I put my initials on it, and then went with the statement.
- Q At any time when he was questioning you before he read you your rights, at any time did he read you any portion of your rights?
 - A Excuse me?

- Q Well, from the time you stepped into the precinct and was put in a room to the time you were about to write your statement, how many times were you read your rights?
 - A None.
- Q When was it you were first read your rights?
- A When I agreed to making a statement. When I was signing the statement he said "Hold on. I have some more papers for you to sign."
- Q Had you already written the statement?
- 20 A Yes.
 - Q How did he approach you with respect to reading you your rights?
 - A He had it on a piece of paper and he read it. And then I just said yes and I put my initials on it.
 - Q Did you sign the statement after you were read the

E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE

- 1 | Miranda Rights?
- 2 A The statement was made.
- 3 | Q Already made?
 - A Yeah.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q And written?
- A Yes.
 - Q How much time passed after that before you next spoke to anybody in law enforcement?
- A Time was like I was in a zone. So it was like the whole world was on top of my back. So it's like about a hour two, I don't know. It seemed like forever. But the time came when I had to go see the DA.
 - Q Do you remember you were brought into a room and the video camera was set up?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Who brought you to the room?
- 17 | A Detective Darino.
- 18 Q Did you know why you were being brought to that 19 room at the time?
- 20 A To have a interview with the DA.
- 21 Q Did you expect a videotape?
- 22 A No.
- Q Did you talk to the DA before that videotaped event which we watched?
- 25 A No.

583 E. RIVERA - DIRECT/DRANOVE 1 Do you remember being asked if you wanted to speak 0 2 to her? 3 Excuse me? 4 Do you remember when she read you your rights --5 you watched the video? 6 Α Yes. 7 And she asked you "Now that I read you your 8 rights, do you want to make a statement," or words to that effect? 9 10 Yes, I recall it. I seen it on the tape. Α 11 really didn't want to talk. 12 0 What else? 13 I didn't want to make a statement. Α Why? 14 Q Because I know I was going to do some time. 15 Α 16 Was it a true statement? 0 17 A false statement. Α 18 Now, was Detective Darino in the room? Q 19 Α Yes. 20 Q Did he tell you in this case about what you should 21 tell the lady prosecutor? 22 Just tell her what you wrote down. 23 Did you ever tell the lady prosecutor you wanted 24 to make the statement? 25 No. Α

- Q Did you do your best to repeat what you had written down?
- A Tried to, yes.

MR. DRANOVE: I have no further questions.

Now Ms. Chu has a chance.

THE COURT: Cross examination Ms. Chu?

MS. CHU: Thank you.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MS. CHU:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

- 10 Q When you were on the videotape with A.D.A.
- 11 Sipress, you never said to her that you didn't want to talk
- 12 | to her, did you?
- 13 | A No.
- 14 Q You never told her at all anything about the fact
 15 that you didn't want to do this, did you?
- 16 | A No.
- Q In fact, you never even told her that Detective
 Darino was telling you what to say, did you?
- 19 A No.
 - Q In fact, she just gave you kind of like an open forum in which to tell what you wanted to say, isn't that true?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q But you never told her anything about what Darino was doing to you or what you're telling to this jury, did

	E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU
1	you?
2	A No.
3	Q Now, how long have you known Little Julio?
4	A For a pretty while.
5	Q You've known him, he's one of your very good
6	friends, isn't that true?
7	A We used to hang out when he was younger. My uncle
8	used to live on that block. Then he moved out and then he
9	went away. And as we got older, we met up again. But I
10	know him since we were little, yeah.
11	Q In fact, you let him hang out at your barbershop
12	that night when you were watching the fight?
13	A Yes. He's a client. I cut his hair.
14	Q You cut his hair?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Did you cut your brother's hair?
17	A Yeah.
18	Q You cut J.P.'s hair?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Any customer that comes in, right?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Because you're in the business to make money?
23	A Yes.
24	Q You charge money, don't you?

E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU

- Q Now, you said that you knew him since you were little and then you kind of met up with him again, and now you're hanging out. How long have you been hanging out? How often would you hang out? Everyday? Every week?
- A Few times a month. Depends if he's in the barbershop, come back, hang out for a little while.
 - Q And you said he used to live on your block?
- A My brother's block.
 - Q And what block was that?
- 10 A On Nelson Street.
- 11 Q And that's right here in Brooklyn?
- 12 | A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q Right down where the B.Q.E. runs over?
- 14 | A Yes.
- 15 | Q What's Little Julio's name?
- 16 A Julio.
- 17 | Q He has a last name?
- 18 A I can't tell you. I don't remember.
- 19 Q You don't know. Does he still live on Nelson
- 20 | Street?
- 21 A I don't believe so.
- Q And so -- but you don't know his name? I'm sorry,
- 23 his full name?
- 24 | A No.
- 25 Q Do you know his phone number?

		E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU	
1	A	No.	
2	Q	You don't know his phone number?	
3	A	No.	
4	Q	How often would you see him back in 2005?	
5	А	He'd come to the barbershop once a week, sometimes	
6	twice a week.		
7	Q	And you guys used to hang out together, isn't that	
8	true?		
9	А	Sometimes. I'd be at work.	
10	Q	In fact, that very night?	
11	А	Excuse me?	
12	Q	That very night, February 27, you were hanging out	
13	with Little Julio, weren't you?		
14	А	Yes.	
15	Q	In fact you guys were dressed similarly, wouldn't	
16	that be i	fair to say?	
17	А	Yes.	
18	Q	Yes?	
19	A	Yes.	
20	Q	Now, Little Julio is not as dark as you, is he?	
21	А	About the same complexion.	
22	Q	He's about the same complexion as you?	
23	А	Yes.	
24	Q	Well, he's shorter than you, isn't he?	
25	A	Yes.	

E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU

- Q He's significantly shorter than you, isn't he?
- 2 A About the nose length.
 - Q Okay, you're what, six foot?
 - A No.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

- Q Five, eleven?
 - A Five, 10, 5, 11 the most. 5, 10.
- Q Well, when you were processed by Detective Darino, you didn't tell him your height was 5, 11?
- 9 MR. DRANOVE: Objection. I don't know if he told him anything.
- THE COURT: What's the question, did he tell him that?
- MS. CHU: Yes.
- 14 A Excuse me?
 - Q Didn't you tell Detective Darino you were 5, 11, 160 pounds?
- 17 A Yes.
- Q So Little Julio is about 5 foot 5, isn't he?

 19 That's why they call him little, right?
- A About 5, 7, 5, 6. He's not no 5, 4, 5. We call
 him Little Julio because he's a old -- my age, he's of age
 but he's just little. He always stood little. He always
 been little. He didn't grow.
- 24 Decause he's short?
- 25 A So we call him Little Julio.

589 E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU And you would classify yourself as being kind of a 1 Q 2 slim guy, right? 3 Α Yes. 4 Your build is slim, right? 5 Α Yes. 6 Would it be fair to say -- withdrawn. 7 Isn't it true that Little Julio is stockier than 8 you? 9 No. He's just Little Julio. Α He's the same size as you, just squished down? 10 Q 11 Α Yes, just shorter. 12 MR. DRANOVE: Objection. 13 THE COURT: Overruled. 14 You said you were drinking when you were at the El Q 15 Borinquen Bar at 39th Street and Third? 16 Α Yes. 17 In fact, you said you were on your fourth round 18 when this altercation happened with Mr. Ojeda and his friend 19 Mr. Solomon, correct? 20 Α Yes. 21 MR. DRANOVE: Objection. He never said 22 Mr. Ojeda. 23 THE COURT: Overruled. That was her 24 question. 25 0 You had been drinking at your barbershop as well,

E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU

- 1 | isn't that correct?
 - A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

- Q In fact, you were drinking Heinekens while you were watching the fight, isn't that true?
- A Yes.
 - Q In fact, you were drinking about three or four beers while you were watching the fight, isn't that true?
 - A I can't recall.
 - Q Well, you were drinking though?
- 10 A Yes.
 - Q Now, do you remember what the guy that was with Mr. Solomon, you know, the person that we've established as the victim in this case, Mr. Ojeda --
- MR. DRANOVE: Objection to "we have established." It's indefinite.
- THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the

 objection. She wants to ask a question about the

 person who was stabbed and died. You understand that's

 Mr. Ojeda?
- 20 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 21 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- Q You know the person that died in this case is Edgar Ojeda, right?
- 24 | A Yes.
- 25 Q Do you remember what he was wearing that night?

		E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU	
1	А	No, ma'am.	
2	Q	Do you remember what color?	
3		THE COURT: What color what?	
4	Q	What color of anything he was wearing?	
5	А	I can't recall.	
6	Q	And what about J.P., do you remember what he was	
7	wearing?		
8	А	No.	
9	Q	What about your brother? Isn't it true that your	
10	brother was wearing like a light or gray colored sweatshirt		
11	or sweat jacket?		
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	And Jahaira was there, right?	
14	А	Yes.	
15	Q	Along with her boyfriend Rudy?	
16	А	Yes.	
17	Q	Now, did those girls get the ones you were told	
18	were coming, did they ever get there before this altercation		
19	took place?		
20	А	No.	
21	Q	They never got there?	
22	А	No.	
23	Q	So when you arrived there with J.P., Little Julio	
24	and your brother, you said Jahaira and Rudy arrived shortly		
25	after you	guys?	

592 E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU 1 Yes. Α And they were with her brother and his girlfriend? 2 I guess that was his girlfriend. I don't know. 3 Α Well, her brother and another woman? 4 0 5 Yes. Α So there was eight of you all together? 6 0 7 I wouldn't say so. I --Α There were four of you? 8 Q MR. DRANOVE: Objection, interrupting the 9 10 answer. THE COURT: Finish your answer. 11 I wouldn't say it was all in a group. I know who 12 Α I came there with. 13 14 I understand that. I wouldn't say we were -- we was all eight 15 16 together. My question to you is there's four of you; you, 17 0 your brother, J.P. and Little Julio, correct? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 And then you had Jahaira and her boyfriend Rudy, 21 correct? 22 Yes. Α 23 And then you had Jahaira's brother? 0 Yes. 24 Α And then you had the woman that he came with? 25 Q

E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU

1 A Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

- Q Correct. So now we're up to eight people, correct?
- 4 A The math is correct.
 - Q So you said you initially you go to the bar and it's just you four that are sitting there or the whole --
 - A At the beginning it was just us four. When they arrived, we went to the back.
 - Q They got their drinks first and then you guys went to the back?
- 11 | A Yes.
- 12 Q Now, did all of you go to the back, meaning you,
 13 Julio, J.P. and Little Julio?
- 14 A Yes.
 - Q And what about Jahaira and Rudy, did they go in the back as well?
- 17 | A Yes.
- Q What about her brother and the woman he came with,
 did they go in the back as well.
- 20 | A Yes.
- 21 Q So eight of you were back there.
- 22 A And other people that was around.
- 23 Q That's my next question.
- Was there anyone in the dance floor area when you went back there with your group of eight?

E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU

A Yes.

1

6

7

- 2 | Q How many people?
- A I can't recall. They had tables on the side.

 They placed tables on the side through the dance floor and
- 5 people sit there, drink.
 - Q But you can't recall -- there were people there but you just can't recall how many?
 - A Yes.
- 9 Q What about in the front area of the bar by the
 10 jukebox and by the tables -- was Mr. Ojeda as well as
 11 Mr. Solomon and his friends, were they already at the bar
 12 when you arrived?
- 13 A I can't recall.
- Q Do you remember them coming in?
- 15 | A No.
- 16 Q Do you remember seeing them come in?
- 17 | A No.
- Q So at some point you say that you are now in the bathroom to take a phone call?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Did you go to the bathroom to go to the bathroom 22 or did you go to the bathroom to take a phone call?
- 23 A Phone call.
- Q Now, there's a door in the back of that bar, isn't there?

E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU

1 A What do you mean?

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

- Q There's a door that goes out to like a gated area where people go to smoke, isn't a true?
 - A Yes. Yes, ma'am.
- Q In fact, if you wanted to make a phone call, you could have stepped out in that direction, isn't that true?
- 7 A I didn't know about it then.
 - Q Well, you knew about that -- well, you just mentioned that you knew that there was a door that goes to the back where people smoke?
- 11 A Yeah, but it wasn't open at the time.
- 12 Q It wasn't open that night?
- A It wasn't open at the time. I didn't know about it. I don't smoke, so I don't know.
- 15 Q Well, you go to the bathroom?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q To use the phone?
- 18 A Yes.
- 20 A Little.
- Q When you say "little," is it a single toilet? Is
 it like a bathroom that you find at your house or it's just
 a toilet and sink?
- 24 A It's a sink and toilet.
- 25 Q It's not like a stall?

E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU

- A Like a three by three.
- Q If you can let me finish.

Is there a stall that's set up and you can lock a door around where the toilet is and you wash your hands someplace else?

- A No, there's no stall.
- Q So everything is open?
- A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

24

25

Q So if you're using the restroom or using the toilet, whoever is in that room would be able to see you, right, there's nothing to block your view of the person that would be using the toilet?

A Yes, but he's urinating, you can't see because he got his back towards you.

- Q You can see his body?
- 16 A Yes.
 - Q Have you ever been to a bathroom where there's actually a wall and there's a door you can go in and people only see your feet, right?
 - A Yes.
- 21 Q It's not like that kind of bathroom?
- 22 | A No.
- - A I was standing in front of the sink looking in the mirror.

E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU

- Q Were those the kind of bathroom -- don't they have some sort of -- how do you let someone know someone is using it?
 - A You lock it.
- || Q No --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

20

21

22

23

- A I wasn't using the bathroom. I was just on the phone.
- Q But you didn't lock the door to let anybody know you were in there?
- A No. I don't think it had a lock. It's a run down bathroom. You just shut the door closed. I don't think it had no lock.
- Q So you go inside and you're looking -- at first you're on the phone in the mirror?
- 15 | A Yes.
 - Q How long were you on the phone before Mr. Ojeda comes in?
- MR. DRANOVE: Objection to Mr. Ojeda coming in. No testimony whatever has established that.
 - THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection as to the form of the question.
 - Q The person that came in to use the bathroom while you were on the phone, is that the same person that was standing with Mr. Solomon?
- 25 | A Yes.

- Q Later on you learned Mr. Ojeda, right, was the person that died in this case, correct?
 - A After. I learned through the proceedings, yes.
 - Q So that's the same person that came into the bathroom while you were on the telephone, isn't that correct?
 - A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q So now that we've established that that's Mr. Ojeda, he walks in when you've been on the phone for about a minute, is that what you're saying?
- A Yes.
 - Q You said you're on the phone with a woman by the name of Patty?
- A Yes.
- 15 Now who called who?
- 16 A She called me.
 - Q And when you were on the phone with her, you said about a minute he walks in. Who initiates or who says something first?
 - A He said something, but I didn't pay no mind, just walk out the bathroom.
 - Q And your testimony is he said something about "This ain't a phone booth?"
- 24 | A Yes.
- 25 Now, when he said that, did you -- I mean, did you

get angry at what he said?

- A Not really. He was probably talking junk. I wasn't using the bathroom like he expected me, so I just walk out. I said I'll call you back and walk out.
 - Q Did you ever call Patty back?
 - A I didn't get a chance to.
- Q So when you walked out the bathroom, you didn't go outside to continue the phone call because there's music playing, right?
- 10 | A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

- Q And you told her you were just going to call her back?
 - A I'll call you back when I get a chance.
 - Q You walk to the bathroom but you don't --
 - A I wanted to know what she was going to do, call me back, I'll call you back.
- Q Well, you only had been on the phone about a minute, right?
- 19 | A Yes.
 - Q After you got on the phone -- I'm sorry. Withdrawn.
 - The reason why you ended the phone call with her is because this person just told you "This ain't a phone booth," isn't a true?
- A Not really. Yeah, I walk out because he's talking

E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU

1 reckless, right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q So you go to get out of the bathroom and tell her you'll call her back?

A Yes.

Q But you didn't go outside to then call her back and continue the conversation with her, isn't that true?

A Yes.

Q Now, instead what you did? You stayed by that bathroom, isn't that true?

A No.

Q Well, you stayed in that back area such that when he walked out you said he bumped into you?

A That's where we was located at. We was in the back area.

O But the dance floor --

MS. CHU: If I can have People's Number 6, please?

May I approach, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

Q If you can just look -- I'm sorry.

This area back here, that's where the dance floor was, right?

A Yes.

Q In fact the bathrooms were like, if you're facing the back they'd be on the left-hand corner?

E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU

1 A Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

- 2 Q Now, does the bathroom come to where the dance 3 | floor area is or is it recessed?
 - A There's a door, but you have plywood to cover the bathroom. You have to come around.
 - Q So you actually have to go back here to get into the bathroom?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And there was a DJ set up in the back, right?
- 10 | A Yes.
- 11 Q In fact, the DJ was close to where it looks like 12 there's a door here?
- 13 A Yes, there's a door there.
- 14 | Q Where were you guys set up?
 - A We was between the DJ and the bathroom, like this area right here (indicating).
- 17 Q Now, you said that there were tables in the back
 18 where the dance floor is and they run on either side of the
 19 dance floor. Is that how it was?
 - A Yes, by the walls.
- 21 Q By the walls?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Now, where were you standing when you say that
 Mr. Ojeda came out and then bumped into you?
- 25 A I was on this side by the bathroom. We was

E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU

- behind, up, like straight across right here. I was on the left-hand side -- on the right-hand side.
 - Q You were on the right-hand side?
- A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

- Q Closest to that plywood wall that you were talking about?
- 7 A Yes. Yes.
 - Q Did you ever walk anywhere in the dance floor to move away from where the bathrooms would be is what I'm asking you?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q And you said that he bumped into you?
- 13 | A Yes.
- 14 Q What part of his body touched your body?
- 15 A Shoulders touched my arms.
- 16 Q So his shoulder touched your arm?
- 17 A Yeah, my shoulder brushed arms to arms.
- 18 Q In fact, Mr. Ojeda is a little shorter than you,
 19 isn't a true?
- 20 A I don't know.
- Q Well, you heard the testimony of Dr. Frederic, right?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q And she testified that he was 5 foot 5, right?
- MR. DRANOVE: Judge, are we asking for his

E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU

personal opinion or what a doctor testified to?

THE COURT: No, the question was whether he heard that testimony Mr. Ojeda was 5, 5.

Did you hear that?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- Q In fact, he was 118 pounds, isn't that correct?
- A According to the testimony, yes.
- Q You said he walked by and you said he brushed your arm, right?
- 10 A My side.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

14

17

19

21

- Q Because you're a little smaller than him, right?
- 12 A I would say so.
 - Q When he walks by you say he brushes into you and then what did he do?
- 15 A He glanced back.
- 16 And how did he glance back?
 - A He gave me that look, like what?
- Q Can you show me how he gave you a look?
 - A He just looked back and he keeps walking.
- 20 | Q He just looked back at you and said what?
 - A No, like a what? No apologize, nothing, just like if there's a problem.
- 23 \ Q And did that make you mad?
- 24 A No.
- 25 | Q That didn't make you mad?

604 E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU No. 1 Α 2 Even after what he said to you in the bathroom? 3 Α No. You're still not mad? 4 0 5 Α No. 6 Okay, and so where do you see him go or do you 0 7 watch him? 8 Α I see him go where his friends at by the bar. 9 I'm sorry? 0 10 Go by the bar where his friends were at. Α 11 In fact, he went over by where the jukebox was? 0 12 Α Yes. 13 That's what your testimony was, right? 14 Yes. Α 15 In fact, he goes by where Mr. Solomon was who you 16 saw testify, right? 17 Yes. Α 18 He goes by where he is? 19 Α Yes. 20 Now, had you seen them -- before Mr. Ojeda 21 actually came to the bathroom, did you notice him in the bar before that? 22 23 Α No. 24 You didn't notice him? Q 25 Α No.

You said he was talking reckless in the bathroom,

E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU

1 | right?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Reckless comment, "It's not a phone booth." It was more sarcastic, that's what it was.

- Q But then after that you have him then bumping into you and then giving you this look, right?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And you're still not mad, right?
- A He's the one that's mad, not me.
 - Q It was enough for you to at least tell Little
 Julio what's going on, right?
 - A It's not like what's going on. It was just let him know it was just talk.
 - Q Isn't it about -- you told Little Julio watch him, you got to watch him? You didn't tell him that?
 - A No. Why he was acting up. They drunk over there, they're acting up.
- Q So you told Little Julio to watch him, right.

 18 Keep his eye out on the guy, right?
- 19 A We're not from that neighborhood.
 - Q And now before you had seen -- I'm sorry, when you saw Mr. Ojeda, you know, walk by you and brush you, something like that, did you see him pushing into anybody else?
 - A No, I didn't. I didn't keep my eyes on him like that.

- Q Well, did you see him have a altercation with anybody else in the bar?
 - A No.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Did you see him looking at anybody?

5 MR. DRANOVE: Let him finish.

THE COURT: We can't talk over each other.

Finish the question, let him answer. Finish your

answer now.

THE WITNESS: I forgot what she said.

THE COURT: You want to read back the last

11 question.

(At this time, the record was read.)

THE COURT: You want to answer who?

A I don't know what he looking at.

THE COURT: All right, start again. Ask another question.

Q Did you see him brush into anybody else after he brushed into you when he came out the bathroom?

A I wasn't watching him.

Q Well, you watched him go over to where Solomon was, didn't you?

A I seen where he ended up at. I didn't watch him every step he took.

Q In fact, while you were telling Little Julio about what happened, you said "Watch him," right?

How did you let Little Julio know who you were talking about?

MR. DRANOVE: Let him answer the question.

THE COURT: One question at a time. What's

the question?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q When you were telling Little Julio about the fact that he should watch him, were you looking at Mr. Ojeda?
 - A No.
 - Q You weren't looking at him?
- 10 A We was talking about the place being corny.

 11 There's no girls in here.
- 12 | O But?
- 13 A And then --

MR. DRANOVE: He's still answering.

THE COURT: Each of you are speaking on top of the other. So finish your answer, please.

A We was talking about how corner it was. It was not lively. I'm about to break out. I was waiting for this phone call. He was like, yeah, them dudes here, and he was like, yeah, them dudes over there acting up, that's it.

Just watch them.

- Q How did you indicate to Little Julio which dudes you were talking about?
 - A The ones at the jukebox.
 - Q Now, there were two people at the jukebox at that

E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU

- 1 | time, isn't that true, yes or no?
- 2 A Yes.
- Q There was Mr. Ojeda and there was Mr. Solomon, 4 isn't that true, yes or no?
 - A Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

- Q Did you tell Little Julio which one it was that you were talking about or did he know?
- A The short one.
- Q So you told him that guy over there, the short one?
- 11 A The short one.
- 12 Q Did you have to point to him? I mean --
- 13 A No. I don't point at people.
- 14 Q Were there other people in the bar?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q In fact, you saw Mr. Ojeda's friends,
- Mr. Domingues and Mr. Carrasquilla in this courtroom also, didn't you?
- 19 | A Yes.
- 20 In fact, they're kind of short too, aren't they?
- 21 A I wasn't sizing them.
- 22 You weren't sizing them?
- 23 A No.
- Q Well, you remember them being at the bar?
- 25 A No.

610 E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU You don't remember them being there? 1 Q 2 Α No. But you did have to distinguish between Carlos and 3 Q Mr. Ojeda to Little Julio, correct? 4 5 Α Yes. While you saw Mr. Ojeda and Carlos Solomon at the 6 7 jukebox, did you see Mr. Ojeda bump into anybody when he was there or push anybody while he was standing there with 8 Mr. Solomon? 9 There was nobody there. 10 Well, I'm asking you did you see him push anybody 11 12 when he was there? 13 Α No. Did you see him get into any words with anybody 14 15 while he was standing there with Mr. Solomon? 16 No. They was just talking. Α 17 So it was just you? 18 Α Just me I quess. Now, have you ever been to this bar before? 19 Q 20 One time. Α One time. For a super bowl thing, right? 21 Q 22 Α Yes. 23 That night it wasn't very crowded, was it? Q 24 Α Which night? The night that you were there on February 27, 25 Q

E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU

 20	1057
 _ / 1	11111

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- A Not really.
 - Q And I just wanted to go to when you were at the precinct you said you got taken there by the detective from Patty's house in Queens, right?
 - A Yes.
 - Q So you get to the precinct and you said Detective Darino was going in and out of the room?
 - A Yes.
- 10 Q Now, I'm going to -- withdraw that.
- You said that you had your son from 6 p.m. on Sunday to 6 p.m. on Monday, that's the arrangement?
- 13 A That's the arrangement, yes.
- Q In fact, you had to pick him up early because his mother was going out that night, right?
- 16 | A Yes.
- 17 Q Sunday night, correct?
- 18 | A Yes.
- 19 Q So you get him at 4 o'clock on Sunday?
- 20 | A Yes.
- Q And then you take him to Patty's house?
- 22 | A Yes.
- 23 | Q That's what you said, right?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q And then you take him back to your mother's house

E. RIVERA - CROSS/CHU

- 1 at 8?
- 2 A Around 8, 9. I'm not sure of the time.
- Now, you were supposed to have him for that 12
- 4 | hours -- I mean 24 hours? Well, 24 hours, 6 p.m. to 6 p.m.,
- 5 | right?
- A The court order was that he be supervised with my
- 7 | mother. He had to stay with my mother, but I took him out
- 8 with me for the day for a little while.
- 9 Q I understand that, but when you left him with your
- 10 | mother --
- 11 A I have to. That's where he stays at.
- 12 | Q Because you left?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q But that's your son?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And you were supposed to spend the time with him
- 17 | from 6 to 6?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 MR. DRANOVE: Objection.
- 20 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 21 Q And you left to go back to Patty's?
- 22 A I put him to sleep and I went back to Patty's,
- 23 | yes.
- 24 | Q Now, when Detective Darino spoke to you at the
- 25 precinct, he never told you what anybody had told him as far

- 1 as his interviews in the case so far, did he?
- 2 Α Yes, he did.
- 3 He told you that you were placed at the bar, 4 right?
 - Α Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

- He told you that you were the first one out, your brother was left in there, correct?
 - Α Yes.
- He never told you anything about whether or not people saw a knife or didn't see a knife, isn't that true?
- 11 He said my brother did it. Α
- 12 He said your brother did it?
- 13 Yes. Α
 - Well, according to you, you said that he told you your brother was already in custody, isn't that true?
- 16 Α Yes.
- And when you were with Detective Darino, did he 18 ever give you any copies of any paperwork he had generated 19 as a result of any injury in this case?
- 20 Α No.
- 21 He never showed you any of those things, right? 0
- 22 Α No.
- 23 When he asked you to tell him what happened, you 24 told him what you say happened?
- 25 Α Yes.

Q And then at some point he asked you to write it out for him. I just want to ask you about that.

A I told him what happened, that's the story he wanted to hear. From what I heard, that my brother stood there, my brother did it and it's all your fault because it was your fight, and now --

Q So he was --

MR. DRANOVE: Let him answer.

A "We're trying to not say nothing, you know. What happened? Tell me what really happened?"

Q He was just trying to get the truth from you, correct?

A No.

MR. DRANOVE: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained as to the form of the question.

We need to switch court reporters.

(At this time, Sandra Wilkes was relieved by Michelle Walker, as official court reporter.)

E. Rivera - Cross/Chu

615

1 THE COURT: You may proceed, Miss Chu. CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 3 BY MS. CHU: Cont'd 4 Now, you were talking before, the fact 5 that Detective Darino said to you that it was your 6 fault that his -- that your brother was going to be charged with murder; that is what you said? 7 8 Α No. He was -- my brother, because of me. 9 Because of my fight, he was trying to protect me. 10 And he wanted you to tell what really 11 happened? 12 Tell my brother. Α 13 0 I am sorry? 14 Α Tell on my brother. Tell me what really happened. He know the story. He want to hear it 15 16 from me. 17 Now, when you were at the bar after -- I 0 18 am sorry. I am going to go back in time here. 19 We are talking about back at the bar, 20 right, and you say you see Mr. Ojeda with friends, 21 Mr. Solomon, by the jukebox. You told Little Julio 22 a little bit about what is going on, right? 23 Α Yes. 24 Now, at some point you made your way over Q

to the bar because your turn to by a round, correct?

	E. Rivera - Cross/Chu	616
1	A Yes.	
2	Q And when you're at the bar, you say that	
3	Mr. Ojeda, Mr. Solomon are behind you?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q So that would mean you would be facing the	
6	bar where the bartender is?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q Yes?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q And when you were facing the bar, did you	
11	turn around to look at them while you were ordering	
12	your beers?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q And you said at that point that is when	
15	you saw him Mr. Ojeda still looking at you?	
16	A At the moment he looked at me, yes.	
17	Q And you said something about he nodded his	
18	head. Ask you show us what you mean? He says,	
19	what's up? Like just like, what's up?	
20	A Yeah, what's up.	
21	Q Kind of like if you see somebody on the	
22	street that you know, and you say to them, what's	
23	like what's up, like that?	
24	A No.	
25	Q Like what you mean?	
	1	

		E. Rivera - Cross/Chu	617
1	А	Like what's up. Why you looking at him.	
2	Q	Why are you looking, that's what you	
3	thought h	im to mean?	
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	And when he said that, did you stay where	
6	were you?		
7	A	Excuse me?	
8	Q	Did you stay where you were by the bar?	
9	А	No. Walked a little towards him.	
10	Q	In fact, you walked right up to him; isn't	
11	that true	?	
12	A	Yes. It's not that far.	
13	Q	And you said, what's your problem, right?	
14	A	I said, what seem to be the problem.	
15	Q	You said, what seems to be the problem?	
16	A	Yes.	
17	Q	And you said he said back to you, what is	
18	your prob	lem, something like that?	
19	A	Yeah. He said, no, you got a problem.	
20	That's wha	at trying what is going on. Like	
21	Q	Now, Mr. Solomon, he's taller than you,	
22	right?		
23	A	Yes.	
24	Q	He is like well, you saw him here in	
25	court?		

E. Rivera - Cross/Chu

618

1 Α Yes. 2 And Mr. Ojeda, we established, is five foot five, right? But you're talking to Mr. Ojeda? 3 4 Yes. 5 And after he said that to you, as far as Q you know, what is your problem, then what happens? 6 7 Α What you mean, what you mean problem? 8 What is going on? But his friend came over, and 9 Little Julio coming over. So now the conversation 10 is over now. Now, I am trying -- cause he want to 11 know what's up. So I want to know what is --12 Mr. Solomon, what -- know what's 13 happening? 14 And Julio. Everything all right? 15 Everything all Right. It ain't nothing. Ain't 16 nothing. Ain't nothing. 17 Little Julio and that's what he said, what's happening? You said, it's nothing, it's 18 19 nothing? 20 It's nothing. Don't even take it out of 21 context. 22 So when you just said that, you were using 23 your hand, you were putting them up, like palms

A Yeah. Something like that. Yeah.

facing down; is that how you did it?

24

E. Rivera - Cross/Chu 619 1 Would it be fair to say, when you did that, Little Julio was to your left and Mr. Solomon 2 3 was to your right and Mr. Ojeda was in front of you would? That be fair to say? 4 5 Α Yes. That is how everything was kind of set up? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 Yes. Q In fact, Mr. Ojeda's back would have been 9 to that exit door that leads out to Third Avenue; 10 wouldn't that be correct? 11 12 Right in the middle of the floor. Right Α 13 in the middle. My question to you, was his back would 14 have been facing towards that Third Avenue exit; is 15 that true? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 And your back would have been facing towards the bar; wouldn't that be true? 19 20 Α Yes. Now, how soon after you said, just chill, 21 22 just chill, did Mr. Solomon then punch you? 23 My brother came over. 24 Q He came over first? My brother came over it was going on. You

25

Α

E. Rivera - Cross/Chu know, cursing, all that, all that. I said, just 1 2 chill. That's when swung --Who started the cursing? 3 Q Α They was already cursing. 4 5 Q Who was already cursing? Little Julio, Solomon. My brother came. 6 Α 7 What the fuck going on? Was Mr. Ojeda saying anything at this 8 9 point? 10 I don't recall. I'm trying -- first started with me and him. I am trying to talk. But 11 these guys are not -- everybody drinking. So they 12 13 just not thinking. 14 Now, you had mentioned earlier the music 15 was loud enough that when you were talking to Little -- Ojeda, you have to lean in? 16 17 Α Yes. Yes. THE COURT: You have to wait for the 18 19 question to be finished. She has to wait for you to finish your answer. You have to wait 20 21 for her to finish the question. Go ahead. 22 You said that at some point when you were 23 talking with Little Julio that the music was loud 24 enough that you had to actually lean in to talk to 25

	E. Rivera - Cross/Chu	621
1	them and speak loud enough because of the music	
2	being as loud as it was?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q Correct?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q Did you also have to do that when you went	
7	over to talk to Mr. Ojeda?	
8	A Sort of.	
9	Q Sort of.	
10	A Cause the speakers on the dance floor, so	
11	it's not as loud by the bar area.	
12	Q How close were you to Mr. Ojeda when you	
13	came up and you asked him what seems to be the	
14	problem?	
15	A Like a foot away.	
16	Q So you could have touched him if you	
17	reached out?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q And did you remain that close to him while	
20	you were telling Mr. Solomon and Mr and Little	
21	Julio just chill, just chill?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q Nobody moved, right?	
24	The state of the s	
	A Yeah. We just stopping moving, everybody	

E. Rivera - Cross/Chu 622 1 You're trying --Q 2 We being moved. Yes. 3 But Mr. Ojeda was still in front of you; isn't that true? 4 5 Α Sort of, yes. And he wasn't -- you said you didn't hear 6 Q 7 or notice him saying anything at this point, correct? 8 9 Α Nah, I was trying to stop, break up the 10 conflict. 11 Between Little Julio and Mr. Solomon? Q 12 Α Yes. 13 So Little Julio would have been to your Q 14 left? 15 Julio. Older brother. Tito. Α 16 Tito comes over. 0 17 Let's just call Tito --18 THE COURT: We are not -- you have to ask 19 a question. We are not having a conversation. 20 Make it a question, let him answer and then ask 21 the next question. 22 While you were standing there talking to Mr. Ojeda, at some point Little Julio comes over, 23 24 correct? 25 Α No.

E. Rivera - Cross/Chu

Q When you went over to ask Mr. Ojeda, what seems to be the problem, and he says, what is your problem? At some point after that, did Little Julio come over to where you were, yes or no?

A No.

Q Did Little Julio ever come over to where you were?

A Yes.

Q At what point are we talking about?

A Solomon came over.

Q So my question to you is, not talking Mr. Solomon, but while you're standing there in front of Mr. Ojeda, at some point Little Julio comes to stand next to you, correct?

A Yes.

Q And after Mr. Julio -- Mr. Little Julio comes to stand next to you, then your brother, Tito, comes over; isn't that true?

A Yes.

Q So that was the order, correct?

A Yes.

Q As far as your people are concerned? I am not talking about Mr. Solomon or anything. As far as your people, it's you first, then Little Julio and then your brother, Tito; isn't that true?

E. Rivera - Cross/Chu

624

Α Yes. 1 So would it be fair to say that Tito was 2 3 the furthest from you with regard to -- I am sorry, withdrawn. 4 5 Would it be fair to say that Little Julio was standing closer to you than your brother, Tito? 6 7 Α No. Tito got in between me. Tito --0 8 My brother tried -- my -- worrying about 9 10 me. So Tito comes and try --MS. CHU: Objection, you can't say what 11 somebody else is worried about. 12 THE COURT: Finish your answer. 13 14 Tito trying to come because he was on me. As he come, what the F is going on. I am not, 15 16 chill, ain't nothing. That is when Solomon took the 17 swing on me. My question to you is, where was Tito when 18 19 he came over, was he standing next to Little Julio or was standing next to you? 20 Finish? 21 Α That was my question. 2.2 Q He came over to me. There was no 23 standing. Just came right over to me. 24 25 So he stepped in between where you and

	E. Rivera - Cross/Chu	625
Julio was s	standing?	
A Y	es.	
Q Y	res?	
A Y	es.	
М	TR. DRANOVE: Julio	
A B	Between me and Ojeda and Little Julio and	
Solomon.		
Q H	He steps in front of all of those people?	
A T	Trying to get to the middle see what is	
going on.		
Q O	Okay. Did Little Julio stay to your left?	
A I	I am not sure.	
Q A	And you said at that point is when Mr.	
Solomon swi	ings?	
A A	And all the fight broke out.	
Q S	So then it would be fair to say that Mr.	
Solomon	I am sorry. Withdrawn.	
W	Would it fair to say that Tito would have	
been the cl	losest to Mr. Solomon when he went to	
swing?		
A I	I got hit.	
Q A	All right. What hand did Mr. Solomon used	
to hit you?	?	
A I	If I knew what hand, I would have ducked	
it.		
	A Y Q Y A Y A Y A Y A Y A Y A Y Solomon. Q H A Y Going on. Q A Solomon swi A A Q S Solomon V been the classing? A C T Q A T T Q A T T Q A T T Q A T T Q A T T D T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	Julio was standing? A Yes. Q Yes? A Yes. MR. DRANOVE: Julio A Between me and Ojeda and Little Julio and Solomon. Q He steps in front of all of those people? A Trying to get to the middle see what is going on. Q Okay. Did Little Julio stay to your left? A I am not sure. Q And you said at that point is when Mr. Solomon swings? A And all the fight broke out. Q So then it would be fair to say that Mr. Solomon I am sorry. Withdrawn. Would it fair to say that Tito would have been the closest to Mr. Solomon when he went to swing? A I got hit. Q All right. What hand did Mr. Solomon used to hit you? A If I knew what hand, I would have ducked

		E. Rivera - Cross/Chu	626
1	Q	What part of your body did it hit?	
2	A	My face.	
3	Q	What part of your face?	
4	А	Me right-hand side.	
5	Q	And after he hit you, you said you hit Mr.	
6	Ojeda or	Mr. Solomon, whichever of the two?	
7	А	Hit both of them, yeah.	
8	Q	You hit both of them.	
9		Do you remember which one you hit first?	
10	A	No.	
11	Q	Do you remember where you hit Mr. Ojeda?	
12	А	I didn't go for his knees, went for his	
13	face.		
14	Q	You went would it be fair to say that	
15	you went	for the upper part of his body?	
16	А	Yes.	
17	Q	What about Mr. Solomon? Where did you hit	
18	him?		
19	А	Went for his face.	
20	Q	He's little taller than you?	
21	Q	Yes.	
22	Q	You have to reach a little bit?	
23	А	Yes.	
24	Q	Yes. Okay.	
25		And you went for his upper body as well?	

		E. Rivera - Cross/Chu	627
1	А	Yes.	
2	Q	Is that what you're saying?	
3	A	Yes.	
4	Q	How many times did you try and hit Mr.	
5	Ojeda?		
6	А	I can't say. I wasn't counting.	
7	Q	Was it more than once?	
8	А	I was trying to block too.	
9	Q	My question to you, was it more than once	
10	that you	hit Mr. Ojeda?	
11	A	I only got three swings off.	
12	Q	When you did that, was Mr. Ojeda trying to	
13	hit you b	ack?	
14	А	I don't know. We was in the bar fight.	
15	What he t	ried to do, what I try to do is two	
16	different	things.	
17	Q	And you said you got three swings off?	
18	A	Got at least three swings off.	
19	Q	And can you just tell me how you had your	
20	hands whe	n you were getting those three swings off?	
21	А	In knuckle position.	
22	Q	Like this (indicating)?	
23	А	Yes.	
24		THE COURT: Indicating a fist.	
25		MS. CHU: Thank you.	

	E. Rivera - Cross/Chu	628
1	Q Now, you had mentioned that when you went	
2	to drop off Little Julio that you noticed for the	
3	first time that there was blood on his jacket,	
4	right?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q Where did you see the blood?	
7	A On his jacket.	
8	Q Where?	
9	A On the front of his jacket (indicating).	
10	Q And when	
11	MS. CHU: Let the record the defendant	
12	just went both hands going from	
13	THE WITNESS: Up on the shoulder part on	
14	both sides of his shoulder (indicating).	
15	Q And it was noticeable enough for you to	
16	see it, correct?	
17	A Under the light of the gas station, yes.	
18	Q You noticed it, right?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q And you said it was also on the top of his	
21	shoulder or just on the front of the jacket?	
22	A I am not sure.	
23	Q Was I am sorry?	
24	A I am not sure, I can't recall.	
25	Q Was the jacket open or closed?	

```
E. Rivera - Cross/Chu
                                                                 629
                At the time, it was closed.
1
 2
                Do you recall whether or not -- I am
 3
      sorry, withdrawn.
                Did you take off your jacket while you
 4
 5
      were at the bar?
           Α
                No.
 6
 7
               You left it on?
           Q
           Α
                Yes.
 8
                Did you have it zipped up or was it open?
 9
           0
10
           A Could have been open.
                And you had that green hat that we have in
11
      evidence as People's number 7, right? You had that
12
13
14
                Yes.
           Α
                -- green hat on?
15
           Q
16
                Yes.
           Α
                That night?
17
           Q
18
           Α
                Yes.
                In fact, you kept having to take it off
19
           0
20
      during the course of your being inside of the bar;
      isn't that true?
21
22
           Α
                Yes. Yes.
                Now, when you say there was blood on the
23
      front, how much blood are we talking about?
24
                I don't know. I didn't analyze the
25
```

		E. Rivera - Cross/Chu	630
1	jacket.		
2	Q Q	Now, was it soaked in blood or did it look	
3	_	t blotches, was that just drops?	
4	A	Like blotches. It's wasn't soaked in	
5		It was blotches.	
6		Blotches?	
7	Q A	You can tell he had blood on his jacket.	
		_	
8	Q	Did it appear to be wet or dry?	
9	A	Dry almost. Dry.	
10	Q	Dry?	
11	A	Yeah.	
12	Q	Now, when I am sorry.	
13		Barbershop, Little Julio was there,	
14	correct?		
15	A	What day you talking about?	
16	Q	When you were watching the fight that very	
17	night.		
18	A	He came later on, yes, to watch the fight.	
19	Q	He was at the barbershop?	
20		I am sorry.	
21	A	Yes.	
22	Q	And he was wearing the same thing he wore	
23	to the b	ear, right?	
24	A	Yes.	
25	Q	He didn't change go home to change	
	I		

E. Rivera - Cross/Chu

1	after you guys left the barbershop to go to the bar?
2	A No.
3	Q And when he was there, did you inspect his
4	jacket to see whether or not it had any blood on it?
5	A We don't do security in my barbershop.
6	No. I don't inspect nobody's jacket.
7	Q When he was with you, he was in your car
8	when you guys went to 39th and Third?
9	A We had a coat hanger, he hang his coat up
10	on the hanger. We don't check people's stuff.
11	Q I am saying, he was wearing the coat while
12	you were there, right?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And you didn't notice anything on the
15	jacket at that point, correct?
16	A At what point?
17	Q At the point that you're at your
18	barbershop?
19	A No.
20	Q You didn't notice anything?
21	A No.
22	Q And the first time you ever notice was
23	after this altercation that happened at 39th and
24	Third when you were dropping him off by that gas
25	station on Nelson Avenue, correct?

	E.	Rivera - Cross/Chu	632
1	A Yes.		
2	Q Now, did	you ask him about the blood?	
3	A I said,	where you get that blood? He	
4	said, from the fig	ht in there.	
5	Q He said	probably from the	
6	A Yeah. P	robably somebody bleeding in	
7	there.		
8	Q Did you	ask him why would anybody be	
9	bleeding like that	?	
10	A From a f	ight. I was assuming from a	
11	fight.		
12	Q You're a	ssuming from the fight?	
13	A Yes. He	didn't say didn't say much.	
14	Q Did you	did he have any blood anywhere	
15	else? Did you see	his hands?	
16	A No. Not	that I recall, no.	
17	Q You didn	't see blood anywhere else?	
18	A No.		
19	Q When he	was sitting in your car, could you	
20	see his pants?		
21	A No. No,	I didn't no.	
22	Q You were	n't looking?	
23	A No.		
24	Q So now,	after he leaves and goes, you	
25	didn't ask him any	thing else?	
	I		

	E. Rivera - Cross/Chu	633
1	I am sorry, withdrawn.	
2	Did you talk about what happened at the	
3	bar at all while you were with Little Julio on	
4	Nelson Avenue Nelson Street?	
5	A No. I just wanted to know where he got it	
6	from?	
7	Q Where, which guys?	
8	A The guy we just had the fight with.	
9	Q Oh, Mr. Ojeda and Mr. Solomon?	
10	A Yes.	
11	Q And did Little Julio know?	
12	A No. No.	
13	Q You had never seen them before?	
14	A No.	
15	Q You never had any beef either of them	
16	prior to that night?	
17	A No, ma'am.	
18	Q You didn't have a beef any other people	
19	during the course of that evening while you were at	
20	the bar?	
21	A Had beef with noone.	
22	Q Noone after Mr. Ojeda	
23	A Had beef with noone.	
24	Q Well, I am saying, when he kind of said to	
25	you	
1		

	E. Rivera - Cross/Chu	634
1	A I don't call that no beef.	
2	Q Well, it was something that happened	
3	between the two of you that	
4	A Something ignorant he said. That's it.	
5	Q It wasn't pleasant?	
6	A He wasn't no beef.	
7	Q Wasn't a beef?	
8	A It wasn't no beef.	
9	Q Okay. Now, the first time that you	
10	learned that Mr. Ojeda's blood was on your hat was	
11	sometime in 2006; isn't that correct?	
12	A 2006 at a hearing.	
13	Q And his blood was found, not just in one	
14	place, but actually found on two places on your hat;	
15	isn't that true?	
16	A According to the that hearing, yes.	
17	Q In fact, one was on the brim, right, and	
18	one was in the back; isn't that true?	
19	A Yes. That is what I heard.	
20	Q Now, you've had a long time to think about	
21	how that blood got on your hat, haven't you?	
22	A Excuse me?	
23	Q You've had a long time to think about how	
24	his blood got on your hat haven't you?	
25	A Got on my hat. Cause I was there.	

E. Rivera - Cross/Chu 635 1 That's right. Now, you recall the 0 2 testifying at a prior proceeding on June 15 in 2006 3 don't you? 4 Α Excuse me. 5 Do you recall testifying at a prior proceedings on June 15th of 2006? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 And, in fact, at that time, you swore to 9 tell the truth, didn't you? 10 Α Yes. 11 You had to take an oath and raise your 12 right hand just like you did before this jury, 13 correct? 14 Α Yes. Yes. 15 In fact, Little Julio was present when you 16 were testifying; isn't that true? 17 Α Yes. 18 O He was in the courtroom, right? 19 Yes. Α 20 And you testified for like almost two 21 hours; isn't that true? 22 I believe so. I wasn't watching --23 clocking. I wasn't clocking it. 24 And during that testimony you were given 25 the opportunity to tell what happened to you; isn't

E. Rivera - Cross/Chu

1	that true?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And during that entire time that you
4	testified, never once did you say that Little Julio
5	had blood all over his jacket, did you, yes or no?
6	A Noone asked. Noone asked.
7	Q But you didn't tell anybody that?
8	A Noone asked.
9	Q But you didn't tell
10	A I didn't say that.
11	Q You did not say that, right?
12	A No.
13	Q Just today that you said that; isn't that
14	true?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And when you were at the precinct with
17	Detectives Darino and Detective Rivera, you never
18	when they trying to pin this murder on you and your
19	brother, you never said that Little Julio had blood
20	all over his jacket, did you?
21	A They didn't ask
22	Q But you didn't tell them?
23	A No, they didn't ask.
24	Q My question to you was, did you or did you
25	not tell them that Little Julio had blood on his

	E. Rivera - Cross/Chu	637
1	jacket, yes or no?	
2	A No.	
3	Q And you never wrote it in your statement	
4	either, did you?	
5	A No.	
6	Q And when you were video taped by ADA	
7	Sipress and she is giving you the opportunity to	
8	speak about what happened, you never once mentioned	
9	anything about Little Julio having blood on all over	
10	his jacket, did you?	
11	A No, that wasn't the deal.	
12	Q I am not asking you what a deal was. I am	
13	asking, you never mentioned at all Little Julio	
14	having blood on his jacket until today; isn't that	
15	true?	
16	A Yes.	
17	MS. CHU: I have nothing further of this	
18	witness.	
19	THE COURT: Any redirect?	
20	MR. DRANOVE: Yes.	
21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
22	BY MR. DRANOVE:	
23	Q Mr. Rivera, is Little Julio in the	
24	courtroom today?	
25	A Excuse me?	

E. Rivera - Redirect/Dranove	638
Q Is Little Julio in the courtroom today?	
A No.	
Q Have you figured out how the blood got	
onto the front and very back of your cap?	
MS. CHU: Objection.	
THE COURT: Overruled.	
You may answer.	
A I have no idea. Probably at the bar.	
Q What about	
A Could have happened in the bar. Could	
have happened in the car.	
Q Was the cap in the car?	
A Yes.	
Q When you left there with Little Julio	
A Yes. It's my cap.	
Q was there any blood, to your knowledge,	
on any item of your clothing except for the two	
drops, one in the front of your cap and one in the	
back of your cap?	
A That's it.	
Q Do you know where in the car your cap was?	
A I'm not sure.	
MR. DRANOVE: I have no further questions.	
THE COURT: Any recross?	
MS. CHU: Just couple of questions.	
	Q Is Little Julio in the courtroom today? A No. Q Have you figured out how the blood got onto the front and very back of your cap? MS. CHU: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. A I have no idea. Probably at the bar. Q What about A Could have happened in the bar. Could have happened in the car? A Yes. Q Was the cap in the car? A Yes. Q When you left there with Little Julio A Yes. It's my cap. Q was there any blood, to your knowledge, on any item of your clothing except for the two drops, one in the front of your cap and one in the back of your cap? A That's it. Q Do you know where in the car your cap was? A I'm not sure. MR. DRANOVE: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Any recross?

E. Rivera - Redirect/Dranove

		000
1	RECROSS-EXAMINATION	
2	BY MS. CHU:	
3	Q Mr. Rivera, the cap that has Mr. Ojeda's	
4	blood on it, that was on that was with you when	
5	you were inside that bar; isn't that true?	
6	A It's my hat, yes.	
7	Q And many the items of clothing that are in	
8	evidence, that is just the jacket and the sweatshirt	
9	and the hat that you were wearing that day; isn't	
10	that true?	
11	A Yes.	
12	Q In fact, we never got your pants or your	
13	shoes that you were wearing that day, did we?	
14	A I don't believe so.	
15	MS. CHU: I have nothing further.	
16	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Rivera. You	
17	may return	
18	MR. DRANOVE: Judge, may I	
19	THE COURT: No, that is it. Two rounds.	
20	You may return to your counsel table and	
21	join your counsel.	
22	(WITNESS EXCUSED)	
23	MR. DRANOVE: Can counsel approach by	
24	scheduling matters?	
25	THE COURT: Yes.	

	A. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	640
1	(Whereupon, there was a discussion held	
2	off the record, at sidebar at this time.)	
3	THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Dranove.	
4	MR. DRANOVE: Can I have a moment, Judge?	
5	THE COURT: Yes.	
6	MR. DRANOVE: Defense calls Angel Rivera.	
7	ANGEL RIVERA, having been called as a	
8	witness, having been duly sworn, testified as	
9	follows:	
10	COURT CLERK: State your name?	
11	THE WITNESS: Angel Rivera.	
12	COURT CLERK: What county do you live?	
13	THE WITNESS: Kings.	
14	THE COURT: You may examine the witness,	
15	Mr. Dranove.	
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
17	BY MR. DRANOVE:	
18	Q Mr. Rivera, do you know Enrique Rivera?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q What, one of your brothers?	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q I want to ask you about Sunday night,	
23	February 27, until 28, 2005. Did there come a time	
24	when you had some incident with police?	
25	A Yes.	

	A. Rivera - Direct/Dranove	641
1	Q What happened?	
2	A Coming from work, coming out of work, and	
3	I was driving about two blocks down. And all sudden	
4	undercover cars just pulled me over.	
5	Q What happened next?	
6	A They came out, like I was walking I was	
7	going down the street. When I got to the red light,	
8	before I drove off, the light turn green, they	
9	surrounded me like probably ten vehicles and they	
10	just drawing their guns. Then I stopped.	
11	Q About what time was that?	
12	A It was like ten, 11, 10:30.	
13	Q On Sunday night?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q Did you go anywhere with the police?	
16	A Yeah. They after	
17	Q Where did you go with the police?	
18	A To the precinct.	
19	Q How did you get there?	
20	A They took me in the car.	
21	Q Which car?	
22	A Police car.	
23	Q About what time did you arrive at the	
24	precinct?	
25	A About half an hour later.	

	A. Rivera - Cross/Chu	643
1	A Negative.	
2	Q That is not the reason?	
3	A Yes. No.	
4	Q You didn't have a bag of marijuana on you?	
5	A No.	
6	MS. CHU: I have nothing further for this	
7	witness. But I do have an application after	
8	THE COURT: Any redirect?	
9	MR. DRANOVE: No, your Honor.	
10	THE COURT: Thank you.	
11	Mr. Rivera, you may step down from the	
12	witness stand.	
13	(WITNESS EXCUSED)	
14	THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Dranove.	
15	MR. DRANOVE: I have no further questions.	
16	That is the defense case.	
17	THE COURT: All right, ladies and	
18	gentlemen, we are going to recess the trial for	
19	tomorrow morning. I'll ask you to return	
20	tomorrow at ten o'clock. You're going to get	
21	the case for your decision then.	
22	Please don't discuss the case.	
23	Have a very nice evening. Ten o'clock	
24	tomorrow morning.	
25	(Jury Excused)	
	1	

MR. DRANOVE: If my --

THE COURT: How do we establish that? What is the reason for submitting reckless manslaughter to the jury in this case?

There is no reckless theory in the indictment. There is no way there is any evidence to support that the injuries that caused death were recklessly inflicted.

MR. DRANOVE: Because if the jury is being asked by the prosecutor to credit my client's statement that he had a knife, as he said, and that he swung it, that is foreseeable, if you're swinging a knife at a crowd. It's like firing a weapon at a crowd. It's reckless. But it's not a gun.

THE COURT: I don't think that is the
People's theory at all. I don't think it's
your theory either. Neither of you are going
with that theory. They indicted for
intentional murder, and intentional
manslaughter. I'm sorry. Intentional theory.
There is no theory of reckless conduct by the
People.

It's clear Appellate law, two theories, reckless and intent, are inconsistent theories,

Case 1:15-cv-02657-EK Document 9-1 Filed 12/08/15 Page 626 of 811 PageID #: 1043

Charge Conference

1	x
2	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
3	-against- Ind#1453/05
4	ENRIQUE RIVERA, Defendant.
5	x
6	
7	THIS IS TO CERTIFY that after a specific search
8	of the official stenographic notes taken on May
9	12, 2009, concerning page 648, line 11, a
10	correction has been made to line 11 of said
11	page, "he had no knife," and now reads "he had
12	a knife," and a new corrected page submitted at
13	this time.
14	
15	michele 2.200 Ken 6/10/13
16	MICHELE J. WALKER, Official Senior Court Reporter
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

656 1 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF KINGS: CRIMINAL TERM: PT 35 2 3 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK 4 - against -Ind. #1453/05 5 Murder 2 ENRIQUE RIVERA, 6 Defendant. Trial 7 8 320 Jay Street Brooklyn, New York 9 May 13, 2009 10 11 B E F O R E : HONORABLE ALAN MARRUS, presiding 12 (Appearances same as previously noted.) 13 14 MICHELE J. WALKER, OFFICIAL SENIOR COURT REPORTER 15 16 THE COURT: Before we go forward in front of the jury, there are several matters that I 17 18 want to address. 19 First, I believe Mr. Dranove has a blow-up of one of his exhibits. 20 21 Have you shown that to Miss Chu? 22 MR. DRANOVE: I had offered it to her. I 23 believe I did. She didn't look at it. It's my 24 only exhibit. Exhibit A. 25 THE COURT: I believe you intend to show

it for illustrative purposes during the summation.

MR. DRANOVE: Yes, sir.

13.

THE COURT: Any objection, by the People?

MS. CHU: No, your Honor.

I did look at it, it appears the same as the one -- don't have my original with me, but I trust Mr. Dranove made a duplicate, whatever is the actual chart.

THE COURT: So you may use that at the time you give your summation. It's an '05 blow-up of the Defense Exhibit A, which is a DNA chart.

Now, the second matter that I want to address is, when we left off yesterday, the prosecutor indicated possible intention to call a rebuttal witness.

What is your position on that today?

MS. CHU: Yes, your Honor. I specifically

had asked yesterday to put on a rebuttal case with regard to Angel Rivera.

However, I was unable to ascertain from the detectives that stopped him the information regarding his summons that he received from the officer who transported him. So I am not

prepared to go forward with that at this time.

However, I did have occasion to review what was said by Julio Rivera during his testimony yesterday concerning the fact that ADA Sipress had stopped the tape during the course of his audio tape and had somehow had Detective Rivera coercing him into saying that it was a camouflage jacket during the time of his actual statement.

I would -- I have Mrs. Sipress here. I'd like to put her on as a rebuttal witness to evidence how the tape was a continuous tape. I actually -- I believe that that opened the door to actually introducing the tape itself of Mr. Julio Rivera so the jury can hear there was no stopping of the tape, and she can also attest to his disposition at the time that she took the statement from him.

MR. DRANOVE: Your Honor, unless Mrs. Sipress is an expert witness and evaluated the tape to see whether or not it was stopped, she can't give a conclusion as to whether or not the tape that she is going to play has been stopped and not stopped.

And I would like an opportunity to have a

copy of the tape and have it examined by an expert. See if it was stopped.

THE COURT: It's my understanding that the People intend to call her to indicate the procedures that were followed with the tape.

And to explain that to the jury.

I would not allow an opinion as you are suggesting it would be as to the condition of the tape. She couldn't be in a position to offer such an opinion.

You intend to ask her the procedure that she used to make the tape recording?

MS. CHU: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And to, in effect, rebut the defendant's claim that the tape was stopped during the recording session?

MS. CHU: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: And what else did you intend to do about playing the tape? That is the part I really don't understand.

MS. CHU: I would ask her, have you had a chance to review or listen to the audio-taped statement that you took from Mr. Rivera on that date? And is that a fair and accurate recording of your audio-taped conversation with

him? And I am going to ask her before we even get to that, did you stop the tape at all?

During the time that you were actually audio taping, what is your procedure as far as when you speak to him?

Because the standard procedure in our office is that we usually speak to the witnesses first before we actually audio tape them just so we can gather our thoughts as to how the tape is going to progress. Meaning the flow of the questions that you're going to give the witness and that is what she is going to explain.

THE COURT: You don't intend to play the tape?

MS. CHU: No, I do intend to play the tape.

THE COURT: That is what I just asked you.

MS. CHU: I said I was going to do that before, and once we get to the tape, she will listen to the actual tape that I have with me, and she will authenticate that is a fair and accurate recording of her conversations with Mr. Julio Rivera that were audio taped.

THE COURT: How long will the tape take?

661

Proceedings MS. CHU: It's only about seven minutes. 1 2 THE COURT: Is there any issue as to its 3 audibility? MS. CHU: No. 4 5 THE COURT: What are you going to play on it? 6 7 MS. CHU: I have a tape recorder right there. 8 MR. DRANOVE: Judge, the issue is an 9 10 expert issue that -- Miss Sipress can say, I 11 didn't do that. THE COURT: Well --12 MR. DRANOVE: I would like an opportunity 13 to have the original examined if there is going 14 to be -- if there is going to be an issue where 15 Miss Sipress says, I didn't stop it. I didn't 16 know this issue was going to arise. I think I 17 should have an opportunity to establish the 18 forensics if it is in fact --19 THE COURT: At this point, I am not going 20 to grant a continuance for an expert 21 examination of the tape. She is not offering 22 that issue. The issue is, you have a witness 23

who said the tape was stopped. And she is

going to offer the evidence that it wasn't

24

stopped. And I am not going to allow any opinion about the fact that the tape has been examined by anyone, and there is a conclusion offered based on expert examination of it as to whether it's been stopped. I think it's within content of a witness that testified what that witness did, the procedure the witness followed and then to play the tape for the jury to listen to it. To see what's on the tape. Since it has now become an issue at trial.

MR. DRANOVE: I believe there is a transcript of this recording. I'd like to know if the prosecution has brought it to court.

MS. CHU: Actually the witness has it. I had given it to her to review. It actually has some corrections on it cause sometimes -- transcribers don't exactly get everything that is said on it.

MR. DRANOVE: Well, I would like to see that.

THE COURT: There is a transcript, certainly. We are not going to use it for the jurors.

MS. CHU: No.

MR. DRANOVE: Well, the subject whether or

663

not this tape has been examined by any experts 1 will be allowed to be raised? 2 THE COURT: Well, I don't know exactly 3 what you propose to do. You're talking about 4 5 making a comment about it in summation? MR. DRANOVE: At a minimal. 6 THE COURT: Well, it's fair to comment 7 about what the evidence is or is not in a 8 trial. I find it hard, in advance, to make a 9 ruling about comments in summation without 10 11 understanding the context and the actual wording of the comment. But since this is an 12 issue at the trial, fair comment is allowed 13 14 about it. MR. DRANOVE: All right, your Honor, 15 before it's made, will I have an opportunity to 16 17 read this transcript? THE COURT: If there is a transcript, she 18 19 says she has it, you can look it. MS. CHU: He was provided with a 20 21 transcript. MR. DRANOVE: Like to have the one with 2.2 corrections to make sure --23 MS. CHU: I am just saying he was given 24

the original one. I made my additions or my

corrections to it subsequent to that.

If I can just go outside, I can get my copy from her.

THE COURT: You can.

Before you do, I just like to resolve one other thing on the record. That is yesterday when we left off, another thing that came up was the issue of being instructed on an interested witness.

Defense counsel indicated there were federal decisions which made it improper to charge that a defendant is an interested witness.

He was kind enough to leave a message with me after court yesterday on my voice mail regarding two federal decisions from the Second Circuit that he asked me to look at. Which I did. I previewed those decisions.

I've also reviewed other decisions, specifically of our state courts, and, in essence, the language that is condemned in those cases has to do, not with charging the jury regarding interested witness or that a defendant is an interested witness, it's telling a jury that the defendant either has a

motive to lie during that instruction or distinguishing between the defendant and anyone else who might be an interested witness and saying the defendant is in a worse situation. Because it's the defendant in terms of evaluating his testimony.

Neither of those things occurred during my instruction, and it's not part of the standard instruction in New York, which is allowed and specifically approved by the Court of Appeals and the Appellate Division, which is that a defendant is an interested witness, that others may be interested witnesses also and that a jury should carefully evaluate the interest the witness has in the outcome of the case in evaluating their credibility, but not in anyway distinguishing between the defendant and any other interested witness, or specifically stating that that means the defendant had a motive to lie. Which I will certainly not say during my instruction.

I just want to make sure I have reviewed it, and I will not run afoul of the language that has been condemned by the federal Appellate courts.

You can check --

MS. CHU: I do have two other matters that I'd like to put on the record.

Number 1, is that when the defendant testified there was a lot of directing and cross-examination regarding a prior proceeding. In fact, we had introduced a portion of his statement at a prior proceeding, and I believe that it was elicited by the defense counsel that I questioned him during that prior proceeding. But I would like to ask for The Court to give a statement to the jury that at this prior proceedings when the defendant testified that he was questioned by both his attorney as well as an assistant district attorney. In order to make it fair as far as the fact that he was questioned by both sides.

THE COURT: That wouldn't be an instruction, that would be in the nature of a stipulation. That is not an instruction on the law.

MS. CHU: I didn't say instruction. I -- just a statement.

If defense counsel agrees to a stipulation, I would be happy to draw that up.

But if he is not agreeable -- I didn't actually get a chance to mention it to him before -- I am raising it to The Court.

MR. DRANOVE: I suggest a proposed stipulation be shown to me at the time --

THE COURT: Stipulation, I told the jury that, was agreed that when the defendant was previously questioned at a prior proceedings, he was questioned both by defense counsel and by the district attorney.

MR. DRANOVE: I have no objection to that, it's true.

MS. CHU: The second matter has to do with the charge of intent. I am asking for The Court to give expanded version of the intent, which is, there is no premeditation required to be proved.

MR. DRANOVE: Can I see the proposed charge?

 $$\operatorname{MS}.$ CHU: I can go get the minutes, then I'll write out the stipulation.

THE COURT: It's very simple. Intent is to be formed at the time of the act. It doesn't have to be formed at any time prior to that. That's the extent of it. It's not an

Charge Conference

668

expanded charge. It simply tells the jury that 1 the intent that is required must be formed at 2 the time of the act. It may not be formed at 3 any time in advance of the act. That is pretty 4 much what it says. 5 I don't know --6 7 MS. CHU: Do you want me to do the stipulation? 8 9 THE COURT: The stipulation is already done. I indicated what the stipulation is. 10 MS. CHU: I thought you wanted me to write 11 12 it out. THE COURT: No. It will be stated in the 13 14 record. MR. DRANOVE: While we are doing that, if 15 you can hear my question? 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 MR. DRANOVE: With respect to 18 circumstantial evidence, what you're going to 19 20 charge the jury. First, I object to the circumstantial 21 evidence charge. 22 THE COURT: Then I won't give it. You 23 don't want it, I won't give it. 24 Are you telling me you don't want it? 25

MR. DRANOVE: I don't want it.

2.3

THE COURT: Okay. I am not going to give it. When the defense doesn't request one, then I wouldn't give a circumstantial evidence charge.

Next? Anything else?

Let's get this show on the road.

MS. CHU: Can I just step out?

THE COURT: Please.

MS. CHU: I wanted to address the issue having to do with circumstantial evidence charge that the defense counsel has requested and not charge to the jury.

I do believe that the evidence that was brought out during the course of this trial does not have direct evidence that the defendant used a knife. I mean you have some people seeing him punch him, then you have the results. But you don't actually have him -- there is no testimony before this jury that has a knife in his hand. And I just think that the charge would be helpful to the jury in order to explain to them that they can draw reasonable inference from the fact that he was seen punching him, then there is a stab wound after.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I would prefer The Court actually charge him with the circumstantial evidence charge.

THE COURT: The defense don't want it, I am not charging it. That's my position.

MR. DRANOVE: Your Honor, I've had a chance to review the unmarked document, that's a transcript.

I renew my objection to a statement coming in, statement of Mr. Julio Rivera, that was not introduced during the trial. It allegedly includes words spoken by my client. My client has finished testifying, and it's not probative -- this tape, transcript and the words on the tape are not probative of the issue raised by the testimony of Mr. Julio Rivera. And they do not, in anyway, establish whether or not the tape -- the tape recording device was stopped. Just open the door to words allegedly spoken by my client, which may open the door to my calling Julio Rivera back to the witness stand, or my client back to the witness stand, and all of it is not related to whether Mr. Sipress said, I did or did not stop the machine.

THE COURT: Miss Chu, you want to be heard

on that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CHU: Your Honor, I believe that the introduction that the tape itself is extremely probative for this jury to hear that when Mr. Rivera was being audio taped by Miss Sipress, there is no coercion involved, there was no coaching by the detectives and everything that he said was a free-flowing statement given to her voluntarily when he's at the precinct. I believe that he opened the doors to that by putting Mr. Julio Rivera on the stand. He knew about this audio-taped statement put before you, he put Mr. Rivera on the stand he has to assume the risks that when he testifies, if he's testifying to different what actually occurred he is opening himself up to this type --

THE COURT: The ruling is, I'll allow the People to play the tape.

I am going to give limiting instruction to the jury. The instruction will be the purpose of the admissibility of this evidence is so they can hear the manner in which Mr. Rivera was questioned by the District Attorney, and it's being admitted solely for that purpose,

not for the content. What he said in the trial is what the evidence is. Not what he said on that tape, and that they are allowed to listen to the tape to evaluate the credibility of his account about how the statement was taken by the District Attorney. For that limited purpose only.

MR. DRANOVE: Your Honor, let me just read from this in some places.

Judge, as you recall, the witness testified there was questions about whether he saw camouflage. Repeated camouflage, the machine wasn't on at that time.

I suggest that if there is going to be any playing of the tape, it starts with the question, "what kind of jacket was that person wearing?" Everything before that is a description of what happened in the bar and including what my client supposedly told his brother afterwards on the street later that evening.

Then it's a question, I am reading from it. "When the commotion inside the bar?

Answer: Ah-huh. Question: You said that -- you said you thought someone was coming to hit

you from your left-hand side but you did. Did
you see an arm like what -- Answer: I seen the
arm. I seen the arm. Question: Tell what -Answer: All. Question: What kind of jacket
was that person wearing? Answer: Was like a
black jacket. It's camouflage, black jacket,
all right. That is when I seen -- Question:
What did you see? A -- I mean, answer: "On
my right. On my right-hand side, and I see a
white shirt coming this way swinging at me."

I am interrupting it now.

Your Honor, all the testimony about the camouflage, let her play that. Because it's supposedly was interrupted. Anything before that includes the description of what happened, what my client supposedly told his brother, what my client's brother told him, which wasn't allowed in during the trial. And there was a clear statement in the courtroom, questions andanswers about what was the subject matter that was being raised with you and it was constantly the camouflage jacket. That's when it was stopped. Now, what happened in the bar afterwards?

THE COURT: Miss Chu, what's your position

on that?

MS. CHU: My position remains the same, your Honor. We have to show this jury that the tape is never stopped. That it's continuous interview by Miss Sipress of Mr. Rivera while he's at the precinct. And to cut it off would indicate that there was a break in it. So I think they need to hear it completely.

In addition to that, Mr. Rivera testified that it was Detective Rivera that kept harassing him. Detective Rivera wasn't present during the interview that was established at the beginning of the tape when she states who's present during the actual interview on the audio tape.

MR. DRANOVE: Wouldn't that be enough.

THE COURT: At this point, based on the information that I have, I believe it's appropriate for the DA to be able to play the tape with limited instruction that I will give to the jury. I believe the door was opened by the account given Mr. Rivera when he testified.

We are ready for the jury.

Let's bring them out, please.

COURT OFFICER: Your Honor, ready for the

	Sipress - Rebuttal/Direct	675
1	jurors?	
2	THE COURT: Yes.	
3	COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.	
4	COURT CLERK: Both sides waive the roll	
5	call?	
6	MS. CHU: Yes.	
7	THE COURT: Waive the roll call, Mr.	
8	Dranove?	
9	MR. DRANOVE: Yes.	
10	THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and	
11	gentlemen.	
12	As you know, yesterday the defense rested	
13	its case. And I put the case over today, and	
14	the district attorney has requested permission	
15	to call a witness in rebuttal and I have	
16	granted that application.	
17	You may call the witness.	
18	MS. CHU: The People call Jennifer	
19	Sipress.	
20	JENNIFER SIPRESS, assistant	
21	district attorney, having been recalled as a	
22	witness, having been previously duly sworn,	
23	testified as follows:	
24	COURT CLERK: State your name, title, your	
25	employer again?	
	1	

	Sipress - Rebuttal/Direct	676
1	THE WITNESS: Jennifer Sipress.	
2	S-I-P-R-E-S-S. I'm an assistant district	
3	attorney. I'm employed by the Kings County	
4	District Attorney's office.	
5	THE COURT: You may examine the witness,	
6	Miss Chu.	
7	MS. CHU: Thank you.	
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
9	BY MS. CHU:	
10	Q Good morning, Mr. Sipress.	
11	A Good morning.	
12	Q Do you recall testifying on Monday	
13	regarding an investigation that you conducted in	
14	relation to the death of a person by the name of	
15	Edgar Ojeda?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q Do you also recall conducting an interview	
18	of a person by the name of Julio Rivera on	
19	February 28 th , 2005 at approximately 11:49 a.m.?	
20	A Yes.	
21	Q Now, when you conducted the interview of	
22	Mr. Rivera, were there any detectives with you?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q Who was with you?	
25	A Detective Gaynor from Homicide. And	

	Sipress - Rebuttal/Direct	677
j		
1	Detective Darino.	
2	Q Now, can you tell me, during the course of	
3	your interview with Mr. Rivera, did Detective Gaynor	
4	or Darino take part in the interview that you were	
5	having or the conversations that you were having	
6	with Julio Rivera?	
7	A No.	
8	Q Now, when you spoke to Mr. Rivera, did you	
9	immediately audio tape him?	
10	A No, I did not.	
11	Q Why is that?	
12	MR. DRANOVE: Objection.	
13	THE COURT: Overruled.	
14	You may answer.	
15	A I spoke to him first to see what his story	
16	was, then memorialized it on audio tape.	
17	Q How did he appear to you when you were	
18	speaking with him?	
19	A He appeared fine.	
20	Q Did he appear to be under any duress at	
21	all?	
22	A No.	
23	Q Was he I am sorry.	
24	Did he appear to be scared at all to speak	
25	to you?	

Sipress - Rebuttal/Direct 1 Α No. Did there -- was there any time during the 2 3 course of your being with Mr. Rivera that Detective Gaynor or Detective Darino try to coach him into 4 5 what to say? 6 Α No. 7 Q Now, where did the interview actually take 8 place; do you remember? On the second floor of the precinct within 9 10 the detective squad. 11 0 Now, once -- I am sorry. 12 Did there come a time when you actually 13 began to audio tape him? 14 Α Yes. 15 When you began the audio tape, did you 16 stop the tape at any time during the course of your 17 questioning and then question Mr. Rivera not on the 18 audio tape? 19 Α No. 20 Did you ever stop the tape while it was recording, talk to him and then begin recording 21 22 again? 23 Α No. 24 Did you ever stop the tape as it's 25 recording and allow the detective to speak to Mr.

	Sipress - Rebuttal/Direct		
1	Rivera regarding what to say, then resume it again?		
2	A No.		
3	Q Now, have you had a chance to listen to		
4	the audio tape recording that you made of Julio		
5	Rivera on February 28, 2005?		
6	A Yes.		
7	Q What was the tape number that was assigned		
8	to that tape?		
9	A On A030109.		
10	Q Is this a copy of the tape that you have		
11	reviewed?		
12	A Yes.		
13	MS. CHU: At this time, your Honor, I ask		
14	this be marked People's number 14?		
15	THE COURT: Pursuant to a ruling I		
16	previously made out of the presence of the		
17	jury, this will be People's Exhibit 14.		
18	MR. DRANOVE: I object to it going into		
19	evidence. I heard the word "copy."		
20	THE COURT: You may ask your question as		
21	to the authenticity of it.		
22	(Whereupon, the above-mentioned		
23	item was received and marked as		
24	People's 14, for		
25	identification.)		

	Sipress - Rebuttal/Direct			
1	Q Miss Sipress, the tape that you have in			
2	front of you, that is a tape that you actually			
3	listened to?			
4	A Yes.			
5	Q Where did you listen to that tape?			
6	A In your office.			
7	Q Was that tape a fair and accurate			
8	recording of the recording you made of the interview			
9	with Julio Rivera on February 28 th , 2005?			
10	A Yes, it is.			
11	MS. CHU: At this time, I would offer it			
12	into evidence as People's number 14.			
13	THE COURT: You want to voir dire?			
14	MR. DRANOVE: I do.			
15	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION			
16	BY MR. DRANOVE:			
17	Q Miss Sipress, is that the tape cassette			
18	housing housing the original recording which you			
19	have before you now?			
20	A I don't believe so.			
21	MR. DRANOVE: I object to it going into			
22	evidence.			
23	THE COURT: Overruled.			
24	I'll allow the exhibit into evidence, and			
25	I am instructing you that when I allow			

something into evidence, it's for you to consider as to what, if any, weight you will give it. I am merely allowing the tape into evidence.

I am also instructing you that when the tape is played, I am allowing it to be played so that you can hear the manner in which the examination of Mr. Rivera was conducted, not the content of what he said. He testified here as a witness, and Mr. Rivera's testimony is what the evidence is. Not what he said on the tape.

However, there is an issue in the trial in the manner in which he was questioned and the manner in which the recording was made of his account. Therefore, I am allowing you to listen to this so that you can hear the actual tape-recorded statement for that purpose and that purpose only.

You may play the tape.

MS. CHU: Thank you.

(Whereupon, the audio tape was played in open court at this time.)

(pause)

Q Miss Sipress, at the end of the tape, did

	Sipress - Rebuttal/Cross	682		
1	you ask a question to someone other than Mr. Rivera?			
2	A Yes, I did.			
3	Q Who did you ask that question to?			
4	A I asked the detectives if they had any			
5	questions for the witness.			
6	Q Were there any other questions posed to			
7	Mr			
8	A There were not.			
9	MS. CHU: Thank you very much. I have			
10	nothing further.			
11	THE COURT: Cross-examination?			
12	MR. DRANOVE: Thank you.			
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION			
14	BY MR. DRANOVE:			
15	Q Miss Sipress, you said that you spoke to			
16	Julio Rivera to see what his story was; am I			
17	correct?			
18	A Yes.			
19	Q Did you write down anything whatsoever to			
20	indicate what my what might be described as what			
21	you heard Julio Rivera tell you?			
22	MS. CHU: Objection.			
23	THE COURT: Overruled.			
24	Did you write anything down?			
25	THE WITNESS: I did not write anything			

Sipress - Rebuttal/Cross 1 down. I --2 MR. DRANOVE: Thank you. 3 Now, at the time Julio Rivera told you, in quotes, story, what time was that? 4 5 I -- I interviewed him before I started the tape. Probably maybe five or ten minutes before 6 7 the tape was started. Once again, does your office provide you 8 9 with rules with respect to whether you should write 10 down the statement of the supposed witness which 11 statement is given to you during the course of the 12 investigation of a homicide? 13 I do write down --14 0 Well, where are the notes? 15 MS. CHU: Objection, your Honor. Could he let her finish. 16 17 THE COURT: I don't think she said she took any notes here. You asked her about her 18 19 office policy. That is different. What is it you want to ask? 20 What I asked you, do you write down --21 0 22 THE COURT: In this case, or in other 23 cases? 24 MR. DRANOVE: In general. THE COURT: Sustained as to what she does 25

	Sipress - Rebuttal/Cross	684
1	in general.	
2	Q In this case, did you write down anywhere	
3	what Mr. Julio Rivera told you?	
4	A Yes, I did.	
5	Q Before or after the recording?	
6	A After the recording is made.	
7	Q Did you bring with you what it is you	
8	wrote down?	
9	A Yes, I did.	
10	Q May I see it?	
11	A (Indicating)	
12	Q You spoke to Julio Rivera approximately	
13	how many minutes before you taped recorded him?	
14	A Maybe five or ten minutes.	
15	Q You recorded him at 11:49?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q Now, on what you handed me, there is a	
18	handwritten notation, 10:27. Do you recognize the	
19	handwriting?	
20	A My handwritten notes says 10:27. That	
21	doesn't	
22	Q Is that your handwriting?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q Do you recall what 10:27 refers to?	
25	A That was the time that I started the video	

	Sipress - Rebuttal/Cross	685
1		
1		
2		livera?
3	A Correct.	
4	Q Was the video tape still there a	at 11:49
5	when you tape recorded Julio Rivera?	
6	A No.	
7	Q Did you, at any time, swear in F	Inrigue
8	Rivera?	
9	MS. CHU: Objection.	
10	THE COURT: Sustained.	
11	. Q Now, we heard a copy of the orig	jinal tape
12	today. Where's the original tape?	
13	A I believe it's in my office.	
14	Q Here in Brooklyn?	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q 350 Jay Street?	
17	A Should be.	
18	Q Next door? Correct?	
19	A Correct.	
20	MR. DRANOVE: No further no f	further
21	questions.	
22	THE COURT: Any redirect?	
23	MS. CHU: No.	
24	THE COURT: Thank you, Miss Sipr	cess.
25	You're excused. You may step down fr	com the

Summation - Dranove 1 witness stand. 2 (WITNESS EXCUSED) 3 THE COURT: That completes the evidence that you will hear at this trial. 4 5 The next step in this trial will be the closing arguments by the attorneys. 6 7 Mr. Dranove, are you ready to proceed with your summation? 8 9 MR. DRANOVE: If I can get a cup of water. 10 THE COURT: You can get as many cups of 11 water as you like. MR. DRANOVE: Start with one. 12 13 THE COURT: Mr. Dranove goes first. 14 Under the law, defense counsel's closing 15 argument is first on behalf of his client. 16 Mr. Rivera. 17 Would you like the table opened up? 18 MR. DRANOVE: I'd like the table opened, 19 please. Thank you. 20 Judge Marrus, Miss Chu, Mr. Foreman, members of the jury: 21 This is a case where I think more than a 22 dozen witnesses testified very quickly -- stand 23

I, under our rules, don't have to deliver

24

25

back here.

a summation because I don't have to prove anything. Burden of proof is on the prosecution in every case. And it hasn't shifted at all because the defense presented a case.

In this case, we've learned of a tragedy of a young man, a fine young man, that went out with his friends, smoked marijuana, he was drinking in a strip bar, he went and drank some more and there -- to quote my client's recollection of what Detective Darino told him -- there was a bar fight. Saturday night bar fight to the detective. The families destroyed and my client's accused.

Now, we heard from different types of witnesses. Family of my client, detectives, fingerprint expert, medical examiner expert, police who came to the scene, detectives who came to the scene. Friends of the victim and an off duty bouncer. And assistant district attorney Sipress. Whose original tape, by the way, is next door, too much trouble to bring the original. I don't understand why not. Where's the original testimony has been examined by an expert. And it's intact, no

interruption. Not before you. Not even the original tape.

The witnesses who were in the bar had all been drinking. No doubt they'd all been drinking, some of them had been smoking marijuana. One had five or six Heinkens, was on his third Bacardi, and he was the off-duty bouncer. I don't know what the on-duty bouncer has to say, he didn't testify.

The marijuana smoking from Mr. Solomon and Mr. Ojeda was in the morning. Supposedly nothing happened in the afternoon. They started drinking in the evening and continued drinking until the incident, the roucous, to quote one of the witnesses, Mr. Dominguez, Carrasquillo or Mr. Solomon described it, a commotion or the brawl, if you will, in the bar took place.

The only place there was light in the bar, was at the bar. Some witnesses pointed to a picture in Exhibit 4, I believe, the one with the pictures is it was as dark as this, or maybe darker (indicating). Yes. I think they pointed to 4B or even -- 4G. And said it was maybe darker than this. Here's the bar on the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

left side of the picture, jukebox, and I think you can see the door on the far right corner.

(Indicating) Pretty dark. It's pretty dark in there. And they're pretty drunk. How good are their recollections.

Carlos Solomon tells you there was a man in white who he went for. A man wearing white who he was so focused upon that he wouldn't have even seen his parents if they were there because he wanted to get that man wearing white. Who else said there was a man in white? We heard a tape. You heard the tape, I don't know. Was Solomon clear headed enough to know if there was a man in white? Julio Rivera was wearing a red shirt, his gray jacket was off. Did anyone say they saw a man wearing a red shirt? No. Who wore the hoodie and ran out wearing a hoodie? Little Julio. Mysterious Little Julio. A man with a tremendous amount of energy. We heard who wore a camouflage jacket and the hoodie. My client testified it was warm in there, he took the hood down.

Well, we have a case of science versus drunk witnesses. We really do. Science one side, drunk witnesses the other. Where is this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

overlap, and what can you make of it? In this case the prosecution and the drunk witnesses want you to believe that my client, who admitted he threw some punches, wasn't throwing punches, he was stabbing a man, three times.

My client said he thinks he threw three punches. The prosecution is going to ask you you to turn three punches into two downward thrusts with a knife in the back and one downward thrust with a knife in the front.

Now, medical examiner said a punch, that is ridiculous. Her words, it couldn't be a punch. If somehow you could hold a knife in your hand and punch (indicating). Use your common sense, wrap your fingers, you're bleeding. It's not going to cause the downward wounds in the back or the front. It can't. The objective evidence conflicts with the theory that Mr. Enrique Rivera's is a punch or punches, were assaults with a knife. He is not the one. And the witness, Dr. Frederic, also testified about the knife. It would have to She said that. have sharp edges on each side. Based upon putting the wounds together and examining each, I guess, end of it. My client,

you saw what he looked like in that video tape.

A beaten man, resigned to make a statement.

MS. CHU: Objection, your Honor. There was no evidence about beatings.

MR. DRANOVE: I don't mean physically beaten, like --

MS. CHU: Objection, he is having a conversation with me.

THE COURT: Objection overruled. He can argue what he is arguing.

MR. DRANOVE: He wasn't physical beaten, but in ways psychologically beaten. He took -- my client says, I took out a pocket knife. You saw him open a pocket knife. Now, it's in the video. You can see it. And said, I swung it.

And back to the expert versus my client.

Miss Chu asked Dr. Frederic could those wounds

be inflicted by someone swinging a knife like

that (indicating). And she said, no. They

cannot. Now, that's a fact. My client said, I

got sucker punched. His brother did. They

swung. My client was removed by the bouncer.

He don't know what happened when he was

removed. His back was to what was going on.

There was a brawl going on. There was Jahaira

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and Rudy, I think, Rudy's sister or brother and a husband. They were in there. Mr. Ojeda, Solomon, Dominguez, Carrasquillo, one of those guys who's been getting drug arrests in 2007, 2008, it's like a gift to the defense bar, you just keeps coming back for more. But nonetheless, in that bar that night, my client was punched, threw some punches and was removed by the bouncer who did not testify.

Now, you heard Mr. Solomon testify he saw my client put -- he said. He said, he saw my client -- part of his testimony -- put a hand out. Palms up. What did my client said he tried to do. He talked to this fellow and who he was talking to are addressed. Chill. My client had his hand out, chill, this is nothing. Chill, chill. (Indicating) His brother came over. Just chill. The F word, it's a bar, it's late at night. Solomon, big mouth, the F word. Julio stands between his brother and the group. Tells his brother probably "shut the fuck up." Let me take care of it. And he gets punched. Well, punches start flying. That is what happens in bar brawls. The bouncer was there. At least one

bouncer, he took my client out. He can account for that. Noone can account for little Julio. Noone can account for Rudy, Jahaira, their friends. Solomon runs off after a guy in a white jacket. My client's already gone. Solomon comes back after the bouncer won't let him out. Some amount of time has passed and Edgar Ojeda says, I have been stabbed. Ojeda doesn't say who stabbed him. He don't say the guy in the camouflage jacket, short guy, little guy. I have been stabbed. It happened quickly. But Mr. Enrigue Rivera is the one accused. Didn't happen through his efforts.

Now, you heard testimony in the precinct,
Detective Darino told Julio Rivera, my
client -- you have to excuse me. Listen, you
started it, we know your brother was there, we
know your brother did it. You've been upstate.
He hasn't. You did it because you started it.
My client testified, I didn't start it. I
didn't do it, but the psychologist here amongst
those who want this case closed with a
conviction from the bar friends, plaintiff,
Ojeda is my client started it. Does it matter
the right person is going to pay. Someone from

his group is going to pay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But we have rules in this court, rules of proof, burden of proof, evidence. Your powers and what you can make of it and how to judge a case of justice is done. Not so that someone says, we got one of them. This is not what we're here for.

So in this bar, afterwards, we don't know what happens in great detail except what we know in court. But one of the telling pieces of information that came out and I have been thinking about this for a long time I am sure the prosecution has, and I am sure the family of the victim has and I am sure my client and his family has. What really happened. Well, I ask you to recall the testimony that there was several detectives who went to Julio Rivera's apartment. They went there, and they looked at Julio's clothing. They inspected Julio's jacket. They put his jacket on the table. think his pants. I am not sure. And they looked at Julio's clothing. What would they be looking for on Julio's clothing? What had they heard? Why are they looking at Julio's clothing. Because, I submit, this is what the

evidence has shown, all of it, this is not a Colombo moment, but it turns out -- it just turns out that somehow Little Julio, who had blood on his jacket, is somehow, in the words and descriptions, turned into Julio. Julio Rivera. Little Julio, who was with Enrigue, somehow becomes Julio Rivera, the guy with the blood on the jacket.

Now, that is why they are looking at the jacket. They are looking at the jacket of Julio Rivera.

MS. CHU: Objection, your Honor. Calls for speculation.

THE COURT: Overruled. This is his argument.

MR. DRANOVE: Because they believe Julio Rivera did it, and he'd had blood on his jacket. They didn't bring the jacket in. They didn't even voucher it, but they brought in Julio. And they brought him in handcuffs, and they took his belt and they took his shoe laces and they took his cell phone.

Now, that is not an arrest. That is not a belief that this man is so distraught over what he's done that he is going to kill himself.

That is what they thought. So they had Julio.

Another wrong man, and they brought in Angel. Another wrong man. And Darino said, and he wasn't brought in the precinct. And he testified he was brought in at gun point with his family. Removed from the car and brought in. And they had Enrigue. Didn't have little Julio. Didn't have JP. Rudy. Jahaira. Don't know.

How did they find my client? They went threw his -- ultimately his wife, not them -- they went to the house. Some never said where to find him. Did they look at the line-up? I asked. No. Who's that person? Don't know. Why would that person say anything? Don't know.

Questions of Julio. Your brother tell you he stabbed somebody? Answer, no. Did your brother tell you that and then you told that to Jahaira? Answer, no. Did Jahaira testify differently? She didn't even testify. Not at all. The tape was played. Listen to the sequence, it confirms what Mr. Julio Rivera testified to. He saw Jahaira later after he saw his brother.

MS. CHU: Objection, that is not offered for it's content.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. DRANOVE: Now, I will turn to the video-taped statement.

You can tell I have notes all over the place. I am telling -- putting it together. These aren't all my notes though.

So, let's go back to the scientists for a moment. And Detective Gaynor and how he quickly closed the case and ignored the fact that you have the wrong man. That's it, case closed. Let it all sort out later.

Detective Darino is what I should have said. Detective Darino never heard a single person say, never had a report, never spoke to a witness who said, Mr. Enrigue Rivera punched, pushed, pushed or shoved the victim on the back. Not once. Detective Darino, in official reports, said statement possible by criminal prosecution that the -- that the witnesses saw the defendant repeatedly -- the words repeatedly strike Mr. Ojeda in the chest and back. That is false. Punishable under law. He wasn't punished, he told you. But he closed

the case. Detective Darino said the defendant admitted stabbing the victim. False. That is the detective's false statement included in an official report. The detective put in a document where false statements are punishable as a crime. The defendant admitted swinging a knife at the victim. False. He never admitted that. He never said that. But the detective closed his first homicide case very quickly. And incorrect.

Now, much was made of the DNA evidence.

Nice charts. Complicated, and a cap. My
client said, looks like my cap. It is my cap.

Okay. So is the jacket. The jacket was -- I
know it might be here. It's in a bag.

Could someone bring it out for -- I'll just take the bag.

That jacket was brought to the DNA people. They looked carefully, looking for blood on the jacket of the main perpetrator. It's in here (indicating). If anybody wants to look. You can look. But we know there is testimony from Miss Razzano. She looked carefully. It's a jacket, cap, maybe of a hoodie, I don't recall. The hoodie, it's a sweatshirt with a hood. By

the way, I think one of the witnesses testified to a different colored sweatshirt. It was dark there. Green versus brown. The jacket, the hood, microscopically. In effect examined by a neutral scientific witness looking for blood.

None. Why none? He didn't stab him. The evidence points to somebody else. We know that. The cap, a drop on the front -- may I approach? I am not sure -- I am not sure which exhibit I want to hold up, if any.

THE COURT: You may.

MR. DRANOVE: It's in Exhibit 2B. A blow-up. And it talks about Mr. Ojeda, stain 2A and stain 2B.

Now, I don't know if they use the word stain instead of drop. I don't know, that wasn't brought out at this trial. I just don't know. Stain on a baseball cap. Stained 1A. Stain 1B. Stain 2A. Stain 2B. Baseball cap had stains. (Indicating) But how does the blood get to the back of the cap? And the top of the cap. Well, somehow the cap had to be exposed to the blood of the victim. Where could that have happened? Perhaps in the bar if the cap was on the head of someone right up

against the victim where the blood was flowing into the clothing or happens in the car.

Mr. -- where Mr. -- Little Julio was. Where my client was. My client does not -- he didn't say anything. I certainly recall where my cap was, but he don't recall where his cap was.

The prosecution read the testimony that he had been asked to take his cap off, put it on. He was asked to take it off, so where was it? He doesn't recall.

I submit to you it was in the car with Little
Julio. My client didn't know little Julio had
blood on his jacket. Noone asked him about
Little Julio. Noone asked him at all. You
watched the video tape. You listened to
Detective Darino. Noone asked him about it.
He testified here, Enrigue. I didn't see any
blood. Well, he dropped him off on Nelson
Street. And he didn't want to talk about it.
Julio didn't want to talk about it. You can
understand why. Little Julio, not big Julio
whose jacket was examined by the police, had
someone's blood on him. Lot of them some ended
up on the cap of my client. Not the clothing

of my client.

My client -- can I get some water, Judge?

THE COURT: Any time.

MS. CHU: Your Honor, may we approach while he is doing that?

THE COURT: No.

(pause)

MR. DRANOVE: We know that -- through the witnesses there was a rush, a rush to close the case. They closed it incorrectly.

Miss Sipress, who swore in Julio Rivera, did not swear in Enrique Rivera. Did not ask Enrique Rivera, did you stab anybody? She knew the man was stabbed, she didn't ask him. did you stab him. She didn't ask him where's the knife? The detective did not ask him. Where's this knife? The three friends of Mr. Ojeda was together in the car. They went to the precinct, they -- in the car with their friends. Bleeding to death. They are blaming somebody. You can -- can you not fault them for their human nature being what it is? One of those guys. Maybe the guy in the camouflage jacket. It's his fault. None of them really know who stabbed the victim. Simply put and

directly and through the evidence. Detective asked ultimately answered. Did any witness tell you they saw a knife in the hand of Enrigue Rivera? Not one witness did.

Did any witness tell you they saw Enrigue Rivera touch this man in the back? Not one witness did. Mr. Rivera never said, I touched anybody in the back. Mr. Rivera never said, I stabbed anybody. But the detective, after interviewing Mr. Rivera and the witnesses and preparing his police reports, said that at 5:15 in the morning, Mr. Rivera, after being advised of his rights, made an admission to stabbing the victim in this case. How does a false statement by a law enforcement officer that helps him close his case without finding the perpetrator. And case closed is the most important thing to him, I assume.

Now, the detective also said his own words, the defendant's deponents states.

Deponent says, I've sworn to tell the truth.

Deponent states, he further informed by the defendant's own words that defendant pulled out a knife and swung the knife at the victim.

Closed quote. Quote starts before deponent.

Well, that is false. Why is he reaching out to this false statement to make a case against this man? Cause this case is closed. He is not going to admit he made a mistake that's not good for your career. Doesn't matter. Case closed. That is good for your career.

Darino never asked my client where did you -- not even Darino asked, did you strike them? No. Did you hit him with a knife? No. Did you stab him with a knife? No. He never asked him. It didn't matter to him. Got the man, it's over. I got enough. He got a half, enough. He got half loaf statement, that is enough. One slice witnesses, and that's it. That's his loaf of bread.

Now, the defendant awakened at four in the morning. At his girlfriend's. Did he run?

No. Did he show any knowledge or evidence that he had done wrong? He went to work Sunday. In his barbershop, in the same neighborhood. He just went to work. He left work. He picked up his son. He had dinner with his fiance. He brought his son to his mother's. He dropped off her, the son and he went back to his

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fiance. Went to sleep. Fiance had a child at home, so he is not sleeping in the bedroom with the fiance. He's wakened at four in the morning. He's tired. He's cuffed. Brought to a police station. He's heard already something terrible in the bar because there's crime scene tape around it. So he learned somebody's been killed. He didn't hear any shot. He doesn't know what happened. He's questioned.

Now, of course, the detective says he immediately gave me this statement. Immediately gave me this statement. At 5:15 or a few minutes later. But there is no time written on the statement, and my client can't even spell his name, Enrique, correctly. How many of you misspelled your first name when you're writing? How many of you can spell your first name? Exhaustion, fear, resignation. I'm a beaten man, and he starts making a written statement. He was helped to make. And his brothers in the police station. Julio is still there. The detectives had already spoken to him, and they hold him. Angel is there. Darino tells Angel -- excuse me -- Julio Rivera, one of you is going to pay or both of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you are going to pay. In fact, it starts off that way hours before Enrigue is arrested.

Julio gives his statements early on the night of Monday morning. Enrique's brought in, Enrique, they don't take his shoe laces or belt or strange police evaluation. Enrique is questioned, and as he said, once he's giving his statement, he's then told about his Miranda warnings.

Now, what was his condition, his physical and mental condition? More importantly, you look at the video tape and see what it was. Miss Sipress said he looked fine. Take a look at him, he looks devastated. Exhausted, emotionally spent and beaten. He was so controlled in that bar room, cinder block room, no windows, nothing but a bare plastic chair Formica tables, hours detectives coming in and That is not good enough. We got your brother, we put him upstate, we will put you upstate. You can do that, you have been there. He can't. He comes off with a story, but I really don't know what happened. So he can say what happened. Explanation reveals, he don't know what happened. He don't know what

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

happened. He can't say what happened. don't know what happened. He did his best to state his interlocutors who said, self-defense, he had a knife. He don't know what was done with the knife because he did not do it. There comes a time when Miss Sipress says I questioned him that is the video tape. That is what he looked like. He looked fine. says, in effect, now that I have read you your rights, are you willing to make a statement? He don't say yes. He don't say no, she says. That means it's voluntary. Totally voluntary. It's voluntary statement in her opinion. You decide if the statement is voluntary. The judge will tell you how to decide that. Want to know all the rules, and what to do. That is your job. You can even listen, and then you will evaluate it, unless you find it's voluntary.

Now, how do we know my client's telling the truth about the threats to his brother?

His brother wasn't released. Until after my client's statement, and then the brother gave a statement to Miss Sipress, which, for whatever reason, she choose to not write down. This is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a homicide investigation, she does not write down what a man said. Even though she don't know if he is going to make a recorded statement, she don't write it down. Same way Detective Darino don't write down what he's saying. Even though he don't know a recording is going to be made thereafter. What was said, we don't know because they choose to not write it down. So my client is asked, all right, tell me what happened? Does he start talking? Take a look at the video tape. I encourage you to do it. Puts his head in his hand. Total abject surrender to an overwhelming force and shakes his head no. Negative. And he comes off with a statement. Then it's over. not asked anything.

And curiously, Miss Sipress, who interviewed Julio Rivera before taking his statement, and swore in Julio Rivera, said she never spoke to my client before taking his statement. That's her choice. That was late night barroom ball -- brawl. A ruckus.

Commotion. People don't know what is going on behind them. People don't know if somebody punched a particular person. When Mr. Ojeda

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was stabbed. They don't know what. Before or after my client punched him? We don't know. What we do know, my client punched Mr. Solomon, and what he thinks is Mr. Ojeda. Is Mr. Ojeda. The prosecutor made a great deal of the height of the person. My client had his word with him in the back room, and my client said, I see him eye to eye. Mr. Ojeda is 5'5" apparently, according to the prosecution. My client is 5'11". My client doesn't know if he had words with Mr. Ojeda. We don't know. But skillful cross-examination led my client to say, yes. But you don't know, if you listen to her description of who had his words with. Mr. Solomon was intent to getting a man with a white jacket for a reason. He went after someone in a white jacket for a reason. Who is it? Was it Rudy. We don't know. One of Rudy's friends? We don't know. But he went after him.

It would be -- let me rephrase it. The cap was obtained four years and three months ago. Four years and few months. About four years the prosecution has known that this is a blow-up of the DNA report I asked Miss Razzano

1 about. Four years that the cap stains, 2D for 2 example, includes the DNA of somebody who's not 3 Mr. Ojeda and maybe the DNA of yet another 4 person. Now -- and for four years with a 5 possibility of two other people having handled that cap as recently as that night. That 6 7 night. One being who's DNA is these numbers 8 along stain 2D that go from the first column to 9 the last column (indicating). The next being 10 whoever has, under the column, the first one, 11 the D3 is number 18 there (indicating). At 18 12 is neither 15 or 17, and the full DNA count 13 fingerprint, if you wish, of stain 2D for one 14 person is different than the DNA found on that 15 same stain of another person. Well, either one 16 of them, Mr. Enrigue Rivera? Maybe not. Maybe 17 It would be very important for this to 18 have been evaluated by the prosecution. And 19 it's still is very important. There are DNA 20 data basis, you don't know. And 18, who's 21 that? We don't know. These are people who 22 handle that cap. Maybe that night. 23 have handled it in addition to Mr. Rivera. 24 just don't know. And one reason we don't know, 25 I submit, is because case closed ends all

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

questions, and you then move on, you got just a typical Saturday night, Saturday night in a Puerto Rican bar, who cares? Case closed.

Many people care. And you should care. Bring in your verdict. Just remember, amongst other things, that to find my client guilty of any crime, you have to turn the facts on their head, if not up side down, and ignore that the medical examiner described the nature of the hand gesture that had to have taken place. was up here. She was seated, Miss Chu was there and she raised her hand up and everybody was looking, everyone, everyone in the jury box, foreperson, three alternates, and it was downwards from up over the shoulder downwards (indicating). And Miss Chu asked if it could have been done -- and she actually kind of copied what you saw my client do. On the video tape. And the witness said, no. And she asked could it have been a punch. I think the witness, Dr. Frederic says that is ridiculous, but I think she meant punch a knife in is still ridiculous because the wounds are downwards. Noone saw my client do that. There was a ruckus, there was a disturbance and a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

commotion. People, quite a few people were fighting in this bar. We know the fighting went on after Julio Rivera was outside. He heard it. He tried -- my client tried to get back in, he couldn't get back in. He heard the noise. When Julio left, it was still going on. The off duty bouncer testified he thought -initially he said, he saw my client pushed. Actually, if you hear his testimony, he doesn't know for sure. He said, I'm not sure. At least he's honest. He is not sure if he saw my client touch anyone. He can't fill in the missing evidence or change the conflicting reality that my client didn't swing downwards. Somebody did and got away from it so far. You can't do that and uphold your oath.

My client didn't do it. It's over four years later. This chapter in the book, trying to find the perpetrator, has all the clues in it. But the conclusion is the wrong conclusion if you find my client guilty. The evidence doesn't show it. Cannot show it because it's not guilty. The detective ignored it, medical examiner does not. DNA evidence does not.

Please uphold your oath, follow the law,

I'm confident when you do and you evaluate the evidence, don't guess what might have been because it wasn't as far as Rivera, bring back a verdict of not guilty.

Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Miss Chu?

MS. CHU: May we approach, your Honor?

THE COURT: You want a recess?

MS. CHU: To tell you something.

(Whereupon, there was a discussion off the record at this time.)

THE COURT: We are going to need to take a recess before the prosecutor's summation. I ask to return to the jury room. We will be with you in a few moment.

Thank you for your patience.

(Jury Excused)

THE COURT: The record should reflect at sidebar Miss Chu reminded me that I did not state the stipulation regarding the defendant's prior testimony having been given at a proceeding where both he and -- both the district attorney and his attorney questioned him, and she asked me to give that stipulation now.

I indicated I would not do that since summations had already started, and she asked for more time to go over her summations as a result of that.

MS. CHU: Your Honor, I'd also like to add to the record that the People did request the stipulation be read to the jury in a timely fashion. It was done prior to summations even beginning.

I've tried cases many cases in front of your Honor and it's always been my recollection that you've made some comments to the jury prior to defense counsel standing up to do their -- their closing argument. In this case, that did not happen.

I expected that when you gave those instructions to the jury just prior to Mr. Dranove beginning his summation, that you would include in that stipulation that we had both agreed upon. And it wasn't until Mr. Dranove was already commencing that I realized that you weren't going to give those charges and those instructions to the jury. I wasn't going to interrupt once he started, but when he went to go for the water break, I tried to approach at

that point, and you denied my request. I had to wait until after he was done to come up to you to let you know that the stipulation had -- wasn't read to the jury.

I don't believe that the defense has suffered any prejudice whatsoever, if you would read stipulation now and say this was failed to put in before because defense counsel made no comments about it in his summation. So there is nothing that would affect whatsoever how he summed up.

This point, I believe, is a very valid point. It's a very strong point that I've included in my summation. Based upon your ruling, I anticipated that it would be allowed, and I have it in here. And I don't think I should be punished because of the fact that there was a mistake that was made. That has nothing to do with me.

THE COURT: As I said at the sidebar, number 1, it was my mistake, I apologized that the stipulation wasn't read to the jury before summations started.

Number 2, having gone through defense counsel's summation, even during summation, it

would be inappropriate to start telling the jury about a stipulation.

Number 3, I don't believe it's prejudicial to the district attorney.

And number 4, you need to adopt to the reality of it, which is why I gave you a recess. Until --

MS. CHU: All do respect, I understand that you don't think it's an important fact. These people are the triers the fact. You might not think it's important, but they might think it's important. That is why I wanted to argue it to them. I don't think that it's fair that I be prejudiced because of the fact that there was a mistake made. That was through no fault of mine.

And defense counsel consented to this stipulation. It's not like I am trying to force down their throat something that he doesn't agree to. He agreed to the stipulation.

MR. DRANOVE: Judge, it opens the door to defense having to tell the jury a stipulation was entered. You could fault the lawyer at that time, but you can't -- do not ask it opens

	Proceedings/Stipulation	716
1	the door. It's plenty of evidence here. I	
2	commented a very long period of time about the	
3	evidence. The prosecution summed up in this	
4	case in the past. She knows the case, let her	
5	do her job.	
6	THE COURT: Let me know when you're ready	
7	for your summation.	
8	(Whereupon, there was a short	
9	recess at this time.)	
10	COURT OFFICER: Your Honor, ready for the	
11	jurors?	
12	THE COURT: Yes, we are.	
13	COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.	
14	COURT CLERK: Both sides waive the roll	
15	call?	
16	MS. CHU: So waived.	
17	MR. DRANOVE: Yes.	
18	THE COURT: Miss Chu, you may give your	
19	closing argument on behalf of the People of the	
20	State of New York.	
21	MS. CHU: Thank you, your Honor.	
22	MS. CHU: Good morning, ladies and	
23	gentlemen:	
24	After the defendant got off the stand	
25	yesterday, I'm sure you had to be asking	

yourself a lot of questions about what he said. In an effort to try and make sense of what he was telling you. Because I submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, that a lot of what he said just didn't make sense with all the evidence that you have in this case.

I want to point out some of the things that he said that I think don't make sense. I want to talk about them.

Number 1, if he admits that the whole fight is between me and Mr. Ojeda, how was it that he was the person that came to be the one that was tossed out? He is not the one doing all the damage during this altercation, then how come he's the only one that was thrown out? If Edgar never left that area of the jukebox. In People's 4 -- if Edgar never left from here, this area by the jukebox, (indicating) how was it that the defendant had this altercation or this dispute with him in the bathroom?

Now, after the defendant said he left before Mr. Ojeda actually got injured, how was it that Mr. Ojeda's blood ended up on the defendant's cap? If he's the only one having this dispute with Mr. Ojeda, then why would

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anyone else want to stab him? If the detectives already had a Rivera. Any Rivera. One brother, Angel, Tito, and they thought that Tito did it, why would they even have the defendant confess to anything? If the detectives are just making a rush to judgment, like defense counsel suggested to you, then why not just charge the first Rivera you get your hands on? Why wait for Enrigue Rivera? And one of the last things I wanted to ask you, something that just didn't make sense about what he said. If he didn't do it, then why does his story keep changing? I submit to you, that none of these questions can be answered in a way that resolve inconsistencies other than the fact that it was Enrique Rivera that killed Edgar Ojeda on February 27, 2005.

Now, there are four major components to the People's case that prove and make it crystal clear to you that it was the defendant, and only the defendant, Enrigue Rivera, that was responsible for the stabbing death of Edgar Ojeda.

The first component is his friend, Carlos Solomon. You had a chance to see him take the

stand here and questioned by myself, as well as Mr. Dranove. Now, he sees the only contact that Edgar had with anybody in that bar, by that man (indicating). Enrigue Rivera.

Because before the defendant walked up to Edgar Rivera -- Edgar Ojeda, it was peaceful. There was no injury to Mr. Ojeda. This is not the case of the only white shirt, the only new white shirt, that has a white mark on it. This is, fine, I have no injury, and after he punches him, I have stab wounds now. That is what we have here.

And even though Mr. Solomon couldn't see the knife, it's easy to see how someone punching, and then there is a knife -- stab wound, he had to have something in his hand. It's not rocket science, being able to say, you know what, I didn't have a stab wound, he punches me, I have stab wounds now. Clearly he had something in his hands. I submit to you, Mr. Solomon's testimony regarding him observing the defendant punch Mr. Ojeda in the chest area, and then him ending up with the stab wound. That's something that is reasonable, that you can draw from that. That even though

he didn't see the knife, he sees the punches, he sees the contact and if there is a stab wound immediately after that, he had to have something in his knife -- I am sorry -- he had to have a knife in his hand.

Now, the defendant is the only person that Carlos Solomon saw touching Mr. Ojeda immediately prior to Mr. Ojeda alerting him that he had been stabbed. He sees him punching him in precisely the area where that vital wounds was according to Dr. Frederic. The five-inch deep stab wound that goes in and punctures his lung.

Now, there is no requirement -- when the judge gives you your instructions in a few minutes -- about the fact that someone had to have seen the knife. The only requirement is that we prove that a knife was used. And you have that from the testimony of Dr. Frederic. She said, when I asked her, is there any way that he could have received those injuries by a punch? She said, that is nonsense. That was the word she used, that is nonsense. There is no way. That is a stab wound. That is not a punch. This is not a blunt injury trauma, this

is a stab wound. A puncture wound to the body. There is no if, and or but about it. In fact, I don't even have to prove to you where this knife came from.

We know there was metal detectors at this bar. We know there was a pat down. We know Mr. Navarette, who searched the defendant and his crew, didn't have the wounds with him, right. And we also know -- what else we also know, the women aren't searched. They check the bags, but they don't pat them down.

Jahaira's who? What relation does she have to this case? She is the cousin of the defendant. She is the cousin of his brother. She is the one that gets Tito's car and his keys and meets him later.

Now, the fact that Mr. Solomon didn't see the defendant touch him in the back, I submit to you, is reasonable because what did he tell you? He said, at the time just prior to seeing the defendant's hand come up and punch Mr. Ojeda on the shoulder, his concentration is on the defendant's brother because he's the one that says, mind your f'g business, right, and he says, that is my friend, he is my business.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So his attention is no longer on the defendant, who he initially says what is going onto. His attention is on the brother and Little Julio. Because they're the ones now standing in front of him. And the defendant was the closest to Edgar. And closest to what side of Edgar? Closest to Edgar's left side, which is the farthest away from Solomon. Solomon is here, Edgar is here and the defendant, over there, you can see what is going on with him, and when he leans in like that, he is not paying attention. (Indicating) What draws his attention, after he is having this conversation with the defendant's brother, is when the defendant lifts his hand up and goes right to hear (indicating). He sees the final blow.

MR. DRANOVE: Objection, suggestion made, there was no testimony --

THE COURT: The jurors' recollection will control.

MS. CHU: Now, if the defendant's nearby, how is this a rush to judgment by the detectives? They are just trying to close this case. First homicide case, I just want to close, I don't care who gets arrested. Right?

Why are they trying to frame him? If you're just trying to frame him because you're trying to get done, of course, to say the same thing just try close the story fast, why not get the witnesses, and I mean all of the witnesses, Marcus Carrasquillo, Jonathan Dominguez, Carlos Solomon, Enrique Navarette, get all of them to say, I saw the defendant not only with a knife, but I saw him stab Edgar Ojeda in the back. I saw him stab him in the front. Just one of those episodes done on Law and Order. It's not scripted for you, there's no commercials in between. I submit to you, that is not what happened because they told you the truth.

Mr. Solomon -- I submit to you, Mr.

Solomon wishes he saw that knife. How happy do you think he would be to be able to tell you, yeah, I saw the knife in his hand. But he didn't tell you that because that is not what he saw. I submit to you, he was bei honest about what he could see and the and who he saw do it because that's doing. Just telling you what I sat trying to make it better. He wasn make it worse. It is what it is.

same way he didn't have to tell you he was smoking marijuana that day. There was no blood test done on Ojeda. He didn't have to tell you. There was no way to prove he was smoking marijuana. As open and honest he was about the marijuana he smoked earlier that morning, I submit, that's the way he testified about what he saw and what he didn't see on February 27, 2005. Who he saw doing it and who he didn't see doing it. The night of February 27, 2005.

Now, remember, also that when the defendant testified, he places himself about a foot away from Mr. Ojeda, and you know from the testimony of Mr. Solomon, he was standing right next to him. So that means he's that close (indicating). He's almost a foot away from --how's he going to make a mistake about who he's looking at?

Now, defense just said the lighting conditions. Clearly people knew what was going on, you're seeing them, you're up close and personal. You're a foot away from the person. How are you going to mistake who you're looking at?

Now, I am going to ask you when you're

talking about Mr. Solomon, to say to yourself, what motive does he have to lie and say it was the defendant when in fact it wasn't the defendant? That it was his brother or that it was Little Julio. We all know the difference in the stature of Little Julio versus the defendant. In fact, in his very own words, he tells you, he's always been short. He is little, that is why we call him little. Defendant called him thin. Little Julio, short and stocky. No way you could mistake one for the other.

What motive does Carlos Solomon have to lie? He never saw the defendant before. He never saw any of those guys before. He didn't have beef with anybody. All night not at the place they were at before, not when they came into the bar. He says he sees the defendant on the dance floor, there is no altercation there. There is nothing happening in the bathroom. He goes back, and when he is standing there, that is when the defendant walks by and he says he thinks he's leaving, and then he goes up and he says something to Mr. Ojeda. Initially he don't think anything of it. He thought Mr.

Ojeda knew him.

Now, if there is no motive for Mr. Solomon to say that it was the defendant when it wasn't the defendant, then could he be mistaken about what he saw? We know he couldn't be mistaken about what he saw, because immediately after he sees the defendant do the final blow to Mr. Edgar --

MR. DRANOVE: Same objection to the gesture made by the prosecutor.

THE COURT: Again, the jurors' recollection of the testimony will control.

MS. CHU: The defendant runs out, he's either helped out or he runs out, maybe all together he is helped out the door. Him and Little Julio. And what does Mr. Solomon tell you. He tells you that it's only him and Mr. Ojeda. That the bouncer -- now there he has to swing over the bouncer when he is going for Tito. Right. He swings over the bouncer, and he says Edgar's right there. There's no one else but him and Edgar. And at that point, Edgar tugs on him and says, I think I am stabbed. That is the point. There is nobody else that touches Edgar Ojeda after the

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

defendant does that final blow and then runs out of the place. So I submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, if there is no motive for Mr. Solomon to lie. There is no reason or evidence here that he is mistaken about what he saw when he was there on the night of February 27, 2005. Then the only thing it could be is the truth.

Now, I want to move on to the second component of the People's case, which shows you that the defendant is the guilty person here. We have second component being Enrique Navarette. The off-duty bouncer that was at the bar. Now, all accounts of Mr. Navarette is a neutral party. Not that Mr. Carlos Solomon had any reason to want to blame the defendant when he had a bunch of other people that he could have picked from, the brother, Little Julio, whatever. Just the way he went after --I am sorry -- after the brother when he couldn't get to the defendant. Remember he swings over him. But push comes to shove, and he is talking to the police, he says, no, that is not the guy that stabbed him. That is not the guy that I saw punching Edgar Ojeda. is the guy I saw punching Edgar Ojeda.

Summation - Chu

728

(Indicating)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DRANOVE: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MS. CHU: It was the guy with the camouflage jacket. The taller, thinner one. The defendant, not Little Julio. The defendant. Not the brother who was wearing a gray jacket or a light jacket. Whatever you want to call it.

Now, both Mr. Solomon and Mr. Navarette says, it's the tall, slim guy or the guy that's short and stocky. No, not like despite the fact that Mr. Julio Rivera testified and now all of a sudden anybody same size, everybody same skin tone, everybody looks the same. You know if Mr. Navarette and Mr. Solomon, there is a disparity in the height. Between the defendant and Little Julio. One tall, one short. One thin, one fat. They both have the defendant talking with Edgar Ojeda initially. And the defendants would argue that he don't actually say that the defendant actually touches Mr. Ojeda, but if you look in the testimony, or you have the testimony read back for you, you'll hear that Mr. Navarette most

certainly says what he says, he is not sure of, he says, the number of times that he sees the defendant touch Mr. Ojeda. He says, I don't remember whether the hand was open or closed, but he --

MR. DRANOVE: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. DRANOVE: Page 167 --

MS. CHU: Your Honor, I object to that.

THE COURT: Overruled.

It's the jurors' recollection that will control.

MS. CHU: He says, Mr. Ojeda was touched by him (indicating). Only thing he is not sure about is whether the hand was opened or closed or whether or not it's -- the number of times. I think it's twice, maybe. That is what he says.

Now, you know there was reference made to the fact that he was drinking. He had five beers. He was drinking Bacardi. I submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, there is absolutely no evidence in this case to show or lead you to believe that this alcohol impaired his ability to see what he saw. In fact, his testimony has

been consistent since he was interviewed in 1 2005. And there is no evidence whatsoever that 2 3 his alcohol impaired his abilities to react to the situation so quickly, that he was there to 4 5 separate Edgar and Mr. Solomon from the 6 defendant and Little Julio had ran out, and 7 then you remember Tito was there too. Remember 8 he is there. He says, Solomon punches Tito 9 right when he was there. That means he got 10 from that corner -- he got from this corner 11 here, where he was by the bar, with his lady 12 friend over there, so fast that he was able to 13 stand in between Mr. Solomon and -- and the 14 defendant's brother (indicating). So that is 15 how alert he was to everything he was around. There is no evidence whatsoever that his 16 17 alcohol intake impaired his ability to see that 18 it was the defendant, the taller slim guy and 19 not the short squat guy in the camouflage 20 jacket that did the pushing on Mr. Edgar Ojeda. 21 He wasn't so impaired that he couldn't see the 22 area where the defendant touched Mr. Ojeda. 23 And he didn't know where Mr. Ojeda got injured until afterwards. When he sees blood coming 24 25 from him. Right? He don't know? So obviously

he is not so impaired he can see all of those things and be just as alert as normal.

Now, both Mr. Solomon and Mr. Navarette, they see the assault coming and it looks like to them like it was a punch or a push. And all that meaning Mr. Navarette and Mr. Solomon say that it was the defendant and only the defendant that touched Mr. Ojeda.

And even the defendant himself admits that he punched Mr. Ojeda. He says, I threw at least three punches. At least three punches.

MR. DRANOVE: Objection to at least.

THE COURT: It's the jurors' recollection that control.

MS. CHU: Have that read back to you. He says, I threw at least three punches.

Then you have Mr. Navarette and Mr. Solomon's observations being verified by independent line-ups that are conducted, not years later. Not months later. Not weeks later. Not even days later. We are talking about the very next day. February 28, 2005. The line-ups are conducted. And each of them independent of each other, they see -- they see the line-up and they immediately identify the

defendant as being the one they saw touching Mr. Ojeda just before.

MR. DRANOVE: Objection to what they allegedly saw him doing.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MS. CHU: Each of them separately. They don't get to talk to each other, and, in fact, the defendant's given the opportunity to change his position after each line-up. He chooses not to, but the witnesses have no idea about that.

You also know Mr. Navarette and Mr. Solomon, they don't know each other.

Mr. Navarette testified, you know, he don't even know who Mr. Solomon is. He calls him the tall gentleman. The tall gentleman that was standing by the victim who had the Mets Jersey on. Remember that? Because I am a Mets fan. He said, yo, nice jersey. Right? Remember that? They don't know one another. No reason for them to deliberate and try to frame the wrong person for doing this crime. And no way for them to know that they each would pick exactly the same person as the perpetrator of this crime. That person (indicating).

MR. DRANOVE: Objection to perpetrator.

THE COURT: Overruled. This is her argument.

MS. CHU: The third component of the People's case that proves beyond a reasonable doubt that it was the defendant that committed this crime is the statements the defendant made. The ones he made to the police and to the assistant district attorney that were on video tape.

Now, the third statement he makes is the oral statement which you heard about from Detective Darino. In that statement, he says, I took the knife out and swung it at the crowd. Then after he's asked to memorialize and put it on a written statement, which he writes out, and, you know, the defense counsel is arguing, well, you know what, he can't even spell his name. That is how depressed he is. That is how beaten he is and everything. How many times have you written your name and you misspelled it? Just signing your name, maybe wrote an extra element, whatever it is.

Doesn't really take that much for you to mess up on a signature. That is what happened here.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I submit to you, when he wrote this written statement, what does he say now? He says, I took the knife out, and I used it in self-defense. Swinging it at the crowd, that is what he says.

And then what do you see on the video tape? You see assistant district attorney give him his rights right on the tape. You actually saw the Miranda sheet that was read to him by Detective Darino, which he signs, which he actually writes under, my rights, puts his initials there. There is the time and date on it. On the video-taped statement to ADA Sipress, he says, I took the knife out, and I waived it at the people in front of him. during the testimony, or during the video-taped statement, he says, yes, the little guy was in front of him. The taller guy was next to him. He says when he says was the victim in front of you. He says there were a lot of people in front of me. Then he describes the people that are in front of him. Victim, Mr. Solomon.

Now, in those statements the defendant admits to having a knife, and in the video-taped statement, you can see he talked

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about it. He says, well, what kind of knife did you have, is what Miss Sipress asked him. He says, pocket knife. Well, how did -- how did you use it? Just waived it around. he says afterwards -- she says, what did you do with the knife? He said, I threw it away. Tell us what you did with it? Now, I submit to you, if this is all like a calculated ruse, we are trying to get him to say something that he don't want to say, he is just talking. He's given the opportunity to say whatever he wants. She's not interrogating him. She says, what did you do with it? He says, I threw it away. She didn't say, isn't it a fact you threw it away? What did you do with it? Didn't you use it on someone? She didn't say anything like She asked, what did you do with it? He goes, I threw it away, and that was it. Because she was just trying to get from him what he wanted to say.

Now, in those statements, he admits to having a knife, and while he don't say, I stabbed the victim verbatim. You know from his testimony at this trial that he says, I punched Mr. Ojeda. Right? We know that because he

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

testified right before you. Right before you yesterday.

Now, I'm going to talk a little bit about his brother. Tito Rivera. The one that testified yesterday, Julio Rivera. His real name is Julio. They call him Tito. All the testimony from his brother about how, you know, they stopped the tape made me say, it's camouflage. Remember the camouflage jacket, same camouflage. He said three times that they did this. He said Hector Rivera that actually was doing that to him, but when you heard from ADA Sipress, he wasn't even in the room when the interview was taking place with ADA Sipress. It was Detective Gaynor and Darino, it wasn't Hector Rivera. He wasn't the detective that was in the room when they were doing the audio tape.

All that stuff, they made me say camouflage jacket. All that became moot because after he testified, you heard from the defendant, what did he say? Yeah, I was wearing camouflage jacket, and yeah, I punched Mr. Ojeda. So all that, you know, they made me say this, they made me say all that, he didn't

even know his brother was going to admit that he punched Mr. Ojeda while he was wearing this camouflage jacket.

Now, he says that the tape is stopped, and they forced him to say something. He gets back on the tape and everything. You heard the tape. You heard the tape. And --

MR. DRANOVE: Objection, it's a copy.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MS. CHU: You heard the tape. She said she listened to that exact tape and that was the recording that she made of Julio Rivera. And you heard it, there are no breaks at all. All voices you hear on that tape are here, and Julio Rivera, what is he allowed to do. Not asked, in fact, you did this? Yes or no? Isn't it a fact you did that? Yes or no? Not like that she goes, what happened? And he tells it, in fact, one of the statements that he gives -- one of the answers he gave --

MR. DRANOVE: Objection to the substance of the statements, Judge, not admitted for that purpose.

THE COURT: True.

MS. CHU: I am not arguing about the

substance, I am talking about the fact that he gives a narrative. He gives a narrative, that rather long narrative uninterrupted. There is no stopping of that tape. The tape doesn't stop, go back on top. You know, it's very -- obviously it's those tapes. You hear it. You didn't hear any of that. While you might have heard the humming from the fact that it's an audio tape and you have old equipment. You heard that. But that was consistent throughout. There is no evidence whatsoever that that tape was stopped and started.

You know he was talked to in between. He can say the right thing. He said it during one of his own answers. It was continuous. He interrupted himself when talking, talking.

It's a dark jacket. A camouflage dark jacket. He says that. There's no calculation here.

The detectives are coaching him? They are not threatening him. He wasn't under any duress.

I submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, if he is lying about that, if he is lying about something having to do with the fact that he was forced to make that tape, to say what he said on that tape, then what else is he lying

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about? What else is he lying about? The fact that they took Tito's shoe laces and his belt and cell phone. Oh, they were worried that he was going to commit suicide. Apparently they didn't care whether or not the defendant did because they let him have his shoe laces and his belt. They didn't care about the defendant. They cared more about Julio Rivera because they took his. That is ridiculous, ladies and gentlemen. We all know what is going on here. Julio Rivera took the stand because he's trying to cover for his brother. He is trying to do whatever, and I submit to you that was a calculated, orchestrated display for you so he could set the stage for when his brother took the stand to give you his testimony. That is why Julio Rivera took the stand yesterday.

Now, did his testimony shed any light on who it was that stabbed Edgar Ojeda? No.

Nothing whatsoever on that. Just wanted to create chaos. There is a lot of fighting even after my brother. Everyone fighting me.

What did Mr. Navarette tell you? There was 15 people at the bar at most. And let's

count them. I asked the defendant, I said, it was eight of you. No, wasn't eight of them. I went through the names. You, your brother, JP, Little Julio, that is four. Jahaira, Rudy, his brother, his girlfriend, that is eight. You have Edgar, Carlos, Solomon, Enrique -- I am sorry -- Marcus Carrasquillo, Jonathan Dominguez. Twelve. You have the woman bartender, you have the male bartender, that is 14. You have Enrique Navarette, that is 15. Luis Rivera, he works there. That was the other bouncer. That is 16 people. Including the people that actually work there. Not that many people. Only -- the most people that were there were from his group.

MR. DRANOVE: Objection to his group.

THE COURT: Overruled. This is argument.

MS. CHU: Now, as for Angel Rivera, who testified yesterday, he says, I am brought to the precinct. I submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, you know the questions asked the Detective Darino regarding Angel Rivera, did his name come about in your investigation? Yes, it did. Did you see him at the precinct? He said, no, I didn't. Did you see him at the

precinct? Not was he there, but did you see him at the precinct, and the answer was, no.

Now, remember what he told you, where's the squad. The squad's on the second floor of the precinct. And the testimony of Mr. Rivera was that I was brought to the precinct for what six, seven hours he said. And I submit to you, the detectives were looking for him. Enrique Rivera. That he were looking for him when they went to Julio Rivera and brought him into the office for -- for an interview. They were looking for him when they stopped the car that Angel Rivera was driving. That car was registered to Enrique Rivera.

MR. DRANOVE: No evidence of that at all in this case.

THE COURT: Jurors' recollection will control.

MS. CHU: He was driving the defendant's car, that is why they stopped him.

MR. DRANOVE: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MS. CHU: And if they are trying to squeeze his brothers or squeeze the defendant into making a confession by holding his

2.0

brother, whatever. According to him, he says he is arrested or he is brought to the precinct at like 10:30, 11 o'clock at night. He was kept there for six or seven hours. If you do the math, six or seven hours from ten or 11 o'clock would be about six in the morning. Right when the defendant is being spoken to by Detective Darino. If they were trying to question him into making a fall confession, why are you letting go of the brother? Why were you letting go of the brother? That don't make sense.

Same thing goes for Angel Rivera. Only reason why he's testifying is because he wants to muddy the waters. Yes, Mr. Rivera was definite -- Enrique was definitely forced to make these confessions because his brothers were being held.

Now, at the time the defendant actually spoke with the district attorney, Miss Sipress, on the video tape, as well as she spoke -- as well as when he spoke with Detective Darino, you remember he was never shown any paperwork. He didn't know what Mr. Solomon saw or didn't see. He didn't know what anybody saw happened

25

at the place when it happened. In fact, the only thing new is that the witnesses placed him there. They placed him leaving the bar first and that his brother was left behind. He knew they had closed because they went to his mother's house. They knew -- I am sorry, I said that already. That he had been kicked out of the bar first. He was the first one to leave. So at the point that he is actually talking to Detective Darino, he knew that there was this huge hole that he had to climb out of in order to explain why he was not guilty. So the next day when he's at the precinct on the 28th, what does he say? He says, it's self-defense. And when that's not good enough, he gets on the video tape with ADA Sipress and he says, I was just waving the knife. And you know the defense counsel has argued to you that he was under such stress. The gravity of the situation. I submit to you, any stress that the defendant had when you were watching that video had to do with the fact that he knew that he was caught. He knew that he had to explain why he stabbed an unarmed man for no reason. That's why he is shaking his head. That is why

he is leaning over and putting his hand in his head like that (indicating). Because he knows he is screwed up.

Then you have yesterday. You have yesterday when he got up there, and he testified before you. He raised his hand, and he said, I swear to tell the truth. I swear. This is going to be the truth. Honest. And went all the way this time. Not only does he say, you know what, all those statements I said to Detective Darino, they made me say them. In fact, they made me say them, and they also made me go on video tape to say to Miss Sipress the same way. Say the same thing.

But you heard on the video tape yourself what does he say? He goes, what's this? I think detective couldn't hear. He says, what's this? You hear him, he says, just an interview. That's all he says. It's just an interview. Which is exactly what Detective Darino testified to you. I asked the defendant if he's willing to talk to the assistant district attorney, and he said, yes. And then when on the video he goes, what is this? He says, it's an interview. That's all he said.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What you said before. Remember, stick to the script. Remember about the plan. Brothers won't get revealed, you didn't hear any of that. It's just an interview. That's all he says.

So now he is on the stand, and he is telling you, okay, these are all the coerced statements. I didn't have a knife. Not only did they make me say this, but I am going to tell you something really important. There was this guy, his name is Little Julio. I have known him all my life. In fact, I even know where he lives, but I don't know his whole name. I don't know his real name. I have known him all my life. He knows he lives on the same place as my brother. But I don't know his last name. Guess what, he had blood all over his jacket. Same jacket I was wearing. Blood all over the jacket. This is someone he hangs out with. Someone he watches the fight with. Someone who he lets in his barbershop when it's closed so they can have beers and watch the fight. Someone he hangs around, someone who he drives around. Someone who would never be mistaken for the defendant

because he's as tall as the defendant is. That is how short Little Julio is. As thin as the defendant is, that is how stocky Little Julio is.

Now, the Judge is going to give you a charge as to an interested witness. And the defendant, by definition, is an interested witness. He is interested in the outcome of this case. He will be directly affected by the outcome of this case. And I want you to keep that in your mind when you're considering whether or not what he told you is truthful. When you compare it to all the other evidence in this case.

Now, if he was forced to say that he did it, and he knew anything that could save himself or his brothers' fate, why didn't he tell Detective Darino in 2005 when he was being interviewed or spoken to at the precinct on February 28, 2005? Why didn't he tell Detective Darino? Why didn't he tell him that Little Julio had the blood all over his jacket? He knew where he lived. He could have told the guy, Little Julio, I don't know his last name, but he lives on Nelson. He lives right by my

brother. When he testified at a prior proceeding under oath, he had the opportunity to set the record straight. Set the record straight.

MR. DRANOVE: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MS. CHU: He was no longer under the oppresive views in the police station or law enforcement. While being questioned, he doesn't think it's important to tell his lawyer to bring up the fact that Little Julio had blood all over his jacket. He don't think that is an important --

MR. DRANOVE: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MS. CHU: -- thing to talk about. To get him to ask him the question.

What was his answer to me when he said, I didn't say that at the prior proceeding? What did he say? They didn't ask. You heard all the questions defense asked my witnesses about talking to him, talking to them before they took the stand. You don't think the defendant has an opportunity to talk to his lawyer about what he is going to say. What he's testifying

Summation - Chu

at a prior proceedings --

MR. DRANOVE: Objection, Judge.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MS. CHU: Don't ask -- doesn't ask him about the bloody jacket. Cause if you had asked me, I would have said it. But nobody asked me, so I just didn't say it. I submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, that flies in the face of common sense. If you have information like that, why wouldn't you tell anybody about it?

Now, you know the defense counsel asked him on his redirect about the fact of whether or not Little Julio was present yesterday when he was testifying. We brought out the fact that Little Julio was there when he testified at that prior proceeding, and he didn't say anything about him having a bloody jacket. He asked him whether or not he was present when he was testifying yesterday, and he said he was not present in the room. I submit to you, that shows you the kind of person the defendant is. That is an under-handed way of blaming your friends who you hang out with all the time. Who you drink beer with, who you go to the bars

with, who you drive home with, who you cut your hair. That is under-handed way of throwing him under the bus. You wait until he's not here, then -- by the way, that is the guy that had the blood all over his jacket. Something that could save your fate, save your brother's fate.

I submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, what you heard yesterday was a calculated scheme to place blame on someone who the defendant knew could never be prosecuted for this crime. Because all the witnesses say that it was the defendant, Enrigue Rivera, who did it. Not Little Julio. They said it was the defendant who did it.

MR. DRANOVE: Objection. Who did it, they didn't say --

THE COURT: Overruled. This is her argument.

MS. CHU: Any case brought against Little
Julio they built had reasonable doubt because
all the witnesses say it was him.

Now, the Judge is going to give you a charge that if you believe that any witness has testified falsely about a material fact in this case, that you have a right to disregard all of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

their testimony. And, I submit to you, that is exactly what you should do with the defendant's testimony that you heard yesterday. He stood here, he raised his hand and he swore to tell the truth and blatantly lied to you.

What he wants you to believe that the police, who already had his brother, Tito, at the precinct, who they said to the defendant was the one who really killed Edgar Ojeda. What did they say? They say, but it's your fault, you need to man up, you been to jail before, you take the heat. All this information that supposedly is out there, and you have information, not just any information we are talking about, important information about this case regarding the fact that you saw someone who had blood all over their jacket immediately after this fight that happened at the bar and he doesn't tell the police. And remember, at that point, line-ups hadn't happened yet. There were no witnesses that identified anybody yet. In fact, he wants you to believe that he agrees to confess falsely that he committed a crime and go to jail for ten years. Ten years when he knows who did it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and that it wasn't him and it wasn't his brother, Tito. That is what he wants you to believe. That flies in the face of common sense, ladies and gentlemen. Why would you know about the bloody jacket, number 1, not tell them, and, number 2, falsely confess to committing that crime? Why would you do that? Wouldn't that be the most important thing that you wanted to say to whoever wanted to talk to you? Wouldn't you think that would be the most important thing you would want to say? When you're talking to the police, when you're talking to the district attorney on the video tape, when you're talking to your own attorney preparing for testimony. You don't think that would be something you'd want to tell them?

He testified for over two hours at that prior proceeding. Never once mentioned anything about a bloody jacket. And I want to ask you. You should ask yourselves, was the reason that he failed to mention that Little Julio had blood all over his jacket because noone ever asked him, or was the reason why he never mentioned anything about Julio's bloody jacket because he didn't know about it until he

made it up right there for you? On the witness stand yesterday. May 12, 2009.

Ask yourself why does his story keep changing? Why does he keep adding on things? I submit to you, he's so desperate for you to believe his lies that it doesn't matter that what he's actually saying to you is not consistent with logic or the truth. That you know to be from the evidence in this case.

Now, if you combine these components, Mr. Solomon, Mr. Navarette's testimony, and the statements of the defendant that were detailed to you written, and video taped statement, all are consistent with the defendant being the perpetrator and being guilty of murdering Edgar Ojeda on February 27, 2005.

And now we come to the final component of the People's case that shows that it was indeed the defendant, beyond a reasonable doubt, who killed Edgar Ojeda. The DNA. Now, the defense brought in that large chart about, you know, stain 2D. That was inside the cap. The fact of the matter is, that is all moot because you know what, the defendant admitted that hat, People's number 7, was the hat that he was

wearing. Doesn't matter who it belonged to or who owned it or maybe he borrowed it. Whatever it is. He said that is the hat that he was wearing.

(pause)

This hat (indicating). He says it doesn't matter who's on the inside because he says I was wearing this hat. And what do you have on this hat. You have the blood of Edgar Ojeda from here (indicating). Right where it's circled by Miss Razzano. And you have it back here (indicating). Those two spots.

Now, what is the explanation that the defendant gives for that? Cause he had a long time to think about how that blood got there. Right? And not just one place, but two places. You have it on the brim, on the top, then you have it on the back. And the explanation that he gives when he throws Little Julio under the bus by saying, he was all bloody, is that despite the fact that he was in the bar in a fight. He manages to keep possession of his hat because that hat ends up at his mother's house. Yeah, brought to my mother's house, took off my clothes and I left it there. That

25

was what I was wearing that night. So even though he was in this bar fight, as he calls it, that hat remains with him, right. And he says that when he's in the bar where the rules are, you got to take it off. Then when he gets outside, there are no rules about keeping it off. Now he can't keep it on his head. So it has to be jumping around in that car, hopping from place to place right onto Little Julio's new bloody jacket. Isn't that convenient. I submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, that don't make any sense. Because he says it's all over the front of Little Julio's jacket. He says on the front left and right. In the chest area. And if that hat pushed against it, it's like on the top. It's not on the top of the hat. It's over here (indicating). It's not on the top, it's in the back. So if -- in order to get it here on the brim, (indicating) that means the hat would have to be guashed down like this, (indicating) that means all the blood that he says was on Little Julio's jacket, you would have blood all on the top of the hat, and you don't. All you have it is right there and right there (indicating).

25

What else do you know about the DNA? Frederic, remember her? She says that when Mr. Ojeda gets stabbed, she says the blood -- she says the blood would not come gushing out. says, it's not like the injury in an artery, not a stab wound that hit an artery, artery don't have a jugular vein. It's not like the movies. Not like in the movies. I submit to you, despite the fact that his friends did say that blood was coming out like that (indicating). If you see a loved one bleeding from a stab wound, it's going to look like a blood of -- blood to you. No matter what. But what did she tell you? It's not going to squirt out like that. There will be blood on the knife, but it wouldn't be squirting out at everything. Right, it's not like one of those gory movies. Everything goes all over the place. She says it would be on the knife. submit to you, exactly how this blood got on the defendant's hat. When he ripped that knife out of Edgar Ojeda's chest this way, the blood from the knife splashed onto here and onto here (indicating). When he pulls it out. That is exactly how the blood got there. There is no

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

way that that blood got there from some sort of transfer from this bloody jacket that Little
Julio was wearing in the car. No way. Because you'd have more blood on the hat. It would be more area of this hat (indicating).

Now, what else do you know about the doctor? She testified about the stab. I want to talk about this because I just remembered he made some comments that the stab wounds are inconsistent. I submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, that that's actually not true. Because what did she say? She says that the stab wounds to the back are from the left side to right. Back to front and downward. If you think about it, if this is the body of Mr. Ojeda, let's say he's facing the front and back of him. This is the left side (indicating). If he go like that, and then come out and do the last one here, how easy is that to do? Because what did we know about Mr. Ojeda? He's five foot five, a hundred eighteen pounds. He is a slight person. He is not like we have barreled chested person. He's got to go, I am going to the back now. I got to come to the front. He is slight, how easy would it be not

2.2

only that one of these standard wounds is to actually the back of the shoulder here (indicating). How easy is it for you to go boom, boom, boom right in a row? Boom, boom, boom, boom. Right? And what did they say, Carlos Solomon and Navarette comes up, and he does the final blow (indicating).

MR. DRANOVE: Objection to the gesture. Inconsistent with the testimony.

THE COURT: Overruled. This is her argument.

MS. CHU: That's why they see the last one, and they don't see the other one. Because it's done here, and then it's up. That is why they see the final one. (Indicating)

MR. DRANOVE: Continuing objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MS. CHU: That is how he is able to return after and not account, and the witnesses don't know what happened before because the stab wounds in the back happened first. And if you think about it, all of them would be consistent with the way the doctor says the injuries come. She says the ones to the back are from left to

right. Downward and going from -- I am sorry -- from back to front. The one in the front over here, in the chest, goes downward, also left to right (indicating). So if he is facing -- I am going left to right like this (indicating). And from front to back. That would be consistent. Now, he is in the front of him, he is going this way (indicating). He is in the back like this, and then front this way (indicating). Exactly consistent with the way Dr. Frederic told you that those injuries and the direction of those wounds, the wounds tracks were.

What else did Dr. Frederic tell you? She tells you all the wounds are consistent with having a knife that has either a sharp or a thin edge to it on both sides. One side sharp, other side has to be thin. It's not sharp itself like a double-sided blade like. All consistent with each other. All three done with the same weapon. It has to be a knife, not a punch. That is nonsense. It's a knife.

What else do we know about the wounds itself? Remember we talked about the fact it wouldn't be gushing. That it would come out,

but it wouldn't be gushing. It would come out on the knife. What do we know? We know Mr. Solomon, they didn't see the blood until after what? After Edgar moved his scarf, then they saw the blood. Then they saw the blood.

And what else do you know? You know from the crime scene photos. You have crime scene photos at the scene. First, we talk about there was one on the sill there (indicating). There was one over here (indicating).

People's -- I believe this is E. This one right down here (indicating). 5D and E here (indicating) where they were with respect to that doorway where Edgar and Carlos are standing when this incident took place.

What else do we know about from the crime scene photos as far as how he was bleeding? We have People's 1A through E. We have pictures A through E. There is a diagram that was done by Detective Cunningham when he first arrived there. And they had already closed up the bar. All he could do is the outside. They left some evidence. What he said, it looked like it had been cleaned up. He said, there was ice in front of the door, and he said that it looked

like the sidewalk had been washed because there was ice that was there. But he said there was serology evidence, you know, from Miss Razzano. She tested not only the blood that was inside location by the door right where Mr. Ojeda and Mr. Solomon were. Matched up with Mr. Ojeda. But this blood out on the street also matched up with Mr. Ojeda. To the exclusion of anybody else. You have to be a hundred forty-nine percent of the population in order to get that DNA again. That is Edgar's blood.

We know about the blood. If you look in this picture here, People's 1C, you see the drops (indicating). You see the trail. It's just drops, drops, drops, drops. You can see that there is this concentrated area right there (indicating). What does that show you? That means they wait right there (indicating). Because there's droppings, droppings, droppings, and then he paused there for some reason. He's waiting for a car maybe, waiting for the light before they crossed, then the trail continues off the curb. You can see it gets thinner, it's all concentrated in People's number D -- 1D (indicating). That is how he

was bleeding. There is no squirt of blood everywhere.

So even the defendant's own statements about how Little Julio had this bloody jacket would be inconsistent with how you know Edgar Ojeda was bleeding. Because unless Edgar Ojeda was laying on top of Little Julio, Little Julio wouldn't have had that much blood on him. So even that flies in the face of common sense.

Now, remember Mr. Solomon and Mr. Navarette had no way of knowing the hat would fall. They didn't know his hat got recovered by the detectives. They had no way of knowing Mr. Ojeda's blood would be found on that brim and in the back of his hat either.

Now, there comes a point where your struggle to try and see if the defendant's version could possibly be the truth when you have to say enough. Enough.

The real logic comes from the straight-forward evidence that you heard from the People's case. Carlos Solomon's testimony that it was only the defendant that he saw punch Edgar in the upper chest area on the left-hand side. Enrigue Navarette's testimony

that he saw the defendant, and only the defendant, either push or do something to Edgar Ojeda on the upper left chest here (indicating). The defendant's statement in his own writing. His video-taped statement that he had a knife. And was waving it around. And the DNA evidence from his very own cap. That was Edgar Ojeda's blood.

Now, the judge is going to charge you in a few moments on Murder in the Second Degree, and the lesser charge of Manslaughter in the First Degree. And, I submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, it's basically a difference in intent between the two.

Now, when you determine intent, you can see and you can look at what the defendant did before, during and after the crime. In fact, there is no requirement whatsoever that this be premeditated. This don't have to be a planned murder. Intent can be formed right at the time the act is being committed. And you're going to hear that from the judge.

But I submit to you that the injuries that Mr. Ojeda suffered speak for themselves. When you stab somebody you have to get up close and

2.2

personal with someone. It can't be by accident you stabbed somebody. Not like that. And you know he didn't stab Mr. Ojeda just waving the knife around like I said in the video. Because what did Dr. Frederic say? No, that couldn't happen that way. That has to be plunged into. The knife has to be plunged into the body.

And let's talk about the stab wounds themselves. We have two to the back. One here and one to the upper shoulder (indicating).

Two and two and three quarter inches in depth.

Then you have the one here (indicating).

Five inches deep, going one and a half inches into the lung. Cuts through -- cuts through a bone. Think about how hard you have to force that knife into Mr. Ojeda's body to cut threw his rib. The second rib is cut by that knife.

In addition to the depth of the wounds and the fact that it cuts the bone, we are talking about where he was stabbed. He was not stabbed in the hand. He is not stabbed in the arm. He's stabbed in the body. There is a reason why you have ribs here (indicating) to protect the major organs that you have in your body to keep you alive. I submit to you, his intent

2.3

should scream out to you, he didn't want to just seriously injure Mr. Ojeda, he wanted him dead.

Whether he was the one that bumped into him at the bathroom and didn't apologize for whatever reason. All we know is that the defendant is the only one that had any type of dispute with Mr. Ojeda that night. We all know he wasn't going to pick on Mr. Solomon. He's five foot four. Here we have Mr. Ojeda. He's a good target. Five foot five, a hundred eighteen pounds.

I don't have to prove motive, ladies and gentlemen. But it's clear that only the defendant had any type of beef with Mr. Ojeda that night. He is the only one who punched or pushed Mr. Ojeda in the same area where we found that fatal wound to Mr. Ojeda's body.

The evidence has proven, beyond a reasonable doubt, that Enrique Rivera intentionally caused the death of Edgar Ojeda on February 27, 2005 at El Borinquen sports lounge on 39th and Third.

Now, there's a saying that says that sometimes ordinary people can do extraordinary

things. You have that opportunity now to tell the defendant no more. No more lies. Hold him responsible for what we all know he did that night. Hold him responsible by finding him guilty of murdering Mr. Ojeda.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you have heard the evidence. You heard the attorneys sum up. It's now my job to take just a few more moments of your time. I can assure you, I will be a lot briefer than the attorneys were in their summations to explain the law that you must apply when you decide the case.

As I told you at the beginning of this trial, you are the judges of the facts. You must decide coolly, calmly and deliberately, without any fear, favor, passion, prejudice or sympathy. It is your sworn duty to decide whether or not the defendant is guilty solely on the evidence that you heard at this trial.

Please don't go into the jury room and try to play detective. Don't try to guess what could have been done, what might have been done, what you would have done had you been personally involved in this case.

Also, you must not consider anything which I may have said during this trial as indicating I have an opinion about whether or not the defendant is guilty. It is not my job to have an opinion, and I do not have one in this case.

I have no power to tell you what happened, whether to believe a witness or not believe a witness, what weight you should give any of the evidence or what the verdict should be. These are matters that are entirely up to you.

Now, you're not obligated to accept any of the arguments that you just heard these attorneys make in their summations. If you find that any of their arguments were reasonable, logical and consistent with the evidence as you remember it, then you're free to accept those arguments as your own.

On the other hand, if you find that any of their arguments were not reasonable, not logical, not consistent with the evidence as you remember it, then you're free to reject those arguments entirely.

But when it comes to the law, you don't have that discretion. You have to follow the law as I give it to you, whether you agree with

767

it or not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, one of your chief functions in this case is to determine the credibility of the witnesses who testified. Why? Because the facts depends on who you believe. In making this determination, you should consider the witness' means of knowledge or opportunity to observe what happened. Consider how probable or improbable the witness' account sounded to you. Consider the background of the witness, the manner in which the witness answered questions. Whether the witness previously made statements that may have been inconsistent with the witness' account here at trial. Whether what a witness told you was supported or contradicted by any of the other evidence in this case. And most importantly, use your common sense and your good judgment because that is why we have you here.

If you determine that a witness willfully testified falsely as to any material fact, then you're free to disregard the entire testimony of that witness. Or you can decide to accept parts of what a witness told you as being truthful and accurate and reject other parts.

It's entirely up to you.

Keep in mind that it makes no difference the number of witnesses who testify for one side or the other under our law. It's not the quantity of testimony that matters, it's the quality that counts.

Even the testimony of one witness is sufficient to support a guilty verdict if you believe it beyond a reasonable doubt.

Now, you heard police witnesses testified.

Use the same test of credibility for them as
you use for the non-police witnesses.

You heard also testimony by expert witnesses. An expert is allowed to give you opinions based on their education, training and their experience.

A JUROR: I'm sorry to interrupt. I really do need to go to the bathroom.

THE COURT: Then go ahead.

(pause)

THE COURT: As I was saying before, you heard expert witnesses testify. The law allows experts to give opinions, based on their education, their training and their experience, but you, and you alone, have the power to form

your own opinions and reach your on conclusions. You're not obligated to accept the opinions given by the experts at this trial. Use the same tests of credibility for them as you did for the non-expert witnesses.

You heard some evidence at this trial that indicated that the defendant may have been intoxicated during the course of this incident. Evidence of intoxication is not a defense to a crime under our law. It may be considered, whenever relevant, to negate an element of the crime charged. Thus, you may consider a defendant's state of intoxication as it might relate to his intent, because you're going to hear that the crime involved an intent to either cause death or cause serious physical injury. Under our law, you may consider whether or not someone's state of intoxication affected the level of intent that he may have had at the time.

Now, the defendant, who testified at this trial, is what we call an interested witness because he has the primary interest in the outcome of the case.

Whether any other witness is an interested

witness, based on interest, economic or emotional interest in the outcome is also something for you to consider.

2.0

2.2

Now, just because someone is an interested witness does not mean that that witness did not tell you the truth. But you should carefully consider the interest the witness has in the outcome of the case in evaluating that witness' credibility.

Now, in this case, as in all others, the defendant is presumed innocent. The presumption of innocence remains with the defendant throughout the trial.

The burden of proof is on the prosecution to prove his guilt by proof beyond a reasonable doubt. The People have to prove, not only that a crime has occurred by proof beyond a reasonable doubt, but that it is the defendant who committed the crime. By proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Not beyond all possibility of doubt. Not beyond a shadow of a doubt, but beyond a reasonable doubt.

Before you may convict the defendant, you must be satisfied from the credibility that he is the person who did commit this crime.

1.1

When a doubt of guilt is reasonable.

Under our law, a doubt, to be reasonable, must arise because of the nature and quality of the evidence or because of the lack or insufficiency of the evidence.

A doubt of guilt is not reasonable if it is based on sympathy for the defendant or it is based on a desire by a juror to avoid performing a disagreeable duty.

A doubt, to be reasonable, must arise because of the nature and quality of the evidence or lack of evidence.

First, your duty as jurors is to consider all of the evidence and decide what happened.

If you have a reasonable doubt, then the defendant is entitled to the benefit of it.

A reasonable doubt is an actual doubt, one which you are consicious of having in your mind after you have considered all of the evidence. If after doing, so you feel uncertain, not fully convinced of the defendant's guilt, that's reasonable doubt, and the defendant is entitled to the benefit.

So review the evidence and decide what happened. If you have a reasonable doubt, you

must find the defendant not guilty of the crimes charged.

If you are satisfied that the People have proven the defendant's guilt, by proof beyond a reasonable doubt, you should find him guilty.

Now, as you have become aware during this trial, there's been some evidence regarding statements made by the defendant orally, in writing and on video. I want to make sure that you understand that before you give any weight to these statements, you must find that they were voluntarily made by the defendant and give credit to those portions that you find to be truthful.

Whether a statement is voluntary and truthful is something for you to decide. The burden is on the prosecution to convince you, beyond a reasonable doubt, that these statements were voluntarily made and truthful.

If you fail to believe that they were proved, beyond a reasonable doubt, as voluntarily made and truthful, then you are obligated to strike them from your mind and not consider them at all in reaching your verdict.

If you determine they were voluntarily

made and were truthful, then you can credit them and rely on them as evidence.

2.0

Now, in order to determine whether a statement is voluntarily made, you should consider whether or not the defendant was previously advised of what we call his Miranda rights before he made the statement. These are rights that include, the right to remain silent, that anything he may say may be used against him in a court of law. That he has the right to consult with a lawyer before answering any questions, and the right to the presence of the lawyer during questioning and that if he cannot afford a lawyer, one will be provided for him prior to any questioning that he so desires.

The burden is on the prosecution to prove, beyond a reasonable doubt, that he did, in fact, make knowing and intelligent waiver of his rights. If they fail to do so, then you must disregard them.

Now, you must also consider any improper conduct on the part of a police or undue pressure which may have been applied on the defendant which may have impaired his physical

or mental condition. And evaluating whether or not these statements were voluntarily made.

Consider the defendant's age, his intelligence and his physical and mental, condition. The conduct of the police during their contact with the defendant, including the number of officers who questioned him, the manner in which he was questioned, his treatment during the periods of questioning and the length of time that he was questioned. It is for you to evaluate and weigh these factors in determining whether or not you believe that the questioning took place in a coercive atmosphere.

If they have showed that the document was voluntarily made, then you should consider those portions of his statement that you believe were truthful. The burden is on the prosecution to establish that these statements were both voluntarily and truthfully made beyond a reasonable doubt.

In reaching your verdict, you may weigh consideration only to those parts of the statement that you believe were truthful and disregard that which you believe to be false.

Now, I am not going to make any attempt to summarize the evidence. These attorneys just went over it with you in their summations.

I am going to explain to you now the two charges that are on your verdict sheet for your consideration in this case. The first charge is Murder in the Second Degree.

A person is guilty of Murder in the Second Degree when he causes the death of another person with the intent to cause the death of that person.

What is intent? Intent means the state of mind of the person at the time the act occurs.

Intent does not have to be performed at any time in particular before the actual act.

You must find the intent existed at the time of the act.

For you to find the defendant guilty of Murder in the Second Degree, you must find, beyond a reasonable doubt, each of following two elements:

The first is that on or about February 27, 2005, here in Kings County, the defendant caused the death of Edgar Ojeda by stabbing him with a dangerous instrument.

And the second element is that the defendant did so with the intent to cause the death of Edgar Ojeda.

If you find the People have proved, to your satisfaction, beyond a reasonable doubt, each of those two elements, you should find the defendant guilty of this crime.

If you have a reasonable doubt about either or both of those elements, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

Now, if you find him guilty of the murder charge, that will end your deliberations.

If you find the defendant not guilty of this crime, then you must consider the next charge on your verdict sheet.

Manslaughter in the First Degree:

A person is guilty of that crime, when, with the intent to cause serious physical injury to another person, he causes the death of that person.

Serious physical injury means any impairment of a person's physical condition, which creates a substantial risk of death.

Here are two elements that have to be proved beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, is that on or about February 27,
2005, here in Kings County, the defendant
caused the death of Edgar Ojeda by stabbing him
with a dangerous instrument.

And the second element is that he did so with the intent to cause serious physical injury to Mr. Ojeda.

If you find the People have proved to your satisfaction, beyond a reasonable doubt, each of those two elements, then you should find the defendant guilty of Manslaughter in the First Degree.

If you have a reasonable doubt about either or both of those elements, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

What's the difference between the murder charge and the manslaughter charge? They both require that you find that it is the defendant who caused the death of Edgar Ojeda. That is the same.

The difference is the intent. The murder charge requires that you find that at the time of the stabbing, the defendant intended to cause death. The manslaughter charge requires not that you find he intended to cause death,

but rather that he intended to cause serious physical injury at the time of the stabbing.

Now, your verdict has to be unanimous.

All 12 of you must agree, and once you have arrived at the unanimous verdict, you will check off your verdict sheet under guilty or not guilty. And then you'll send me a note, you have arrived at a unanimous verdict. I will ask you to come in the courtroom, announce your verdict through your foreperson, juror number 1.

Now, when you go in to deliberate, I don't know what is going to happen. At this point, neither do you. There are two possibilities.

One, you quickly agree as to what the right verdict should be. If that happens, there is nothing wrong with that. I'd be happy to take a quick verdict. The second possibility is, you can't quickly agree because there are some different opinions amongst yourselves. If that happens, there is nothing wrong with that either. Sometime it takes 12 people a while to agree on a verdict. If that happens, please keep an open mind. Exchange views. If you have an opinion and believe you're right in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

779

that opinion, noone can force you to change your mind. But you must not prejudice the result that may be arrived at by refusing to discuss the case with your fellow jurors. That would not be the right thing to do.

Now, if at any time during your deliberations you would like to have any of the testimony of this trial read back to you here in the courtroom, and remember the testimony is what the answers were that the witness gave to the attorneys' questions, not the attorneys' questions, or if you would like to see any of the physical exhibits that were admitted into evidence at this trial, again, or if you want me to go over with you any of these instructions on the law again, all you have to do is send me a note and put on the note exactly what you want. Not the vote that you may have taken. I don't want to know any vote results. I only want to know when you agreed on a verdict. Please have your foreperson, juror number 1, sign any note that you send out to me.

I am going to ask the attorneys if they have any additional instructions they want me

Jury Charge to give you. I don't think that will take too 1 2 long. I beg your patience for just another couple of minutes. Sit in the jury box while 3 the attorneys join me at the sidebar with our 4 court reporter. We will be right back. 5 Counsel? 6 7 (Whereupon, the following occurred at a sidebar conference 8 at this time.) 9 THE COURT: Out of the presence of the 10 11 jury. Do the People have any exceptions or 12 additional requests for the charge? 13 MS. CHU: No. 14 15 THE COURT: Does the Defense? 16 MR. DRANOVE: Yes. No exception. A 17 request. I request, and I fully apologize for not 18 mentioning this sooner, Judge, a charge on 19 20 eyewitness identification. 21 THE COURT: I don't see a reason to give a charge. Because the witnesses in this case all 22

testified that they saw the defendant at the

bar engaged in some sort of encounter in which

he pushed or shoved or punched, whatever words

23

24

25

they used.

It really is not much dispute that the defendant was at the bar and that he got involved in a scuffle of some kind. None of the eyewitnesses said they actually saw him stab the victim, which is what the defense has been. Therefore, this really does not dispute they are eyewitnesses.

The only question is whether or not there is testimony, along with all other evidence, that inculpates the defendant in the actual stabbing of the victim.

So, I did not give such charge, none was requested and I decline to give one now.

Let's go in and give the case to the jury.

By the way, you consent to giving physical evidence to the jury if they send out a note for those exhibits that we be allowed to give, other than showing the video tape?

MS. CHU: Without reconvening, yes.

MR. DRANOVE: Yes.

THE COURT: I am going to separate our alternate jurors at this time.

(Whereupon, this concluded the sidebar conference at this time.)

THE COURT: I have good news for you. I have no more instructions for you, and your lunch has arrived. So I am going to let you have the case now for deliberations. We await your verdict.

I am going to ask the two alternate jurors, Miss Koteen, Miss Rasado, wait for a moment. I have some other things I have to tell you.

Go have your lunch, commence your deliberations and we will await your verdict.

Please take charge of the jury.

(Jury Excused)

THE COURT: You can have your lunch too, but after that I am not going to require that you stay here because now that the regular jurors are deliberating, I am not going to require that you wait around.

However, I am not going to discharge you because sometimes we do have to substitute a juror in even after deliberations began because of some problem. I certainly hope nothing will happen here, but until the actual verdict has been rendered, you will not be discharged. We are going to put you on telephone alert.

You're free to leave, we'll give you instructions about that. Just don't discuss the case with anyone until we have actually discharged you by phone or in person. Okay.

THE JUROR: We have the option of staying

THE COURT: You can. Obviously you wouldn't be in the room with the jurors.

THE JUROR: Can we be --

through the deliberations.

THE COURT: No. You're welcome to stay in the courtroom. We have a separate room, if you want to wait in that room to see what happens. We are going to give you instructions as to how you can be alerted by telephone as to what is happening.

Just don't discuss the case with anyone until we actually discharge you. I probably won't see you again. So thank you very much for your service on the case.

Have a nice lunch.

(Alternate Jurors Excused)

THE COURT: We are in recess for lunch.

I will ask counsel to leave a reliable phone number where you can be reached to be alerted to return to court.

2.0

For those who are attending the court, nothing will happen before 2:45 for lunch break. Go and have your lunch. Have a nice lunch.

(Whereupon, there was a luncheon recess at this time.)

AFTERNOON SESSION

THE COURT: For the record, we've received three notes from the jury.

One note reads, "can you please define intent as it relates to intent? Can you please help us to define the perimeters of reasonable doubt? We are having difficulties defining reasonable doubt with intent to kill."

Next note reads, "request to review the ME diagram showing location of wounds".

And the third and final note reads. "We would like the direct examination of Dr. Frederic, please."

Now, in response to the note requesting the diagram by the medical examiner showing the location of wounds, that has already been provided to the jury with the prior consent of counsel.

As to the note requesting direct

examination of Dr. Frederic, I propose to read that back to the jury.

As to the note regarding their difficulty defining reasonable doubt with intent to kill, I propose to give them the following instruction:

Intent to cause death is one of the elements of Murder in the Second Degree. You must be convinced, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the defendant intended to kill Mr. Ojeda.

Intent does not require any advance planning. Nor is it necessary that the intent be in a person's mind for any particular period of time. The intent can be formed and need only exist at the very moment the person engages in prohibited conduct.

The question naturally arises as to how to determine whether or not a person has the intent required for the commission of a crime. To make that determination, you must decide if the required intent can be inferred, beyond a reasonable doubt, from the proven facts. In so doing, you may consider the person's conduct, and all of the circumstances surrounding it's conduct, including, but not limited to, what,

Jury Charge

2.0

if anything, did the person do or say, what result, if any, followed the person's conduct and was that result the natural, necessary and probable consequence of that conduct?

From the facts you find to have proven beyond a reasonable doubt, you must decide whether or not you can infer the intent to kill in this case.

Please keep in mind that reasonable doubt is an actual doubt, one which you're conscious of having in your mind after you have considered all of the evidence. After doing so, you feel uncertainty and not fully convinced of an intent to kill, then that element would not be proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

Do the People have any objection as to how I propose to respond to this note?

MS. CHU: To your original reasonable doubt charge, do you read both what you just read, as well as, if, however, you do find that they've proven -- isn't that both sides of it, if you do find reasonable doubt, then that element would have failed. But if you don't, then it would have --

Readback/Jury Recharge

787

THE COURT: You're asking about language. If it has been proven, beyond a reasonable doubt, then they can consider -- they can consider that element to have been proved --MS. CHU: Yes. THE COURT: I'll accept that modification. Mr. Dranove, you have any objection to propose to respond to the note? MR. DRANOVE: No. THE COURT: So then bring the jury out. We'll do the read back first, then the reinstruction. COURT OFFICER: Your Honor, are you ready for the jurors? THE COURT: I am. COURT OFFICER: Jury entering. COURT CLERK: Both sides waive the roll call? MS. CHU: Yes. MR. DRANOVE: Yes. THE COURT: You sent me three notes:

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

The first reads, "can you please define intent as it relates to intent? Can you please help us define the perimeters of reasonable doubt? We are having difficulties defining

Readback/Jury Recharge

reasonable doubt with intent to kill."

2.0

The second note reads, "request to review the ME diagram showing location of wounds."

And the third note reads: "We would like the direct examination of Dr. Frederic please."

Now, in response to these notes, we have already furnished you with the diagram. So you got that. That is one note.

Now, we are going to read back the direct examination of Dr. Frederic next.

(Whereupon, the testimony was read in open court as requested.)

THE COURT: I have one other thing to do. We're not quite there.

You asked me intent, the issue of reasonable doubt regarding intent to kill.

Intent to cause the death is one of the elements of Murder in the Second Degree charge.

You must be convinced, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the defendant intended to kill Mr. Ojeda.

Intent does not require any advance planning. Nor is it necessary that the intent be in a person's mind for any particular period

of time. The intent can be formed and need only exist at the very moment the person engages in the prohibited conduct.

Now, the question naturally arises, how do you determine whether or not a person had the required intent to commit the crime?

To make that determination, you must decide, if the required intent to kill can be inferred, beyond a reasonable doubt, from the proven facts. In doing so, you may consider the person's conduct and all of the circumstances surrounding the conduct.

Including, but not limited to, what, if anything, did the person do or say? What result, if any, followed the person's conduct?

And was that result the natural, necessary and probable consequence of that conduct?

In this case, from the facts proven, beyond a reasonable doubt, you must decide whether you can infer that the defendant had the required intent. The intent to kill.

Remember, a reasonable doubt is an actual doubt. One which you are conscious of having in your mind after you have considered all of the evidence. If after doing so, you feel

uncertain and not fully convinced, then that is a reasonable doubt and that would mean that the element of intent to kill had not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt. You would have to find the defendant not guilty of this charge.

On the other hand, if you are satisfied, beyond a reasonable doubt, that intent to kill was proven, beyond a reasonable doubt, that would enable you to find the defendant guilty of this charge.

You may resume your deliberations. We await your verdict.

(Jury Excused)

THE COURT: We are in recess until we hear from the jury.

I ask counsel to wait around the courtroom, in the hallway, whatever you want.

(Whereupon, there was a court recess at this time while awaiting jury verdict.)

THE COURT: We are getting close to five o'clock. The jury has put in a very full day.

What I propose to do is to declare a recess in the deliberations and tell the jurors to resume tomorrow morning.

	Proceedings	791
1	Do the People have any objection to that?	
2	MS. CHU: No.	
3	THE COURT: Does the Defense?	
4	MS. CHU: No.	
5	THE COURT: Sergeant, whenever you're	
6	ready. We're ready.	
7	COURT OFFICER: Your Honor, are you ready	
8	for the jury?	
9	THE COURT: Yes.	
10	COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.	
11	COURT CLERK: Both sides waive the roll	
12	call.	
13	MS. CHU: So waived.	
14	MR. DRANOVE: Yes.	
15	THE COURT: You put in a full day, I	
16	promised never to keep you past five o'clock.	
17	So I am going to declare a recess in your	
18	deliberations and tell you to stop talking	
19	about the case right now and have you return	
20	tomorrow morning to continue your	
21	deliberations.	
22	So, please, return tomorrow morning at 10	
23	a.m. Once you're back in the room, you may	
24	resume your deliberations at that time. Ten	
25	o'clock.	

792

1 Have a nice evening. (Jury Excused) 2 THE COURT: I will ask everyone to remain 3 here until the jurors are out. 4 5 You can take charge of the defendant obviously. 6 7 And, counsel, make sure we have a reliable number where you can be reached in the morning. 8 If you're not going to actually be here in the 9 10 courtroom. MR. DRANOVE: I will make sure of that. 11 12 (pause) THE COURT: For the record, the jury has 13 sent out a note. "May we please continue 14 15 deliberation until 5:30 p.m.?" After they came -- went out of the 16 courtroom, they told the jury officers they did 17 want to continue staying, so I told the jury to 18 write me a note. This is the note they've 19 20 written me. I proposed to write back, Mr. Foreman and 21 22 members of the jury: Yes, you may continue 23 deliberating. Signed Justice Marrus. 24 Do the People have any objection to that? 25 MS. CHU: No.

THE COURT: Does the Defense?

MR. DRANOVE: No, sir.

THE COURT: We will give this note back to the jury. And we need that note back because we have to mark it. Tell them after it's read in the jury room to give it back.

(pause)

THE COURT: For the record. The jury has been deliberating, pursuant to their request, until 5:30. However, it is now 5:30, and we haven't heard anything from the jurors. And I don't think it's really appropriate to allow this situation to go on endlessly without, at some point, recessing the deliberations. They have been here all day. It's been a very long day. We have had summations and charge, and since they asked until 5:30, and we haven't heard anything, I think the right thing to do is to bring them in now and say, okay, you have put in a long enough day, it really is time to recess to come back tomorrow and continue deliberations after a good night's sleep.

Do the People have any objection to that?

MS. CHU: No.

THE COURT: Does the Defense?

MR. DRANOVE: No, sir.

THE COURT: Let's bring the jury out.

(pause)

THE COURT: The jury sent out the following note. Number 1, "may we have 15 more minutes?" Number 2, "may we start later tomorrow at 11:00 a.m.?" That is what the notes say.

Yes?

MR. DRANOVE: I think, your Honor, you should just -- just call them in and send them home. They indicate they are not going to settle it today. This start and stop inordinate pressure on one or more of the jurors. Whatever way they are leaning. I think they should be sent home.

THE COURT: I am not putting pressure on them. I am not sure what is going on in the jury room, so I can't say giving 15 more minutes is going to put more pressure on somebody. There is just no way of knowing what this means. We are talking about a few more minutes.

I just propose really to say, yes, as to both things. They can have 15 more minutes,

and they can come in tomorrow at 11 a.m.

It does not feel like a pressure note. It doesn't say "or". There are two questions.

"May we have 15 more minutes?" and "May we start later tomorrow at 11 a.m.?"

MR. DRANOVE: I still believe it's appropriate to just send them home after this long day not dribbling and drabbling more minutes at the request of one or more of jurors.

THE COURT: I appreciate your position.

I guess my answer is, this seems to be what the jury wants to do. They want to have fifteen more minutes. I don't really have any good reason to turn them down. They are working hard, I am inclined to just say, yes. To send back a note saying, yes, as to both.

The People object to that?

MS. CHU: No, your Honor. I would leave it to The Court's discretion.

THE COURT: You want to object to that?

MR. DRANOVE: Yes.

THE COURT: You're objecting to a later start tomorrow, 11 a.m.? That is the second question.

Proceedings MR. DRANOVE: I prefer they start earlier. 1 Why 11? They must be burned out already. That 2 3 is why it's at 11. 4 THE COURT: Do you want to inquire as to 5 the reason? I am assuming there is a scheduling issue 6 7 as to at least one juror to take care of something in the morning. But in any event, I 8 9 don't see what the reason is. I can't tell them they can't start at 11. 10 Notwithstanding, your firm objection to 11 12 the first yes, and your modified objection to 13 the second yes, I am going to say to the jury, 14 yes. 15 Do you require that I bring them in to say 16 ves? Can I send them a note? You have your objection for the record as 17 to my "yes". But -- you don't want to send a 18 19 note --20 MR. DRANOVE: I'd like to see what they 21 look like. 22 THE COURT: All right. Let's bring the 23 jury in then.

COURT OFFICER: Your Honor, are you ready

24

25

for the jurors?

	Proceedings	797
1	THE COURT: Yes.	
2	COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.	
3	COURT CLERK: Both sides waive the roll	
4	call?	
5	MS. CHU: Yes.	
6	MR. DRANOVE: Yes.	
7	THE COURT: You sent me a note that reads:	
8	"1, May we have 15 more minutes? 2, May we	
9	start later tomorrow at 11 a.m.?"	
10	Yes to both. You may go back into the	
11	jury room, I'll give you a few more minutes.	
12	Please take charge of the jury.	
13	(Jury Excused)	
14	(Whereupon, there was a court recess while	
15	awaiting the jury verdict.)	
16	THE COURT: Sergeant, are you ready to	
17	take the verdict?	
18	For the record. We have a note that the	
19	jury has reached a verdict. So I am going to	
20	bring the jury in to take the verdict.	
21	Now, those who are here all day watching	
22	the trial, you have been here before, you've	
23	been great. Obviously, we need your	
24	cooperation now. When the verdict is taken.	
25	We need to have complete quiet in the	

1	courtroom, and the court officers are under
2	instruction to remove anyone who is not quiet.
3	I am sure you won't have that problem.
4	Thank you for your cooperation.
5	Mr. Rivera, I don't know what the verdict
6	is. If there is a guilty verdict, your lawyer
7	can ask to have the jury polled. That means
8	each juror will have to say he or she voted
9	guilty. If you say anything or act out in
10	anyway, you give up that right to have the jur
11	polled. You understand that?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
13	THE COURT: As soon as the court officers
14	are ready. We are ready for the verdict.
15	COURT OFFICER: Your Honor, you're ready
16	for the jurors?
17	THE COURT: Yes.
18	COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.
19	COURT CLERK: Both sides waive the roll
20	call?
21	MS. CHU: Yes.
22	MR. DRANOVE: Yes.
23	COURT CLERK: Foreperson, stand up,
24	please?
25	THE COURT: Mr. Foreperson, you have to

799

stand up, sir. 1 Has the jury agreed upon a verdict? Have 2 3 you agreed on a verdict, yes or no? THE FOREPERSON: Yes. 4 COURT CLERK: Count number 1, Murder in 5 the Second Degree; what is the verdict? 6 THE FOREPERSON: The verdict we have 7 found --8 COURT CLERK: Count number 1 --9 THE FOREPERSON: Count number 1. Not 10 11 guilty. 12 COURT CLERK: As to count number 1A, Manslaughter in the First Degree; what is the 13 verdict? 14 15 THE FOREPERSON: Guilty. THE COURT: Thank you very much. 16 COURT CLERK: The Court has recorded the 17 18 following verdict: Count number 1, Murder in the Second 19 20 Degree, found not guilty. Count 1A, Manslaughter in the First 21 22 Degree, found guilty. Members of the jury, is that your verdict? 23 THE JURORS: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Request by either side the 25

800

1 jury be polled? 2 MR. DRANOVE: We do. MS. CHU: No. 3 THE COURT: Please poll the jury. 4 5 COURT CLERK: Members of the jury: The verdicts that were announced through your 6 foreperson and recorded by The Court, 7 defendant, Enrique Rivera, under count number 8 9 1, Murder in the Second Degree, was found not 10 guilty. Count number 1A, Manslaughter in the 11 First Degree was found guilty. Juror number 1, is that your verdict in 12 13 all respects? 14 JUROR 1: Yes. COURT CLERK: Juror number 2? 15 JUROR 2: Yes. 16 COURT CLERK: Juror 3? 17 JUROR 3: Yes. 18 COURT CLERK: Number 4? 19 20 JUROR 4: Yes. 21 COURT CLERK: 5? JUROR 5: Yes. 22 COURT CLERK: 6? 23 JUROR 6: Yes. 24 COURT CLERK: Number 7? 25

1 JUROR 7: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Number 8? JUROR 8: Yes. 3 COURT CLERK: Number 9? 4 5 JUROR 9: Yes. COURT CLERK: Number to 10? 6 7 JUROR 10: Yes. COURT CLERK: 11? 8 9 JUROR 11: Yes. COURT CLERK: Number 12? 10 11 JUROR 12: Yes. 12 COURT CLERK: Jury has been polled. 13 THE COURT: I'm not going to make a long speech, but it's been a long day. I want to 14 15 thank you sincerely for your work on this case. I know it's a hardship to come in and take time 16 17 from your life to serve on a jury. It's people 18 like you who make our system work. It's a very serious matter, and you obviously gave very 19 serious attention. You really did a great job. 20 21 Thank you so much. You probably never want to 22 see me again. If you ever do, if you happen to

were on one of my juries.

23

24

25

It's been a privilege to have you serve

see me somewhere, come over and tell me you

Verdict with me. You did a great job. We really 1 2 appreciate it. 3 You are discharged. Have a good evening. Please take charge. 4 5 (JURY EXCUSED) THE COURT: We are looking at a sentence 6 7 date of June 3rd, if that is convenient for 8 everybody. 9 MR. DRANOVE: Judge, I strongly doubt I will be ready by then. 10 THE COURT: You know what, I am not going 11 12 to be here June 3rd. The following week. 13 MR. DRANOVE: I don't have a calendar with 14 me. 15 THE COURT: Monday is June 8. MR. DRANOVE: Fine. 16 17 THE COURT: Good. June 8 for the 18 sentencing. At this point, you mark it down for 12 19 noon because I think we can schedule it at that 20 21 time. If there is a problem for any one of us, we'll let each other know. 22 June 8 for sentence. I'll order the 23

The defendant is remanded until that time.

probation report.

24

25

Verdict I thank everyone in the courtroom for your cooperation. (Adjourned to June 8, 2009) THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the original stenographic minutes in this case. MICHELE J. WALKER, Official Senior Court Reporter

ELLEN DOHERTY NERI, CSR, RPR, CRR

PRINCIPAL COURT REPORTER

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Sentence - Rivera

THE CLERK: This is calling case Number 1 on the Part 35 calendar, Indictment 1453/2005, Enrique Rivera.

Counsel.

MR. DRANOVE: Joel Dranove for Mr. Rivera.

Good afternoon, Judge.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MS. CHU: For the Office of the District

Good afternoon, your Honor.

Attorney, Phyllis Chu.

THE COURT: Counsel can be seated. Defendant can be seated.

The case is on for sentencing today.

The defendant was convicted of manslaughter in the first degree.

And the case was put on for sentencing.

I received two letters, one from -- actually, more than two letters.

One letter from the D.A. with her sentence recommendation, and another from defense counsel in which he enclosed numerous letters from family members on behalf of the defendant.

I read the letters, and also defense counsel indicated he had an intention to prepare a post-verdict motion to set aside the verdict, but told us by, orally and in that letter that he's still investigating the matter and

hadn't completed his motion.

He had asked for an adjournment of the sentencing to complete his investigation, and my law clerk informed him that we will not adjourn the case, but that we would treat any motion as a 440 motion if and when he makes one at that time.

I'll hear the People. Do you want to say something?

MR. DRANOVE: In light of that, in light of what the law allows, I respectfully request that I be allowed to be able to make an oral 330.30 based on what I learned that what which I heard face-to-face, that which I heard directly from my investigator, and then I will ask your Honor to reconsider denying me the time I need to put the affidavits in.

There is a reason.

Number one, the denial of a 330 --

THE COURT: Let me just clarify the issue. The issue is, it's the timing of making a motion. Okay. If you are ready to make a motion, then I will hear it and decide it.

If you are not ready to make the motion, then don't make it now.

MR. DRANOVE: I will make the motion.

THE COURT: Well, then, I will decide the motion

4

1 |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

now.

MR. DRANOVE: I understand.

THE COURT: I just want to make sure because the letter seemed to indicate, and the oral communication seemed to indicate you hadn't completed your investigation.

MR. DRANOVE: I have completed interviewing Ms. Serrano.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DRANOVE: J-A-H-I-R-A Serrano.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. DRANOVE: Your Honor, I'm making this motion on the grounds indicated initially in my May 6, 2009 letter, in that we start with in your robing room after this case on May 4 was sent to this court, the prosecutor informed us that witness Serrano had recanted.

And the Prosecutor, when asked what was the recantation, repeated, 'she recanted.'

Your Honor was then in the receipt of an application by defense for the appointment of an investigator, since I tried the case pro bono.

Since I've known the case for many years, I stayed with it. An investigator was appointed.

Ms. Chu sent me via PDF a letter identifying the contact information for Luis Rivera, Jahira Serrano, Rudy Cordova, and a fourth person whose name escapes me right

1 now.

I gave my investigator the information. I said, please seek Ms. Serrano. He learned as I did when telephoning that those contact phone numbers were not Ms. Serrano or Jahira. They were not in operation at the time.

My investigator, through old fashioned footwork, since he had been a police officer, then a detective then on a joint Federal State Task Force, went out and ultimately after the verdict in this case have located Ms. Serrano at her place of employment in Brooklyn.

He interviewed her. He told me what she told him, and he asked me what do you want to do. I said, bring her to my office, I want to take a statement from her.

This is last week, Judge.

I spoke to Ms. Serrano in my office.

Several things come from that interview. Number one, during this trial, over my objection I think on page 207, if I'm correct of the transcript, the prosecutor asked my client's brother, Julio Rivera, did you tell Jahira Serrano that your brother Enrique stabbed someone in the bar. Julio Rivera said no.

Now, your Honor then allowed my client to testify. "I didn't see my brother after the incident in the bar until after I dropped off or was dropped off by Jahira. And she was with Rudy, Rudy Cordova."

Sentence - Rivera

Now, Ms. Serrano told me that she was in, with Rudy, went to the police several days after this incident, but after she learned somebody had died because she read about it in the newspaper.

She went with her then two-year-old son, was put in a room, knew that Rudy was put in a different room.

Rudy is the father of her son.

This was in the morning of that date. She recalls she and her son didn't have anything to eat or drink for hours, and for hours.

She told me she didn't see what happened. She told the detective who spoke to her she didn't see what happened. She was in the back dancing with the girls. And the girls were dancing, because they wanted guys to be interested in them, so she was in the back.

Something happened, the lights went on. She wanted to see what the commotion was, bouncers, or a bouncer or more than one bouncer prevented her from going up front.

Then a time came when she was allowed to leave. She saw blood drops, she got a phone call from Julio Rivera. Julio, you got to bring my jacket and car keys. I'm not allowed back in, or I can't get back in.

She then, in the car ended up dropped off at some location, leading Julio in his car. Julio didn't say a

Sentence - Rivera

thing about his brother Enrique Rivera in the precinct -- excuse me.

She said, Julio didn't say anything about his brother except I am going to meet up with him or I'm looking for him, words to that effect.

Thereafter, a day or two later, it is four-plus years ago, think it's a day or two later, she ends up in the police station. She was questioned hours on hours, and she said, I don't know what happened. I didn't see it happen.

The cops would go in and out of the room. One of them, she remembers, appears Puerto Rican to her. The others were white, she recalls.

And say Rudy said that Julio said that his brother stabbed someone, and she said, no, he didn't.

This went on for hours, late in the afternoon; she, with her two-year-old there, heard, and I'm paraphrasing her, "If you don't tell us, we're going to take your kid away from you.

"You were there. Someone died.

"As far as we're concerned, you're involved."

Words to that effect, "We're going to take your son from you."

She told me quite candidly, she had been in foster care when she was a child, taken from her own

Sentence - Rivera

mother, and did not see her mother until she was 10 years old, after that.

And she had just -- that history combined with what she was threatened with, caused her to say, "I'll say what you want to say," and it was then some words were passed, someone came in with a tape recorder, said something, her name, time, and she made her statement.

Now, that led me, and this all happened very suddenly, last week after we tracked her down, to take another look at Rudy Cordova's statement, and have my investigator track him down.

He was tracked down, and what I've heard from my investigator because I haven't met him is, as he recalls it, he was kept there for an even longer period of time, was told basically Jahira said, you heard, Julio say his brother Enrique stabbed a person.

And he said, That's not true, because he didn't say it. And that this went on for a long time.

Now, ultimately, he under pressure, also changed his statement.

Rudy Cordova at trial was mentioned by John

Dominguez as having been right up there at the bar with

Dominguez. Rudy Cordova in a police report, same report

that says Julio Rivera says Enrique says he stabbed him,

Cordova says, I was right there. I saw Enrique. He

punched him. I saw it. He didn't have a knife.

Now, but for the police misconduct and pressuring and forcing these people to say Julio said his brother said to him, his brother, my client stabbed someone somebody I would have called Rudy Cordova, because I would have known the truth. I would have been able to establish in Jahira the extraordinary menacing pressure put on her, pressure my client testified to, in which Detective Domino said no pressure, we just asked him to talk.

And he said, yes, sure, I'll tell you what happened.

And the prosecutor would not have asked my client in the courtroom, Didn't your brother say he stabbed the victim?

Now, I couldn't discover this information sooner. And there's more, Judge. Jahira Serrano told me that after she was at the police station, sometime later but years ago, that's her recollection, I wasn't there, this is her recollection, she came to downtown Brooklyn. She thinks it was the D.A.'s office. She spoke to a woman. She doesn't remember anything about the woman but she told the woman, I don't know what happened that night, and she's not at this moment, Ms. Serrano, clear about what else she told the woman. That's years ago.

So what I submit is, in light of the prosecutor

telling us beyond the eve of trial, literally the late morning of the first day of trial that Ms. Serrano recanted, that there's a problem here.

Either the recantation is several years old before the first trial and I was entitled to know that as defense trial counsel before the first trial, or at some later sometime. But certainly not at some amorphous trial and "recant" being the sole word, the word being placed before your Honor and counsel.

So I respectfully make this application under 330.30 to vacate the conviction on the grounds that had I known this information, I would have been able to present the information, and there would have been a verdict more favorable to the defense.

THE COURT: Ms. Chu, do you wish to make a response?

MS. CHU: Your Honor, I'm not prepared to make
-- I'm not accustomed to responding to a 330 motion that's
done orally.

However, I will say that this sounds remarkably what Julio testified during the course of this trial, where he raised an issue before this Court that somehow the detectives and the ADA did something improper during his presence at the precinct, and during the actual audiotaping.

EDN

Sentence - Rivera

We called ADA Cyprus in to actually play that tape, and it was proven that Julio Rivera was falsely testifying regarding everything that transpired in that precinct.

In fact, Detective Rivera wasn't even present for his audiotaping by ADA Cyprus. So I think that all of this, it sounds remarkably familiar. I don't have the transcripts in front of me. I don't have anything to corroborate.

What I do know is that I did speak with Ms.

Serrano as well as Rudy Cordova on this trial, and on the last trial in '06. And I actually put Rudy Cordova into the grand jury, and he testified that he had seen, that the defendant was wearing the camouflage jacket, that there was an altercation between him and the victim in this case, Mr. Ojeda, and that he had seen the arm of the person coming over.

It's been clear from the very beginning that none of the witnesses ever saw a weapon in the defendant's hand when she saw the hand reaching over to punch or touch or push Mr. Ojeda.

That's never been an issue. We never said anything other than these witnesses merely saw the touching and immediately after the injuries are had, on Mr. Ojeda. What Mr. Cordova and Ms. Serrano told me when they were in

my office was, that they really weren't sure what they saw. They weren't willing to say what they had said under sworn testimony on audiotape, as well as in the grand jury by Mr. Cordova, he said whatever he said before.

They weren't willing to say it anymore. And I couldn't as an officer of the court put them on knowing that they were possibly going to commit perjury.

For those reasons, your Honor I do not believe that what they told me amounted to what the defense suggested during his letter to the Court regarding sentencing this was some sort of Brady violation.

These witnesses, and because of their relationship with the defendant, she is the cousin of the defendant, and that was her -- her baby's father, her boyfriend at the time, clearly told the detectives within their interviews that they were uncomfortable with the situation of their relationship with the defendant, and the fact that they were present when this occurred.

In light of the relationship, and the in light of the fact that they said I'm not willing to say that on the stand, I could not put them on the stand, knowing what I knew they testified to under oath on audiotape, as well as in the grand jury. And for those reasons I stand by the fact that whether Mr. Dranove has had Jahira Serrano and Rudy Cordova's names since 2006.

He, that's his cousin. He had.

THE COURT Was a second and the court of the

THE COURT: You mean the defendant's cousin.

MS. CHU: Defendant's cousin. He had ample opportunity to reach out to them. He knew they were there. And I find that the -- well, the People are arguing that the defense's motion has no merit.

And I would ask that the Court deny it.

MR. DRANOVE: May I follow up on something the prosecutor just said.

THE COURT: I'll give you a right of reply.

MR. DRANOVE: Thank you, sir. Unless I misheard, before the conclusion of the first trial the prosecutor knew that there was Giglio material, material the defense is entitled to under Whitely versus a U.S. Supreme Court case.

I don't have the cite with me, material that decided to call recantation to your Honor in May of 2009.

And they chose to be the judge of whether defense is to have access to this recantation material, and chose to not inform Judge Collini, or the defense before, during or after the first trial, whether the Appellate Division.

Court of Appeals level or United States Supreme Court level.

And it was only when we were already in this trial part that the prosecutor decided to say, there is a

recantation by Jahira Serrano. Didn't say -- by the way it's over three years old.

Now she does.

And I'm asking again, as I asked your Honor, please ask the prosecutor if she has any notes, made any notes, made any reports or recorded any of these so-called recantations. Her word, recantation. What she thing, may mean somebody is lying. I believe could mean they're telling the truth, and that they were forced to make false statements in the first place.

And the prosecutor chose to keep those very powerful issues concerning a right to present a defense and a right to confront witnesses away from the light of day.

So I believe this Court must ask this prosecutor, has Ms. Serrano since 2006, or was that the last time the prosecutor spoke to Ms. Serrano and Rudy Cordova. And what is it exactly they said was it recorded?

In any event, if your Honor chooses to ask any questions of Ms. Chu, why is it she waited until May 4, 2009 to raise these facts?

THE COURT: Since you've chosen to make this application now, first I have to know procedurally it's defective.

Any motion made on the basis of statements by witnesses, it's hearsay, unless there are affidavits from

Sentence - Rivera

those witnesses, so I would know exactly what they would say.

Looking beyond that because I don't really wish to deny on a procedural ground, but it's defective on that ground.

On the merits of it, it's clear that these two individuals were not witnesses at this trial, so therefore there was no evidence that they gave that resulted in any quilty verdict.

I don't think realistically they could have been called as defense witnesses since they previously gave sworn testimony or sworn statements that would have contradicted the account that you are now talking about now.

So that they really were useless to both sides, because they've given contradictory accounts, if you accept their, quote, recantations.

So they really could would not have been effective witnesses for anyone.

And, third, the issue that I'm worried about really was not an issue at this trial.

The statement that was allegedly made involving Jahira, it was a denial. The question was asked, it was a good-faith basis to ask the question, and the witness answered no that statement was not made.

And that was the state of the evidence.

So there was no evidence offered to be rebutted by calling these witnesses.

For all of those reasons, I'm denying the motion.

MR. DRANOVE: Will you ask Ms. Chu when is the last time she spoke to Serrano?

THE COURT: I'm not going to conduct any further investigation about it.

She gave you the information she put on the record.

There was a recantation before this trial started as far as what she knew and when she knew it. This is not the Watergate investigation.

MR. DRANOVE: This is an investigation of what she knew and when she --

THE COURT: I understand that. I've indicated why I don't think I have to conduct an investigation into that, because I already ruled based on the known facts.

It's irrelevant whether or not she got certain information on a particular date.

All right.

Now, as far as the sentencing is concerned, the People have served a statement that indicates the defendant has two prior felony convictions, and also indicates the correctional time that the defendant served as a result of

Sentence - Rivera 17 these two felony convictions. 1 Mr. Dranove, have you discussed this statement 2 3 with your client? MR. DRANOVE: Yes, sir. 4 THE COURT: Is there any legal or constitutional 5 defect as far as the convictions, the identity of your 6 7 client on this form or the prison time that your client served that you wish to contest? 8 9 MR. DRANOVE: No, sir. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Rivera, is it true that you were 11 convicted of these crimes that are indicated here, 12 attempted robbery in the second degree, attempted criminal 13 sale of a controlled substance in the third degree, one in 1993 and the other in 1998 here in Kings County? 14 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 16 THE COURT: And to your knowledge was there any 17 violation of your legal or constitutional rights when you 18 were convicted in those cases? 19 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 20 THE COURT: And you saw the jail time that you served on these matters listed on these forms? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

during those periods of time?

23

24

25

THE COURT: Is that true that you were in prison

Sentence - Rivera

THE COURT: For purposes of sentencing, you are adjudicated a second violent felony offender.

And now we'll go forward with the sentencing.

Ms. Chu, I'll hear you first.

MS. CHU: Yes, your Honor.

I had forwarded a copy to the defense counsel as well as to the Court, a sentencing letter having to do with the People's recommendation for sentence of the defendant's manslaughter in the first degree conviction that resulted in May of this year.

The People are asking that the defendant be sentenced to the maximum term allowable under the law, which is 25 years.

Having presided over the trial, your Honor, while you are familiar with the facts and circumstances of this case, I would like to just highlight some things that occurred during the course of the trial that I think would be relevant to your consideration of what sentence the defendant should receive.

There were several witnesses that testified regarding the events of the night. Clearly, as we've already stated in the 330 motion, there were no witnesses that actually saw a weapon in the defendant's hand at the time that the altercation occurred between he and Mr. Ojeda.

However, as a result of that, the defendant chose to take the stand. And in this case he chose to take the stand and I submit to you, perjure himself by taking what he knew the witnesses didn't see, and then manufacturing a story that the detectives had coerced him into making the statements he made on the videotape as well as his written and oral statements to the detectives, that he had a knife and used it in self-defense.

I believe that the jury was correct in their rejection of his statements. I believe that not only did he lie about the fact that he did not have a knife, but he went further in so much as when he testified at this trial, contrary to what happened in 2006, he now added an extra curve to his story.

In trying to account for how Mr. Ojeda's blood came to be on his hat, and his story to you, to the jury and to your Honor is that somehow his friend who remarkably was now a twin of his, had blood all over his jacket and that that's how his hat must have obtained the blood from Mr. Ojeda.

The fact that the defendant testified in this matter to this jury was compounded by the fact that he had both his brothers testify to continue with the ruse of the detectives trying to pin this murder on innocent people, when in fact we proved Julio Rivera's testimony to be

completely untrue, because he said they made the audiotape and then stopped it, and then berated him, and then said there was someone with a camouflage jacket.

And yet still when we had ADA Cyprus testify on rebuttal, it was clear during the course of that audiotape that there was no stopping of that audiotape and neither was Detective Rivera who it was suggested was the one berating him, wasn't even present during that interview.

In addition to that, your Honor, I believe that it's quite informative that the defendant in his interview to probation, still nevertheless points out that the defendant states that no witness stated he stabbed the decedent. And witness stated that they saw him fighting.

Basically the defendant is getting his defense or his posture as we sit right now, based upon what he knows the witnesses have already testified to, and what they saw and what they didn't see.

I think that he is being untruthful, that he has perjured himself in front of this Court, and there is absolutely no mitigation that should be allowed for this defendant.

He sits before your Honor a predicate felon having been convicted of attempted robbery in the second degree, as well as criminal sale of a controlled substance in the third degree.

And, you know, there were a lot of letters sent to me by defense counsel from family members of his, and members of the community talking about how he was, how he is a good father and a good husband and good son.

And unfortunately none of those things took effect when he was in that bar and he stabbed Mr. Ojeda, who also was a son, and a father and a brother.

And for those reasons, your Honor, the People are asking that the Court sentence him to 25 years. We also have members of Mr. Ojeda's family who would like to address the Court.

THE COURT: Okay.

Come up to the first row. State your name and your relationship to the deceased.

A VOICE FROM THE AUDIENCE: Andrea Ojeda. And I am his daughter.

THE COURT: You may make your statement.

MISS OJEDA: Five years have passed since my dad's death. Much has happened, good and bad. I took it all in and learned from it. Nothing I learned has stopped me from hurting and remembering. It's over five years. I've done so much and met so many great people.

Then the happiness that comes from those things remind that my Dad isn't here to share it with me. There were many times I've asked my mom and grandmom, what do you

think Daddy would say? Do you think he would be proud of me? The answer would always be how proud he is of me, always.

I know it would be the answer without a doubt.

But it no longer comes from him. I don't hear his voice anymore.

Sometimes it scares me that I forget he wasn't just my Dad. He was my best friend.

He taught me so much and the children more. It took me two years to wake up and finally keep my eyes open thinking about it, I know that. Impacted not only my family but also impacted his family and himself.

He had a life, a family, something to look forward to, and he threw it away to prove who he was. Look where it got him. Through his whole ordeal I could never hate inside myself, I could never hate him. I never ever hated him, and I truly wanted to make him to make a better person of himself, make something out of himself because he has more than just himself to live for.

And I truly do hope he gets the full amount of years, because dying for, or giving up would be so easy.

It's been two years to live again. And now I want to know how long it's going to take for you to accept and live again. I truly want you to make a better person of yourself. You owe me so much more because you took so much

Sentence - Rivera 23 1 more from me. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 Anyone else, Ms. Chu, or is that it. 4 MS. CHU: Yes, just one more -- I'm sorry, two 5 more. 6 THE COURT: Please state your name and 7 relationship to the deceased. A VOICE FROM THE AUDIENCE: Nieves. 8 I'm the You have taken so much from my baby. He was such 9 10 a good father. He never deserved that. And she doesn't 11 deserve to go through her first life without him. He will 12 never see her play her first piano, Sweet 16, question any 13 of her boyfriends, or be at her wedding to walk her down 14 the aisle. 15 I don't know what makes you think that you had the right to do that. I hope that you pay for everything. 16 17 And you are a very lucky person my daughter is as forgiving 18 as she is, and that she doesn't hate you the way I think she should. 19 20 You are very lucky to still be able to see your children and your family, like my daughter will never see 21 her father. 22 23 And I hope you pay for everything that you did.

THE COURT: Do you have one more?

MS. CHU: Yes.

24

THE COURT: Please state your name and your 1 2 relationship. THE WITNESS: My name is Ojeda. I am Edgar's 3 mother. 4 THE COURT: You may make your statement. 5 THE WITNESS: Much has been said in this 6 courtroom since the first 2006 and now this last trial. 7 heard testimonies from both sides. 8 But no one asked Edgar's mother about February 9 26, or February 27th. Allow me, his mother, to just a few 10 words to describe my son, who no one knew him best but my 11 12 family. At the age of 15, he tells me in a very matter of 13 fact way, When I become a father, I know that I will be a 14 15 very good Dad. True to his word, at the age of 18 he became a 16 father. 17 Life was never the same for him after that. With 18 every passing day, he knew in his heart he had to become a 19 better person for his daughter's sake. 20 He wanted her to be so proud of him, because her 21 22 opinion mattered so much. 23 Up to that Saturday afternoon, of February 26, he had, and continued to make, plans towards furthering his 24

career and life in the health care industry.

Sentence - Rivera

He always was asking me for my opinion, would have long conversations about his goals, and about his daughter. I miss those talks today.

I was very proud of my young father.

Edgar left my home that Saturday afternoon after a kiss on the cheek, and I gave him my blessings.

The next time I saw Edgar was in a hospital bed, covered by a white sheet, lifeless, a bloody body, hospital tubes to be yet removed by the trauma unit of the hospital.

Those are the images that wake me up every night since his death, always at 2:30 in the morning. Those painful images in my mind.

It feels like Edgar keeps knocking at the doors of my heart for help, and for the first time I cannot help him.

It just lives in my heart every day, and there are no words to describe that pain.

Life as we knew it, has not been the same.

The only comfort is in my Heavenly Father and my faith, that I know in my soul I will see him and I will be with my son again.

My son was murdered February 27th, by a person who had no regard for life itself.

This person acted cowardly in very much like the criminal he is, and always has been.

EDN

To you, Mr. Rivera, life is only about yourself; 1 2 and how you want things to play out, always for your 3 enjoyment. You have no values and much less for life. 4 I have noticed throughout these four years what a 5 very cruel person you are with all that comes your way. 6 But "vengeance is mine," sayeth the Lord, and 7 your punishment has just begun. 8 I give you one advice, confess your sin, and your 9 10 quilt before God, for only he will be able to give you 11 peace of mind, and for all those that kept your guilt 12 quiet, I ask my Heavenly Father that they be punished seven 13 times more than you. 14 May none have peace, for they are the same or 15 more guilty than you are. Although my son is no longer with us his legacy 16 is great, who live in his daughter, will shine in our 17 hearts, whereas with you, Mr. Rivera, all you leave behind 18 is disgrace of yourself and no honor for your family. 19 20 May God show you no mercy, and no mercy for those 21 that lied for you. 22 I am thankful to the jury that heard this case, 23 and clearly saw the lies. 24 I'm also very thankful to the D.A., Ms. Chu, who

25

believed in my case.

And I am thankful to Judge Marrus who displayed great wisdom and integrity.

I'll simply ask this Court to see how necessary it is to keep types of criminals away from our society where other families never have to receive such tragic news of their loved ones.

Make Mr. Rivera regret every day he was born, for clearly his demeanor speaks for itself.

He knows not the value of life.

Thank you.

MS. CHU: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Dranove?

MR. DRANOVE: Your Honor, I represented Mr. Rivera at the first trial.

Interestingly after the mistrial was declared, I spoke to several of the jurors outside. Very interesting situation.

I learned that eight of them said he didn't do

it. And four of them said, someone has gotta pay, might as
well be him.

But the eight weren't going to compromise.

Now, what some see as a hardened heart, they may see differently if they learned, and they may not see differently, how can they, from the day he was arrested through now, he has been trying to maintain a relationship

28 with his child: Doing his own paperwork to go to Family 1 2 Court, because he does want his child to know he has a 3 father. Is he guilty? This jury said yes, of 4 manslaughter. 5 Did anyone see a knife in his hand? No. 6 Is anyone lying for them if they said they didn't 7 see a knife in his hand? 8 Both sides said there was no knife in his hand. 10 The issues with respect to when Ms. Chu decided 11 to be judge and jury as to exculpatory evidence won't play 12 out here. So we're proceeding today. 13 My client is married; came home from prison, 14 15 started a business; in fact, the business was profitable. On a Saturday night he went to a local bar, just 16 17 like the victim did. He went with friends, just like Mr. Ojeda did. 18 19 He went to have a good time, just as Mr. Ojeda did. 20 21 Judge, when I was young I was in a bar when 22 someone was stabbed to death, and I saw it happen. 23 It is -- you don't forget it. I was there. 24 It was in New Jersey in 1972. It's not coloring

my perception of anything. It's just -- I didn't lead an

ivory tower life, but I've come here today to talk about what's justice. "In God we trust," some say, be a vengeful God.

Others say be a merciful God.

Others say God doesn't belong in the courtroom.

Now I don't know what the answer is.

I know nobody went out that night to change their life and their family's life, much less to face meeting their maker prematurely.

And yet it happened.

So it cannot be undone. Whatever we do today is not going to undue undo it.

The family is destroyed because their son, father, loved one and friend is gone.

Another family is destroyed because their son, loved one, friend, father, is going to be in jail for a long time.

I don't know what justice is anymore. Been doing this for too long, Judge, to know what justice is.

The more I do it the less I know what justice is.

My client spent time in Rikers for such a long time that the inmates thought he was a snitch and he was fearful for his own security there.

Nobody believed he was in jail because he was awaiting a second trial, and there were appeals going on.

He's lost a razor blade. Shaves his head, a razor blade went down the drain because he couldn't return it. He was put in a list of potentially violent. Special security applies to him because he lost a razor blade. Going to be with him no matter how many years he's in jail.

I listen carefully to the family of Mr. Ojeda.

My heart, I don't have a heart of stone, either. Neither does my client. But he has spoken in court under oath.

He's asked me to tell your Honor he will rely upon my words.

When you ask him he'll tell you that.

I don't know what justice is. We're not going to change anything.

This was an incident between strangers seeking to have a good time in a bar.

As to that bar, Judge, I point out about one to two years ago, and -- I'm sorry, I didn't bring the newspaper article, on a Saturday night in the same bar about the same time a young man was stabbed to death, stabbed three times, stabbed to death in that bar. Fortunately the bar is closed now.

My client couldn't have committed that crime.

There's an outpouring of love for my client.

There's an outpouring of love, grief, demands for vengeance for the victim.

I don't know what to say, beyond it's your decision to make, Judge, and be wise in your decision.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Rivera, is there anything that you want to say before you are sentenced?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: The evidence in this case established that the defendant stabbed Mr. Ojeda at the bar.

While no one saw him with the knife, everyone saw him in a dispute with the victim, and attacking him with a motion with his hand that was consistent with a stabbing motion.

Although the witnesses were very careful to say they actually didn't see what the object was that he had, we do know that the victim was stabbed repeatedly slashed, and a drop of his blood was found on the defendant's cap after the homicide.

The defendant has made numerous statements, given numerous accounts about what happened, and they've all been inconsistent.

I heard the statements that he made to the police. Whatever the circumstances were of those statements, you know he contradicted himself on those statements, vis-a-vis what he said here at trial.

And the jury heard the evidence. I don't know

what happened at the first trial. I certainly can't speak to that. I wasn't the judge. I don't know what happened.

But this jury really didn't have too much trouble in finding the defendant guilty.

It would seem to be a question only of what counts, and ultimately it was determined that the defendant wasn't proven to have acted with an intent to kill, which is why he's now convicted of the manslaughter charge.

But as to the accountability of the defendant based on the evidence, it seemed to be pretty compelling, pretty clear, and the defendant's version of it didn't have the ring of truth and was very inconsistent with his prior accounts.

Now, as far as the two families that are here, no one who could hear could not be moved by what happened to you and your family. It's a horrible tragedy. Obviously had a tremendous impact. There's nothing I can do to change that.

Obviously you came here seeking justice. And I am going to do the best I can, as far as that's concerned.

But I would be less than human to tell you I feel sympathy for you, and I'm very sorry that, you know, you've had to go through this ordeal, two trials over a period of time.

I'm sure it was an ordeal for you.

Sentence - Rivera

So, the best I can tell you from my heart is that I do feel that you have gone through a tremendous amount, both a loss of your loved one, and having to go through, you know, a process where there were two trials.

So I'm very sorry for you. And you've maintained your dignity throughout all these proceedings, and you deserve a lot of credit for that.

As far as the family of the defendant,

Mr. Rivera, I read the letters that you wrote, and I think

Mr. Rivera is a very lucky guy to have people like you

sticking with him through thick and thin.

He's very lucky to have people like you. You've been here supporting him.

And I read the letters, same kinds of statements

I'm hearing from the victim's family: Beloved father,

husband, son, brother.

You know, you've stuck with him throughout this.

It's very unfortunate, Mr. Rivera, you have a record that speaks for itself.

You have a youthful offender adjudication for possessing a gun.

That was a felony charge right there but you got a break, because the law didn't treat you as an adult. The law treated you as a juvenile, and therefore you didn't get a criminal record for that.

But it's a serious felony.

Then you have two felony convictions, one involving a robbery, and one involving a drug sale.

And as I recall, less than I think six months after you were released on parole from the last one of those convictions, you committed this crime.

It's a dreadful record. And given the support that you have, and all the people that have stood by you, and the opportunities that you've had, you know you've really let down a lot of people here.

You really have.

MR. DRANOVE: Just, my client wants me to inform your Honor he was free for three years before he was arrested.

THE COURT: The date of expiration of parole is indicated in the records.

MR. DRANOVE: But he was free for three years.

THE COURT: Right, but right after he got off parole, this crime occurred; that was my point.

If I make a mistake, feel free. I don't want to be interrupted, but I don't think I made a mistake on this one.

Beyond the families here, a crime like this has terrible consequences in the communities in which we live.

I mean, obviously gets some publicity in the papers in the

local communities, and the people that frequent these bars and social clubs where these events happens, it really sends a chilling message that this horrible violence goes on in the community.

And it's something that has rippled well beyond the families that are in this courtroom, that someone can go out for a night to relax at a bar, have a couple of drinks, and then wind up dead, being stabbed to death over some petty dispute, which is what this all about. This I was all petty.

To die over that is totally senseless.

Based on the record that you've made in the community with your two prior felony convictions, youthful offender adjudication, based on your total lack of accountability for this matter with the inconsistent statements you made in this case, based on the nature of these crime, a cold-blooded, senseless killing in a public place, I'm sentencing you to the maximum sentence I can: Definite term of 25 years imprisonment, followed by five years post-release supervision.

There's a mandatory surcharge and related fees.

I believe it's \$370 in this case.

THE CLERK: \$250, \$20 and then \$50.

THE COURT: \$320.

Please advise the defendant of his right to

Sentence	_	Piz	rora

36 1 appeal. MR. DRANOVE: Is he given a sheet with the his 2 rights to appeal? 3 THE COURT: The clerk just tells him. 4 I have a substitute clerk. 5 You have a right to appear your conviction. You 6 have to file a notice of appeal to 45 Monroe Place, the 7 Appellate Division, to process your appeal. 8 9 Mr. Dranove, I assume you will do that in his behalf. 10 11 MR. DRANOVE: I will. THE COURT: All right. 12 MR. DRANOVE: I will also request that counsel be 13 appointed for him, because I can't continue pro bono 14 15 anymore. THE COURT: They will assign appellate counsel. 16 That's done by the Appellate Division. 17 File the notice of appeal. 18 19 MR. DRANOVE: One more request. Can I ask that the transcripts being provided 20 21 through today's sentencing proceeding? 22 THE COURT: Yes. MR. DRANOVE: Thank you. 23 THE COURT: So ordered. 24

You can take charge of the defendant.

10. (Sentence - Rivera 37
1	MR. DRANOVE: Judge, just so that, there's peace,
2	can we ask, may I ask your Honor one family could leave
3	before the other.
4	OFFICER: We're already taking care of that.
5	THE COURT: The court officers will take charge
6	of that.
7	I have excellent court officers, very experienced
8	with these situations, and I rely entirely on them.
9	That's their job.
10	MR. DRANOVE: I am going to talk to my client in
11	the back.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	(Defendant remanded.)
14	*
15	
16	It is hereby certified that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings.
17	Ole Worth
18	ELLEN DOHERTY NERI CSR, RPR, CRR
19	PRINCIPAL COURT REPORTER SUPREME COURT-KINGS COUNTY
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case 1:15-cv-02657-EK Document 9-1 Filed 12/08/15 Page 811 of 811 PageID #: 1228